arXiv:math/0407258v2 [math.AG] 17 Apr 2005

TOROIDALIZATION OF BIRATIONAL MORPHISMS OF 3-FOLDS

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY

Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties, over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic zero. The structure of such morphisms is quite rich. The simplest class of such morphisms is the toroidal morphisms. If X and Y are nonsingular, $f : X \to Y$ is toroidal if there are simple normal crossing divisors D_X on X and D_Y on Y such that $f^*(D_Y) = D_X$, and f is locally given by monomials in appropriate etale local parameters on X. The precise definition of this concept is in [AK] (see also [KKMS]). We state the Definition of toroidal in 3.7. The problem of toroidalization is to determine, given a dominant morphism $f : X \to Y$, if there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

such that Φ and Ψ are products of blow ups of nonsingular subvarieties, X and Y are nonsingular, and there exist simple normal crossing divisors D_Y on Y and $D_X = f^*(D_Y)$ on X such that f_1 is toroidal (with respect to D_X and D_Y). This is stated in Problem 6.2.1. of [AKMW]. Toroidalization, and related concepts, have been considered earlier in different contexts, mostly for morphisms of surfaces. Torodialization is the strongest structure theorem which could be true for general morphisms. The concept of torodialization fails completely in positive characteristic. A simple example is shown in [C2].

In the case when Y is a curve, toroidalization follows from embedded resolution of singularities ([H]). When X and Y are surfaces, there are several proofs in print ([AkK], Corollary 6.2.3 [AKMW], [Mat]). They all make use of special properties of the birational geometry of surfaces. An outline of proofs of the above cases can be found in the introduction to [C2].

In [C2], the toroidalization problem is solved in the case when X is a 3-fold and Y is a surface. In this paper, we prove toroidalization for birational morphisms of 3-folds.

Theorem 0.1. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a birational morphism of 3-folds which are proper over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0. Then there exists a commutative diagram of morphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ, Ψ are products of blow ups of nonsingular curves and points, and there exists a simple normal crossings divisor D_{Y_1} on Y_1 such that $D_{X_1} = f_1^{-1}(D_{Y_1})$ is a simple normal crossings divisor and f_1 is toroidal with respect to D_{X_1} and D_{Y_1}.

Research partially supported by NSF.

If we relax some of the restrictions in the definition of toroidalization, there are other constructions producing a toroidal morphism f_1, which are valid for arbitrary dimensions of X and Y. In [AK] it is shown that a diagram (1) can be constructed where Φ is weakened to being a modification (an arbitrary birational morphism). In [C1] and [C4], it is shown that a diagram (1) can be constructed where Φ and Ψ are locally products of blow ups, but the morphisms Φ, Ψ and f_1 may not be separated. This construction is obtained by patching local solutions valid for any given valuation.

It has been shown in [AKMW] and [W1] that weak factorization of birational morphisms holds in characteristic zero, and arbitrary dimension. That is, birational morphisms of complete varieties can be factored by an alternating sequence of blow ups and blow downs of non singular subvarieties. Weak factorization of birational (toric) morphisms of toric varieties, (and of birational toroidal morphisms) has been proven by Danilov [D1] and Ewald [E] (for 3-folds), and by Wlodarczyk [W], Morelli [Mo] and Abramovich, Matsuki and Rashid [AMR] in general dimensions.

Our Theorem 0.1, when combined with weak factorization for toroidal morphisms ([AMR]), gives a new proof of weak factorization of birational morphisms of 3-folds. We point out that our proof uses an analysis of the structure as power series of local germs of a mapping, as opposed to the entirely different proof of weak factorization, using geometric invariant theory, of [AKMW] and [W1].

Corollary 0.2. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a birational morphism of 3-folds which are proper over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic zero. Then there exists a commutative diagram of morphisms factoring f,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X_1 \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & & X_3 \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & & \cdots & X_n \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
X & & X_2 & & X_4 & & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where each arrow is a product of blow ups of points and nonsingular curves.

The problem of strong factorization, as proposed by Abhyankar [Ab2] and Hironaka [H], is to factor a birational morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ by constructing a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & Z \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
X \arrow[rr, "f"] & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $Z \rightarrow X$ and $Z \rightarrow Y$ factor as products of blow ups of nonsingular subvarieties. Oda [O] has proposed the analogous problem for (toric) morphisms of toric varieties.

A birational morphism $f : S \rightarrow Y$ of (nonsingular) surfaces can be directly factored by blowing up points (Zariski [Z3] and Abhyankar [Ab2]), but there are examples showing that a direct factorization is not possible in general for 3-folds (Shannon [Sh] and Sally[S]).

We also obtain as an immediate corollary the following new result, which reduces the problem of strong factorization of 3-folds to the case of toroidal morphisms.

Corollary 0.3. *Suppose that the Oda conjecture on strong factorization of birational toroidal morphisms of 3-folds is true. Then the Abhyankar, Hironaka strong factorization conjecture of birational morphisms of complete (characteristic zero) 3-folds is true.*

Abhyankar's local factorization conjecture [Ab2], which is "strong factorization" along a valuation, follows from local monomialization (Theorem A [C1]), to reduce to a locally toroidal morphism, and local factorization for toroidal morphisms along a valuation Christensen [Ch] (for 3-folds), and Karu [K] in general dimensions.

1. An outline of the proof

We will say a few words about the structure of the proof of Theorem 0.1. Most of the proof of Theorem 0.1 is valid for generically finite morphisms of 3-folds. We use the fact that a birational morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of complete 3-folds is an isomorphism in codimension 1 in Y, and has a very simple structure in codimension 2 in Y (is a product of blowups of nonsingular curves above the base [Ab1] or [D]). The structure in codimension 2 is however not that much more difficult in codimension 2 for arbitrary dominant morphisms of 3-folds (in characteristic zero). We hope to develop this theme in a later paper.

If X is a nonsingular variety and D_X is a SNC divisor on X, then D_X defines a toroidal structure on X. If V is a nonsingular subvariety of X, which is supported on D_X and makes SNCs with D_X, then V is a possible center. Let $\Phi : X_1 \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of V. Then X_1 is nonsingular with toroidal structure $D_{X_1} = \Phi^{-1}(D_X)$.

Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a birational morphism of nonsingular complete 3-folds of characteristic zero, and suppose that D_Y is a simple normal crossings divisor on Y such that $D_X = f^*(D_Y)$ is also a simple normal crossings divisor, defining toroidal structures on X and Y. Further suppose that the locus of points in X where f is not smooth is contained in D_X. We will refer to points where three components of D_Y (or D_X) intersect as 3-point, points where 2-components intersect as 2-points, and the remaining points of D_Y (and D_X) as 1-points.

We develop a series of algorithms to manipulate local germs of our mappings, expressed in terms of series and polynomials. We are required to blow up in both the domain and target.

The main result of resolution of singularities [H] tells us that we can construct a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\overline{f}"] & \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where for all points $p \in X$ and $q = f(p) \in Y$ there are regular parameters x, y, z at p and u, v, w at q, which contain local equations of components of D_X and D_Y passing through these points, such that we have an expression

$$\begin{array}{ll}
u & = x^a y^b z^c \gamma_1 \\
v & = x^d y^e z^f \gamma_2 \\
w & = x^g y^h z^i \gamma_3
\end{array} \tag{2}$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are units at p. The algorithms of resolution give us no information about the structure of the units $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$. In general, we will have that the monomials $x^a y^b z^c, x^d y^e z^f, x^g y^h z^i$ are algebraically dependent. We can then hope to blow up nonsingular subvarieties of Y, leading to new regular parameters at a point q' above q with regular parameters u', v', w' which are obtained by dividing u, v, w by each other. we will eventually obtain an expression such as $w' = \gamma_3 - \gamma_3(p)$ by this procedure, which need not be any better than the expressions we started with for our original map $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

In attempting to obtain a sufficiently deep understanding of map germs to prove toroidalization, we must understand information about germs such as (2), up to the level of a series expansion of the units γ_i. In considering this problem, we are led to generalized notions of multiplicity, which can actually increase after making blow ups above X. In summary, the problem of toroidalization requires new methods, which are not contained in any proofs of resolution of singularities.

In Definition 3.4 we define a prepared morphism $f : X \to Y$ of 3-folds. This is related to the notion of a prepared morphism from a 3-fold to a surface (Definition 6.5 [C2]). The basic idea of a prepared morphism of 3-folds is that locally an appropriate projection of Y onto a surface S is a toroidal morphism. Some special care is required in handling 3-points of Y. If f is prepared, by a simple local calculation involving Jacobian determinants, we have very simple expressions of the form Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 at all points of X. A typical case, when p is a 1-point and q is a 2-point, is

$$u = x^a, v = x^b(\alpha + y), w = g(x, y) + x^c z$$

where $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ and $g(x, y)$ is a series.

In Section 4 it is shown that we can construct from our given birational morphism $f : X \to Y$ a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ, Ψ are products of blow ups of nonsingular curves and points, and there exists a SNC divisor D_{Y_1} on Y_1 such that $D_{X_1} = f_1^{-1}(D_{Y_1})$ is a SNC divisor and f_1 is prepared with respect to D_{X_1} and D_{Y_1}. We also make D_{X_1} cuspidal for f_1 (Definition 3.8). That is, f_1 is toroidal in a neighborhood of all components of D_{X_1} which do not contain a 3-point, and in a neighborhood of all 2-curves of D_{X_1} which do not contain a 3-point.

It may appear that the local forms of a prepared morphism are very simple, and we can easily modify them to obtain a toroidal form. However, a little exploration with these local forms will reveal that the notion of being prepared is stable under blow ups of 2-curves (on Y), but is in general not stable under blow ups of points and curves which are not 2-curves (on Y). It also will quickly become apparent that it is absolutely necessary to blow up subvarieties of Y other than 2-curves to toroidalize. This leads to the notion of super parameters (Definition 5.5), which is necessary for all the blow ups which we consider to preserve the notion of being prepared, and for a global invariant τ to behave well under blow ups.

To prove Theorem 0.1, we may assume that f is prepared, and D_X is cuspidal for f. These conditions are preserved throughout the proof.

We define the τ-invariant of a 3-point $p \in X$ (Definition 3.9). Since f is prepared, $f(p) = q$ is a 2-point or a 3-point. There are regular parameters u, v, w in $\mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ and x, y, z in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that $xyz = 0$ is a local equation of D_X, $uv = 0$ or $uvw = 0$ is a local equation of D_Y and there is an expression

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = x^a y^b z^c \\ v & = x^d y^e z^f \\ w & = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i M_i + N \end{array} \tag{3}$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$, $M_i = x^{a_i} y^{b_i} z^{c_i}$, $N = x^g y^h z^i$,

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{pmatrix} = 2, \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ a_i & b_i & c_i \end{pmatrix} = 0 \text{ for all } i,$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

If q is a 3-point, then

$$w = \text{unit series } N$$

if and only if f is toroidal at p. In this case define $\tau_f(p) = -\infty$.

Otherwise, define

$$H_p = \mathbf{Z}(a,b,c) + \mathbf{Z}(d,e,f) + \sum_i \mathbf{Z}(a_i,b_i,c_i),$$

$$A_p = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}(a,b,c) + \mathbf{Z}(d,e,f) + \mathbf{Z}(a_0,b_0,c_0) & \text{if } q \text{ is a 3-point (we have } w = \text{unit series } M_0) \\ \mathbf{Z}(a,b,c) + \mathbf{Z}(d,e,f) & \text{if } q \text{ is a 2-point.} \end{cases}$$

Now define

$$\tau_f(p) = |H_p/A_p|.$$

We define

$$\tau_f(X) = \max\{\tau_f(p) \mid p \in X \text{ is a 3-point}\}.$$

We show in Theorem 9.2, that f is toroidal if $\tau_f(X) = -\infty$.

We have that $\tau_f(X) \geq 0$ or $\tau_f(X) = -\infty$. The proof of Theorem 0.1 is by descending induction on $\tau_f(X)$. In our proof of Theorem 0.1 we may thus assume that $\tau = \tau_f(X) \neq -\infty$ (so that $\tau \geq 0$).

Step 1. (Theorem 8.10) There exist sequences of blow ups of 2-curves

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d] & Y_1 \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

such that f_1 is prepared, D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1, $\tau_f(X_1) = \tau$, and $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau$ implies that $f_1(p)$ is a 2-point. Theorem 8.10 is a consequence of Theorem 6.10 and the concept of 3-point relation (Definition 6.7).

Step 2. (Theorem 8.11) In this step we construct a commutative diagram of morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

such that

1. Φ and Ψ are products of blow ups of possible centers.
2. $\tau_{f_1}(X_1) = \tau$, and if $p \in X$ is a 3-point such that $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau$ then $f_1(p)$ is a 2-point.
3. D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.
4. f_1 is τ-very-well prepared.

Step 2 is the most difficult step technically. It is the content of Sections 7 and 8.

The definition of τ-very-well prepared is given in Definition 7.6. It uses the concept of 2-point relation (Definition 6.6), and requires the preliminary definitions of τ-quasi-well prepared (Definition 7.1) and τ-well prepared (Definition 7.3).

By virtue of the result of this step, we can assume that f is τ-very-well prepared. We will also assume that $\tau > 0$. The case when $\tau = 0$ is actually a little easier, but the definition is a bit different.

We now summarize some of the properties of a τ-very-well-prepared morphism (Definition 7.6).

There exists a finite, distinguished set of nonsingular algebraic surfaces $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ in Y, with a SNC divisor F_i on $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ such that the intersection graph of F_i is a tree.

Suppose that $p \in X$ is a 3-point with $\tau_f(p) = \tau$ (so that $q = f(p)$ is 2-point). Then the following conditions hold.

1. The expression (3) has the form
$$w = \gamma M_0 \tag{4}$$
where γ is a unit series, $M_0^e = u^{\overline{a}} v^{\overline{b}}$, with $\overline{a}, \overline{b}, e \in \mathbf{Z}$, $e > 1$, and $\gcd(a, b, e) = 1$. Observe that we cannot have both $\overline{a} < 0$ and $\overline{b} < 0$, since M_0, u, v are all monomials in x, y, z.
2. Suppose that V is the curve in Y with local equations $u = w = 0$ (or $v = w = 0$) at q. Then V is a $*$-permissible center (Definition 7.9). That is, V is a possible center for D_Y and there exists a commutative diagram of morphisms
$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$
where Ψ_1 is the blow up of V (possibly followed by blow ups of some special 2-points), such that f_1 and $\overline{f} = \Psi_1 \circ f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ are prepared, $\tau_{f_1}(X_1) \le \tau$ and Φ_1 is toroidal at 3-points $p_1 \in \Phi_1^{-1}(p)$. Further, f_1 is τ-very-well prepared.
3. There exists a surface $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ such that
   a. $f(p) = q \in \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$.
   b. The w of (4) gives a local equation $w = 0$ of $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ at q.
   c. $uv = 0$ is a local equation of F_i (on the surface $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$) at q.

The necessity of several different surfaces $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ arises because of the possibility that there may be several 3-points p_j with $\tau_f(p_j) = \tau$ which map to q, and require different w in their expressions (4). We require that the surfaces $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ intersect in a controlled way.

The first step in the construction of a τ-very well prepared morphism is the construction of a morphism such that for all 3-points p with $\tau_f(p) = \tau$, an expression (4) holds for some possibly formal w.

Step 3. (Theorem 9.1) We construct a commutative diagram
$$\begin{tikzcd} X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[d] & Y_n \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$
such that $\tau_{f_n}(X_n) < \tau$. By induction on τ, we then obtain the proof of Theorem 0.1.

We fix an index i of the surfaces $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$. A curve E on Y is good if it is a component of F_i, and if j is such that $E \cap \Omega(\overline{R}_j) \neq \emptyset$, then E is a component of F_j.

In our construction we begin with $i = 1$, and blow up a good curve V on Y, by a morphism (5). Part of the definition of τ-very-well prepared implies the existence of a good curve. Suppose that $p \in X$ is a 3-point with $\tau_f(X) = \tau$ and $q = f(p) \in V$. Suppose that $p_1 \in \Phi_1^{-1}(p)$ is a 3-point. Set $q_1 = f_1(p_1)$. If V has local equations

$u=w=0$ at q, then q_1 has regular parameters u_1,v,w_1 with

$$u=u_1w_1, w=w_1 \tag{6}$$

or

$$u=u_1, w=u_1(w_1+\alpha) \tag{7}$$

and $\alpha\in\mathbf{k}$.

If (6) holds then q_1 is a 3-point. Since $e>1$, we have

$$\tau_{f_1}(p_1)=|H_p/A_p+M_0\mathbf{Z}|<|H_p/A_p|=\tau.$$

If (7) holds, then $e>1$ implies $\alpha=0$. Thus f_1 has the form (3), (4) at p_1 with $(\overline{a},\overline{b},e)$ changed to $(\overline{a}-e,\overline{b},e)$. If V has local equations $v=w=0$, then f_1 has the form (3), (4) at p_1 with $(\overline{a},\overline{b},e)$ changed to $(\overline{a},\overline{b}-e,e)$.

We have SNC divisors $\Phi_1^{-1}(F_i)$ on the surfaces $\Phi_1^{-1}(\Omega(\overline{R}_i)))$. If there are no 3-points p_1 in X_1 satisfying 1, 2 and 3 of Step 2 for $\Phi_1^{-1}(\Omega(\overline{R}_1))$, then we increase i to 2.

Otherwise, there exists a good curve on Y_1 for the SNC divisor $\Phi^{-1}(F_1)$ on the surface $\Phi_1^{-1}(\Omega(\overline{R}_1)))$. We continue to iterate, blowing up good curves. If we always have a 3-point satisfying 1, 2 and 3 for the preimage of $\Omega(\overline{R}_1)$, then we eventually obtain a form (4) with both $\overline{a}<0$ and $\overline{b}<0$ which is impossible.

We then continue this algorithm for the preimages of all of the surfaces $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$. The algorithm terminates in the construction of a morphism with a drop in τ as desired.

The final proof of Theorem 0.1 is given after Theorem 9.2.

2. Notation

Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{k}$ will be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A curve, surface or 3-fold is a quasi-projective variety over $\mathbf{k}$ of respective dimension 1, 2 or 3. If X is a variety, and $p\in X$ is a nonsingular point, then regular parameters at p are regular parameters in $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$. Formal regular parameters at p are regular parameters in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$. If X is a variety and $V\subset X$ is a subvariety, then $\mathcal{I}_V\subset\mathcal{O}_X$ will denote the ideal sheaf of V. If V and W are subvarieties of a variety X, we denote the scheme theoretic intersection $Y=\text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_V+\mathcal{I}_W)$ by $Y=V\cdot W$.

Suppose that $a,b,c,d\in\mathbf{Q}$. Then we will write $(a,b)\le(c,d)$ if $a\le b$ and $c\le d$.

A toroidal structure on a nonsingular variety X is a simple normal crossing divisor (SNC divisor) D_X on X.

We will say that a nonsingular curve C which is a subvariety of a nonsingular 3-fold X with toroidal structure D_X makes simple normal crossings (SNCs) with D_X if for all $p\in C$, there exist regular parameters x,y,z at p such that $x=y=0$ are local equations of C, and $xyz=0$ contains the support of D_X at p.

Suppose that X is a nonsingular 3-fold with toroidal structure D_X. If $p\in D_X$ is on the intersection of three components of D_X then p is called a 3-point. If $p\in D_X$ is on the intersection of two components of D_X (and is not a 3-point) then p is called a 2-point. If $p\in D_X$ is not a 2-point or a 3-point, then p is called a 1-point. If C is an irreducible component of the intersection of two components of D_X, then C is called a 2-curve. $\Sigma(X)$ will denote the closed locus of 2-curves on X.

By a general point q of a variety V, we will mean a point q which satisfies conditions which hold on some nontrivial open subset of V. The exact open condition which we require will generally be clear from context. By a general section of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a projective variety X, we mean the section corresponding to a general point of the $\mathbf{k}$-linear space $\Gamma(X,\mathcal{F})$.

If X is a variety, $\mathbf{k}(X)$ will denote the function field of X. A 0-dimensional valuation ν of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ is a valuation of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ such that $\mathbf{k}$ is contained in the valuation ring V_ν of ν and the residue field of V_ν is $\mathbf{k}$. If X is a projective variety which is birationally equivalent to X, then there exists a unique (closed) point $p_1 \in X_1$ such that V_ν dominates $\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$. p_1 is called the center of ν on X_1. If $p \in X$ is a (closed) point, then there exists a 0-dimensional valuation ν of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ such that V_ν dominates $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ (Theorem 37, Section 16, Chapter VI [ZS]). For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{k}(X)$, $\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_n)$ are rationally dependent if there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{Z}$ which are not all zero, such that $\alpha_1\nu(a_1) + \cdots \alpha_n\nu(a_n) = 0$ (in the value group of ν). Otherwise, $\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_n)$ are rationally independent.

If $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism of varieties, and D is a Cartier divisor on Y, then $f^{-1}(D)$ will denote the reduced divisor $f^*(D)_{red}$.

3. Prepared, monomial and toroidal morphisms

Throughout this section we assume that $f : X \to Y$ is a dominant morphism of nonsingular 3-folds, D_Y is SNC divisor on Y such that $D_X = f^{-1}(D_Y)$ is a SNC divisor, and the singular locus of f is contained in D_X. D_X and D_Y define toroidal structures on X and Y.

A possible center on a nonsingular 3-fold X with toroidal structure defined by a SNC divisor D_X, is a point on D_X or a nonsingular curve in D_X which makes SNCs with D_X. A possible center on a nonsingular surface S with toroidal structure defined by a SNC divisor D_S is a point on D_S.

Observe that if $\Phi : X_1 \to X$ is the blow up of a possible center, then $D_{X_1} = \Phi^{-1}(D_X)$ is a SNC divisor on X_1. Thus D_{X_1} defines a toroidal structure on X_1. All blow ups $\Phi : X_1 \to X$ considered in this paper will be of possible centers, and we will impose the toroidal structure on X_1 defined by $D_{X_1} = \Phi^{-1}(D_X)$.

Suppose that x, y, z are indeterminants, and $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r$ are Laurent monomials in x, y, z, so that there are expressions

$$M_i = x^{a_{i1}}y^{a_{i2}}z^{a_{i3}}$$

with all a_{ij} in $\mathbf{Z}$. We define

$$\operatorname{rank}_{(x,y,z)}(M_1, \dots, M_r) = n$$

if the matrix (a_{ij}) has rank n. If there is no danger of confusion, we will denote

$$\operatorname{rank}(M_1, \dots, M_r) = \operatorname{rank}_{(x,y,z)}(M_1, \dots, M_r).$$

Suppose that $q \in Y$. We say that u, v, w are (formal) permissible parameters at q if u, v, w are regular parameters in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$ such that

1. If q is a 1-point, then $u \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ and $u = 0$ is a local equation of D_Y at q.
2. If q is a 2-point then $u, v \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ and $uv = 0$ is a local equation of D_Y at q.
3. If q is a 3-point then $u, v, w \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ and $uvw = 0$ is a local equation of D_Y at q.

u, v, w are algebraic permissible parameters if we further have that $u, v, w \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$.

Definition 3.1. *Suppose that u, v, w are (possibly formal) permissible parameters at $q \in Y$. Then u, v are* **toroidal forms** *at $p \in f^{-1}(q)$ if there exist regular parameters x, y, z in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that $x = 0$, $xy = 0$ or $xyz = 0$ are local equations of D_X and*

1. *q is a 2-point or a 3-point, p is a 1-point and*

$$u = x^a, v = x^b(\alpha + y) \tag{8}$$

where $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$.

2. *q is 2-point or a 3-point, p is a 2-point and*
$$u = x^a y^b, v = x^c y^d \tag{9}$$
with rank$(u, v) = 2$.
3. *q is a 2-point or a 3-point, p is a 2-point and*
$$u = (x^a y^b)^k, v = (x^a y^b)^t(\alpha + z) \tag{10}$$
where $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, $a, b, k, t > 0$ *and gcd*$(a, b) = 1$.
4. *q is a 2-point or a 3-point, p is a 3-point and*
$$u = x^a y^b z^c, v = x^d y^e z^f \tag{11}$$
where rank$(u, v) = 2$.
5. *q is a 1-point, p is a 1-point and*
$$u = x^a, v = y \tag{12}$$
6. *q is a 1-point, p is a 2-point and*
$$u = (x^a y^b)^k, v = z \tag{13}$$
with $a, b, k > 0$ *and gcd*$(a, b) = 1$

Regular parameters x, y, z as in Definition 3.1 will be called permissible parameters for u, v, w at p.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that* $q \in Y$, $p \in f^{-1}(q)$ *and* u, v, w *are permissible parameters at* q *such that* u, v *are toroidal forms at* p. *Then there exist permissible parameters* x, y, z *for* u, v, w *at* p *such that an expression of Definition 3.1 holds for* u *and* v, *and one of the following respective forms for* w *holds at* p.

1. *q is a 2-point or a 3-point, p is a 1-point, u, v satisfy (8) and*
$$w = g(x, y) + x^c z \tag{14}$$
where g is a series.
2. *q is 2-point or a 3-point, p is a 2-point, u, v satisfy (9) and*
$$w = g(x, y) + x^e y^f z \tag{15}$$
where g is a series.
3. *q is a 2-point or a 3-point, p is a 2-point, u, v satisfy (10) and*
$$w = g(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d \tag{16}$$
where g is a series and rank$(u, x^c y^d) = 2$.
4. *q is a 2-point or a 3-point, p is a 3-point, u, v satisfy (11) and*
$$w = g(x, y, z) + N \tag{17}$$
where g is a series in monomials M in x, y, z *such that rank*$(u, v, M) = 2$, *and N is a monomial in* x, y, z *such that rank*$(u, v, N) = 3$.
5. *q is a 1-point, u, v satisfy (12) and*
$$w = g(x, y) + x^c z \tag{18}$$
where g is a series.
6. *q is a 1-point, p is a 2-point, u, v satisfy (13) and*
$$w = g(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d \tag{19}$$
where g is a series and rank$(u, x^c y^d) = 2$.

Proof. Choose permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p. The Lemma follows from an explicit calculation of the jacobian determinant

$$J = \mathrm{Det}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix},$$

and a change of variables of x, y, z. Observe that $J = 0$ is supported on D_X, since the singular locus of f is contained in D_X.

We indicate the proof if (11) holds at p. There exists a unit series γ in x, y, z and $l, m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $J = \gamma x^l y^m z^n$. Write w as a series

$$w = \sum c_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k$$

with $c_{ijk} \in \mathbf{k}$. We compute

$$J = \sum c_{ijk} \mathrm{Det}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ i & j & k \end{pmatrix} x^{a+d+i-1} y^{b+e+j-1} z^{c+f+k-1} = \gamma x^l y^m z^n$$

from which we obtain forms (11) and (17), after making a change of variables in x, y, z, multiplying x, y, z by appropriate unit series. $\square$

Definition 3.3. *Let notation be as in Lemma 3.2. If $p \in X$ is a 3-point, we will say that permissible parameters u, v, w at $q = f(p)$ have a monomial form at p if there exist permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p such that there is an expression*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b z^c \\ v &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w &= x^g y^h z^i \end{aligned}$$

(with rank$(u, v, w) = 3$).

Definition 3.4. *A birational morphism $f : X \to Y$ of nonsingular 3-folds with toroidal structures determined by SNC divisors D_Y, $D_X = f^{-1}(D_Y)$ such that the singular locus of f is contained in D_X is* **prepared** *if:*

1. *If $q \in Y$ is a 3-point, u, v, w are permissible parameters at q and $p \in f^{-1}(q)$, then u, v and w are each a unit (in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$) times a monomial in local equations of the toroidal structure D_X at p . Furthermore, there exists a permutation of u, v, w such that u, v are toroidal forms at p.*
2. *If $q \in Y$ is a 2-point, u, v, w are permissible parameters at q and $p \in f^{-1}(q)$, then either*
   (a) *u, v are toroidal forms at p or*
   (b) *p is a 1-point and there exist regular parameters $x, y, z \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that there is an expression*

   $$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a \\ v &= x^c(\gamma(x, y) + x^d z) \\ w &= y \end{aligned}$$

   where γ is a unit series and $x = 0$ is a local equation of D_X, or
   (c) *p is a 2-point and there exist regular parameters x, y, z in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that there is an expression*

   $$\begin{aligned} u &= (x^a y^b)^k \\ v &= (x^a y^b)^l(\gamma(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d) \\ w &= z \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b > 0$, $gcd(a, b) = 1$, $ad - bc \neq 0$, γ is a unit series and $xy = 0$ is a local equation of D_X.

3. *If $q \in Y$ is a 1-point, and $p \in f^{-1}(q)$, then there exist permissible parameters u, v, w at q such that u, v is a toroidal form at p.*

We call x, y, z in 2 (b) or 2 (c) of Definition 3.4 permissible parameters for u, v, w at p.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that X, Y are projective, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is birational, prepared and $q \in Y$ is a 1-point such that f is not an isomorphism over q. Then the fundamental locus of f contains a single curve C passing through q and C is nonsingular at q.*

Furthermore, there exist algebraic permissible parameters u, v, w at q such that a form (12) or (13) of Definition 3.1 (for this fixed choice of u, v, w) holds at p for all $p \in f^{-1}(q)$.

Proof. Since for all $p \in f^{-1}(q)$, there exist permissible parameters at q such that a form (12) or (13) of Definition 3.1 holds at p, we have that dim $f^{-1}(q) = 1$. Thus if E' is an exceptional component of f such that $q \in f(E')$, then $f(E')$ is a curve.

Let D be the component of D_Y containing q. Let F be the strict transform of D on X and let $p \in f^{-1}(q) \cap F$. Then p must be a 2-point, and since f is birational, there exist permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}$ at q such that there is an expression in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{u} &= x^a y\\ \overline{v} &= z\\ \overline{w} &= \phi(x^a y, z) + x^d y^e\end{aligned}$$

where $y = 0$ is a (formal) local equation of F, and $x = 0$ is a (formal) local equation of the other component E of D_X containing p. Computing the Jacobian determinant of f at p, we see that $e = 0$. We consider the morphism $f^* : \mathcal{O}_{D,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F,p}$. $\hat{f}^* : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{D,q} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{F,p}$ is the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra homomorphism $\hat{f}^* : \mathbf{k}[[\overline{v}, \overline{w}]] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[x, z]]$ given by $\overline{v} = z$, $\overline{w} = \phi(0, z) + x^d$. Thus $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{F,p}$ is finite over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{D,q}$. It follows that $f^* : \mathcal{O}_{D,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F,p}$ is quasi-finite, and thus $\mathcal{O}_{F,p} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D,q}$ by Zariski's Main Theorem. In particular, $\{p\} = f^{-1}(q) \cap F$. We thus have that the only component of the fundamental locus of f through q is the algebraic curve $C = f(E)$, which has analytic local equations $\overline{u} = \overline{w} - \phi(\overline{u}, \overline{v}) = 0$ at q. Thus C is nonsingular at q.

If E' is a component of the exceptional locus of f such that $q \in f(E')$, we must have that $f(E') = C$. Now let u, v, w be permissible parameters at q such that $u = w = 0$ are local equations of C at q. We see that u, v, w must have a form (12) or (13) of Definition 3.1 for all $p \in f^{-1}(q)$.

□

Lemma 3.6. *Let notation be as in Definition 3.4, and suppose that X and Y are projective.*

1. *If $q \in Y$ is a 2-point (or a 3-point) and for all $p \in f^{-1}(q)$ there exist permissible parameters u_p, v_p, w_p at q which satisfy one of 2 (a) – 2 (c) of Definition 3.4 (or one of (8) – (11) of Definition 3.1) at p, then any permissible parameters u, v, w at q satisfy 2 (or 1) of Definition 3.4 for all $p \in f^{-1}(q)$.*
2. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is prepared and $q \in Y$ is a 1-point, then there exist permissible parameters u, v, w at q such that for all $p \in f^{-1}(q)$, u, v are toroidal forms in local equations at p of the toroidal structure.*

Proof. 1 follows from the definitions, and a local calculation. The most difficult case to verify is when $q \in Y$ is a 2-point, u, v, w are permissible parameters at q, $p \in f^{-1}(p)$

is a 2-point, and u_p, v_p, w_p have a form 2 (c) at p,

$$u_p = (\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^k, v_p = (\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^l(\gamma(\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b, \overline{z}) + \overline{x}^c\overline{y}^d), w_p = \overline{z}.$$

First observe that if $u = v_p, v = u_p, w = w_p$, we can find regular parameters $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that u, v, w have a form 2 (c) with respect to $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$.

By the formal implicit function theorem, we have reduced to the case where there exist unit series $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ in the variables u, v, w and a series λ in u, v (with no constant term) such that

$$u = \gamma_1 u_p, v = \gamma_2 v_p, w = \gamma_3(w_p + \lambda).$$

There exist unit series $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that if $x_1 = \alpha_1\overline{x}$, $y_1 = \alpha_2\overline{y}$, $z_1 = w$, then x_1, y_1, z_1 are regular parameters in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that

$$u = \gamma_1 u_p = (x_1^a y_1^b)^k, v = (x_1^a y_1^b)^l(\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-\frac{l}{k}}\gamma(\gamma_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}x_1^a y_1^b, \overline{z}) + x_1^c y_1^d), w = z_1. \tag{20}$$

We have

$$\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b = \gamma_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}x_1^a y_1^b$$

and

$$\overline{x}^c\overline{y}^d = \gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1^{\frac{l}{k}}x_1^c y_1^d.$$

There exist series g, h, h_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{z} &= w_p = g(u, w) + vh(u, v, w)\\ &= g(u, w) + (x_1^a y_1^b)^l h_1(x_1^a y_1^b, x_1^c y_1^d, z_1, \overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b, \overline{x}^c\overline{y}^d, \overline{z})\end{aligned}$$

and there exists a series h_2 such that

$$v = (x_1^a y_1^b)^l h_2(x_1^a y_1^b, x_1^c y_1^d, z_1, \overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b, \overline{x}^c\overline{y}^d, \overline{z}).$$

By iteration, we see that there exists a series g_2 such that

$$\overline{z} \equiv g_2(x_1^a y_1^b, z_1) \bmod (x_1^{c+1}y_1^{d+1}), \tag{21}$$

and there exists a series h_3 such that

$$v \equiv h_3(x_1^a y_1^b, z_1) \bmod (x_1^{c+1}y_1^{d+1}). \tag{22}$$

Substituting equations (21) and (22) into $\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-\frac{l}{k}}\gamma(\gamma_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}x_1^a y_1^b, \overline{z})$ in (20), we see that there exist unit series $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{\tau}$ such that

$$v = (x_1^a y_1^b)^l(\overline{\gamma}(x_1^a y_1^b, z_1) + x_1^c y_1^d\overline{\tau}).$$

Finally, we can find unit series $\overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{\alpha}_2$ in x_1, y_1, z_1 such that if we set

$$x = x_1\overline{\alpha}_1, y = y_1\overline{\alpha}_2, z = z_1,$$

then u, v, w have an expression of the form 2 (c) in terms of x, y, z.

2 follows from Lemma 3.5. ☐

Definition 3.7. *(*[KKMS], [AK]*) A normal variety $\overline{X}$ with a SNC divisor $D_{\overline{X}}$ on $\overline{X}$ is called toroidal if for every point $p \in \overline{X}$ there exists an affine toric variety X_σ, a point $p' \in X_\sigma$ and an isomorphism of* **k***-algebras*

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{X},p} \cong \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_\sigma,p'}$$

such that the ideal of $D_{\overline{X}}$ corresponds to the ideal of $X_\sigma - T$ (where T is the torus in X_σ). Such a pair (X_σ, p') is called a local model at $p \in \overline{X}$. $D_{\overline{X}}$ is called a toroidal structure on $\overline{X}$.

A dominant morphism $\Phi : \overline{X} \to \overline{Y}$ of toroidal varieties with SNC divisors $D_{\overline{Y}}$ on $\overline{Y}$ and $D_{\overline{X}} = \Phi^{-1}(D_{\overline{Y}})$ on $\overline{X}$, is called toroidal at $p \in \overline{X}$, and we will say that p is a *toroidal point of* Φ *if with* $q=\Phi(p)$*, there exist local models* (X_σ, p') *at* p*,* (Y_τ, q') *at* q *and a toric morphism* $\Psi : X_\sigma \rightarrow Y_\tau$ *such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{X},p} & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_\sigma,p'} \arrow[l] \\
\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{Y},q} \arrow[u, "\hat{\Phi}^*"] & \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_\tau,q'} . \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "\hat{\Psi}^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

$\Phi : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ *is called toroidal (with respect to* $D_{\overline{Y}}$ *and* $D_{\overline{X}}$*) if* Φ *is toroidal at all* $p \in \overline{X}$*.*

The following is the list of toroidal forms for a dominant morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of nonsingular 3-folds with toroidal structure D_Y and $D_X = f^{-1}(D_X)$. Suppose that $p \in D_X$, $q = f(p) \in D_Y$, and f is toroidal at p. Then there exist permissible parameters u, v, w at q and permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p such that one of the following forms hold:

1. p is a 3-point and q is a 3-point,
$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b z^c \\ v &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w &= x^g y^h z^i, \end{aligned}$$
where $a, b, d, e, f, g, h, i \in \mathbf{N}$ and
$$\mathrm{Det}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$
2. p is a 2-point and q is a 3-point,
$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b \\ v &= x^d y^e \\ w &= x^g y^h (z+\alpha) \end{aligned}$$
with $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ and $a, b, d, e, g, h \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfy $ae - bd \neq 0$.
3. p is a 1-point and q is a 3-point,
$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a \\ v &= x^d (y+\alpha) \\ w &= x^g (z+\beta) \end{aligned}$$
with $0 \neq \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, $a, d, g > 0$.
4. p is a 2-point and q is a 2-point,
$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b \\ v &= x^d y^e \\ w &= z \end{aligned}$$
with $ae - bd \neq 0$
5. p is a 1-point and q is a 2-point,
$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a \\ v &= x^d (y+\alpha) \\ w &= z \end{aligned}$$
with $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, $a, d > 0$.
6. p is a 1-point and q is a 1-point,
$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a \\ v &= y \\ w &= z \end{aligned}$$

with $a > 0$.

Definition 3.8. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a prepared morphism. Then D_X is cuspidal for f if:*

1. *If E is a component of D_X which does not contain a 3-point then f is toroidal in a Zariski open neighborhood of E.*
2. *If C is a 2-curve of X which does not contain a 3-point then f is toroidal in a Zariski open neighborhood of C.*

Definition 3.9. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is prepared, and $p \in X$ is a 3-point. Suppose that u, v, w are permissible parameters at $q = f(p)$. Then there is an expression (after possibly permuting u, v, w if q is a 3-point)*

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = x^a y^b z^c \\ v & = x^d y^e z^f \\ w & = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i M_i + N \end{array} \tag{23}$$

where x, y, z are permissible parameters at p for u, v, w, rank$(u, v) = 2$, the sum in w is over (possibly infinitely many) monomials M_i in x, y, z such that rank$(u, v, M_i) = 2$, deg$(M_i) \leq$ deg(M_j) if $i < j$, N is a monomial in x, y, z such that rank$(u, v, N) = 3$ and $N \not| M_i$ for any M_i in the series $\sum \alpha_i M_i$.

If q is a 3-point and u, v, w is not a monomial form (at p), we necessarily have (since f is prepared) that

$$\sum \alpha_i M_i = M_0 \gamma \tag{24}$$

where γ is a unit series in the monomials $\frac{M_i}{M_0}$ (in x, y, z) such that

$$\text{rank}(u, v, M_0) = \text{rank}(u, v, \frac{M_i}{M_0}) = 2$$

for all i, and $M_0 \mid N$.

If q is a 3-point and u, v, w have a monomial form at p, so that $w = N$, define $\tau(p) = \tau_f(p) = -\infty$. Otherwise, define a group $H_p = H_{f,p}$ as follows. The Laurent monomials in x, y, z form a group under multiplication. We define $H_p = H_{f,p}$ to be the subgroup generated by u, v and the terms M_i appearing in the expansion (23). We will write the group H_p additively as:

$$H_p = H_{f,p} = \mathbf{Z}u + \mathbf{Z}v + \sum \mathbf{Z}M_i.$$

Define a subgroup A_p of H_p by:

$$A_p = A_{f,p} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}u + \mathbf{Z}v + \mathbf{Z}M_0 & \text{if } q \text{ is 3-point} \\ \mathbf{Z}u + \mathbf{Z}v & \text{if } q \text{ is a 2-point.} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$L_p = L_{f,p} = H_p / A_p,$$

$$\tau(p) = \tau_f(p) = \mid L_p \mid .$$

Observe that $\tau(p) < \infty$ in Definition 3.9, since H_p is a finitely generated group, and H_p / A_p is a torsion group.

We define

$$\tau(X) = \tau_f(X) = \max\{\tau_f(p) \mid p \in X \text{ is a 3-point}\}.$$

Lemma 3.10. *$\tau_f(p)$ is independent of choice of permissible parameters u, v, w at $q = f(p)$ and permissible parameters x, y, z at p for u, v, w.*

Proof. Suppose that $q \in Y$ is a 3-point.

The condition $\tau(p) = -\infty$ is independent of permuting u, v, w, multiplying u, v, w by units in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$ and multiplying x, y, z by units in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ so that the conditions of (23) hold. Thus $\tau(p) = -\infty$ is independent of choice of permissible parameters at (the 3-points) q and p.

Suppose that u, v, w are permissible parameters at q and x, y, z are permissible parameters at p for u, v, w satisfying (23). Let τ be the computation of $\tau(p)$ for these variables.

Suppose that $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ is another set of permissible parameters at q, and $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ are permissible parameters for $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ at p, satisfying (23). Let τ_1 be the computation for $\tau(p)$ with respect to these variables. We must show that $\tau = \tau_1$. We may assume that $\tau \geq 0$ and $\tau_1 \geq 0$.

Since p and q are 3-points, $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ can be obtained from u, v, w by permuting the variables u, v, w and then multiplying u, v, w by unit series (in u, v, w). $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ can be obtained from x, y, z by permuting x, y, z and then multiplying x, y, z by unit series (in x, y, z).

We then reduce to proving the following:

1. Suppose that $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ is a permutation of u, v, w such that $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$ are toroidal forms at p. Then there exist permissible parameters $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ at p for $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ such that a form (23) holds, and $\tau_1 = \tau$.
2. Suppose that $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ are obtained from u, v, w by multiplying u, v, w by unit series. Then there exist permissible parameters $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ at p for $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ such that a form (23) holds, and $\tau_1 = \tau$.
3. Suppose that $u = \tilde{u}$, $v = \tilde{v}$ and $w = \tilde{w}$ and x, y, z, $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ are two sets of permissible parameters for u, v, w. Then $\tau_1 = \tau$.

We now verify 1. The case when $\tilde{u} = v$, $\tilde{v} = u$, $\tilde{w} = w$ is immediate. We will verify the case when $\tilde{u} = w$, $\tilde{v} = v$ and $\tilde{w} = u$. Since the symmetric group S_3 is generated by the permutations (12) and (13), the remaining cases of 1 will follow.

Since $\tau \geq 0$, and $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}$ have a form (23) at p, we have $\operatorname{rank}(v, M_0) = 2$. Since w, v are toroidal forms at p, there exist permissible parameters $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ at p such that w, v, u (in this order) have an expression of the form of (23) in terms of $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$. We will show that there is an isomorphism of the corresponding group $\tilde{H}$ (computed for these variables) and the group H computed for u, v, w and x, y, z which takes the corresponding group $\tilde{A}$ to A.

With the notation of (24), we have

$$w = M_0(\gamma + \overline{N}_0)$$

where $\overline{N}_0 = \frac{N}{M_0}$ is a monomial in x, y, z.

Set $\overline{M}_0 = M_0$, $\overline{M}_i = \frac{M_i}{M_0}$ for all M_i appearing in the series $\sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i M_i$. Thus $\gamma = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i \overline{M}_i$. There exist $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\overline{M}_i = x^{a_i} y^{b_i} z^{c_i}$$

for $0 \leq i$.

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \mathbf{Z}u + \mathbf{Z}v + \textstyle\sum_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{Z}M_i \\ &= \mathbf{Z}u + \mathbf{Z}v + \textstyle\sum_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Define a finite sequence

$$1 = \mu(1) < \mu(2) < \cdots < \mu(\overline{r})$$

(for appropriate $\overline{r}$) so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu(\overline{r})}\mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu(j)}\mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i$$

if $\mu(j)<n<\mu(j+1)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu(j)}\mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i\ne\sum_{i=1}^{\mu(j+1)}\mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i.$$

Set

$$G_j=\sum_{i=1}^{\mu(j)}\mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i.$$

There exist $k_i,l_i\in\mathbf{Z}$ and $e_i\in\mathbf{N}$ with $\gcd(e_i,k_i,l_i)=1$ such that $\overline{M}_i^{e_i}=u^{k_i}v^{l_i}$ for $i\in\{0,\mu(1),\ldots,\mu(\overline{r})\}$, and there exist $g,h,i\in\mathbf{N}$ such that $\overline{N}_0=x^gy^hz^i$. Thus H is (isomorphic to) the subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}^3$ generated by

$$\begin{array}{l}\delta_1=(a,b,c),\delta_2=(d,e,f),\epsilon_0=(a_0,b_0,c_0),\\ \epsilon_{\mu(1)}=(a_{\mu(1)},b_{\mu(1)},c_{\mu(1)}),\ldots,\epsilon_{\mu(\overline{r})}=(a_{\mu(\overline{r})},b_{\mu(\overline{r})},c_{\mu(\overline{r})}).\end{array}$$

A is the subgroup with generators δ_1,δ_2 and ϵ_0.

Since $\operatorname{rank}(v,M_0)=2$, we can make a change of variables

$$x=\overline{x}\lambda_1,y=\overline{y}\lambda_2,z=\overline{z}\lambda_3$$

where $\lambda_i=(\gamma+\overline{N}_0)^{\beta_i}$ for some $\beta_i\in\mathbf{Q}$, so that

$$\begin{array}{ll}\lambda_1^{a_0}\lambda_2^{b_0}\lambda_3^{c_0}&=(\gamma+\overline{N}_0)^{-1}\\ \lambda_1^{d}\lambda_2^{e}\lambda_3^{f}&=1\\ \lambda_1^{a}\lambda_2^{b}\lambda_3^{c}&=(\gamma+\overline{N}_0)^{t}\end{array}$$

for some $t\in\mathbf{Q}$. Since u,v,w are algebraically independent in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ (by Zariski's subspace theorem, Theorem 10.14 [Ab]), we have that $t\ne0$, and

$$\begin{array}{ll}w&=\overline{x}^{a_0}\overline{y}^{b_0}\overline{z}^{c_0}\\ v&=\overline{x}^{d}\overline{y}^{e}\overline{z}^{f}\\ u&=\overline{x}^{a}\overline{y}^{b}\overline{z}^{c}(\gamma^t+\overline{x}^g\overline{y}^h\overline{z}^i\gamma_2)\end{array}$$

where γ_2 is a unit series in $\overline{x},\overline{y},\overline{z}$.

Set $\tilde{M}_i=\overline{x}^{a_i}\overline{y}^{b_i}\overline{z}^{c_i}$ for $i\ge1$.

$$\gamma(x,y,z)^t\equiv\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}q_j\mod(\overline{x},\overline{y},\overline{z})\overline{N}_0$$

where each q_j is a series in monomials of degree j in $\{\tilde{M}_i\mid i\ge1\}$, and

$$q_0=\alpha_0^t,q_1=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sigma_i\tilde{M}_i$$

with

$$\sigma_i=t\alpha_0^{t-1+a_i\beta_1+b_i\beta_2+c_i\beta_3}\alpha_i$$

for $i\ge1$. Let

$$\omega=\alpha_0^t+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sigma_i\tilde{M}_i.$$

There exists a unit series γ_2 such that

$$u = \overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b\overline{z}^c(\omega + \overline{x}^g\overline{y}^h\overline{z}^i\gamma_2).$$

We now see that the coefficient of $\tilde{M}_{\mu(j)}$ for $1 \le j \le \overline{r}$ in the expansion of ω as a series in $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ is $\sigma_{\mu(j)}$. If not, there would exist a relation $\tilde{M}_{\mu(j)} = \tilde{M}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{M}_{i_n}$ for some $n > 1$. Thus $i_1, \ldots, i_n < \mu(j)$ and $G_{\mu(j)} = G_{\mu(j-1)}$, a contradiction.

Since

$$\mathrm{Det}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c\\ d & e & f\\ g & h & i\end{pmatrix} \ne 0,$$

there exists a change of variables

$$\overline{x} = \tilde{x}\phi_1, \overline{y} = \tilde{y}\phi_2, \overline{z} = \tilde{z}\phi_3$$

where ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 are unit series in $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \phi_1^{\frac{a}{e_0}}\phi_2^{\frac{b}{e_0}}\phi_3^{\frac{c}{e_0}} & = 1\\ \phi_1^{\frac{d}{e_0}}\phi_2^{\frac{e}{e_0}}\phi_3^{\frac{f}{e_0}} & = 1\\ \phi_1^g\phi_2^h\phi_3^i & = \gamma_2^{-1}. \end{array}$$

Since $e_i(a_i, b_i, c_i) = k_i(a, b, c) + l_i(d, e, f)$ for $i \ge 0$, we have an expression

$$\begin{array}{ll} w & = \tilde{x}^{a_0}\tilde{y}^{b_0}\tilde{z}^{c_0}\\ v & = \tilde{x}^d\tilde{y}^e\tilde{z}^f\\ u & = \tilde{x}^a\tilde{y}^b\tilde{z}^c(\omega + \tilde{x}^g\tilde{y}^h\tilde{z}^i) \end{array}$$

and $\tilde{M}_i = \tilde{x}^{a_i}\tilde{y}^{b_i}\tilde{z}^{c_i}\eta_i$ for some e_i-th root of unity η_i in $\mathbf{k}$ for all $1 \le i$.

$\tilde{H}$ is thus (isomorphic to) the subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}^3$ with generators

$$\overline{\delta}_1, \overline{\delta}_2, \overline{\epsilon}_0, \overline{\epsilon}_{\mu(1)}, \ldots, \overline{\epsilon}_{\mu(\overline{r})},$$

defined by

$$\begin{array}{l} \overline{\delta}_1 = (a_0, b_0, c_0), \overline{\delta}_2 = (d, e, f), \overline{\epsilon}_0 = (a, b, c),\\ \overline{\epsilon}_{\mu(1)} = (a_{\mu(1)}, b_{\mu(1)}, c_{\mu(1)}), \ldots, \overline{\epsilon}_{\mu(\overline{r})} = (a_{\mu(\overline{r})}, b_{\mu(\overline{r})}, c_{\mu(\overline{r})}). \end{array}$$

$\tilde{A}$ is the subgroup of $\tilde{H}$ generated by $\overline{\delta}_1, \overline{\delta}_2$ and $\overline{\epsilon}_0$. Thus, we have an isomorphism of H with $\tilde{H}$ which takes A to $\tilde{A}$ by mapping δ_1 to $\overline{\epsilon}_0$, δ_2 to $\overline{\delta}_2$, ϵ_0 to $\overline{\delta}_1$ and ϵ_i to $\overline{\epsilon}_i$ for $i \in \{\mu(1), \ldots, \mu(\overline{r})\}$.

We have thus completed the verification of 1. The verification of 2 and 3 follows from simpler calculations. We thus obtain the conclusions of the lemma when q is a 3-point.

Now assume that $q \in Y$ is a 2-point. $\tau(p)$ is independent of interchanging u and v, multiplying u and v by unit series in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$, and permuting x, y, z and multiplying x, y, z by unit series in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$, so that the conditions of (23) hold. If we replace w by $w' \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$ so that u, v, w' are permissible parameters at q, then by the formal implicit function theorem, there exists a unit series $\alpha(u, v, w) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$ and a series $\beta(u, v) \in \mathbf{k}[[u, v]]$ such that $w = \alpha^{-1}(w' - \beta(u, v))$.

There exists a series ϕ in x, y, z such that

$$\alpha(u, v, w) = \alpha(u, v, \sum \alpha_i M_i + N) = \alpha(u, v, \sum \alpha_i M_i) + N\phi.$$

Then

$$w' = \beta(u, v) + \alpha(u, v, \sum \alpha_i M_i)(\sum \alpha_i M_i) + N[\alpha(u, v, \sum \alpha_i M_i) + (\sum \alpha_i M_i)\phi + N\phi].$$

Now as in the calculation we make in the verification that $\tau_1 = \tau$ in the case when q is a 3-point, we see that there exist permissible parameters $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ for u, v, w' at p

such that $\tau_1 = \tau$ (where τ is computed for u, v, w and x, y, z and τ' is computed for u, v, w' and $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$). Thus $\tau(p)$ is independent of choice of permissible parameters at q and p when q is a 2-point.

☐

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose that X is a nonsingular 3-fold with SNC divisor D_X, defining a toroidal structure on X. Suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal sheaf on X which is locally generated by monomials in local equations of components of D_X. Then there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\Phi_1 : X_1 \to X$ such that $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is an invertible ideal sheaf. If $\mathcal{I}$ is locally generated by two equations, then Φ_1 is an isomorphism away from the support of $\mathcal{I}$.*

This lemma is an extension of Lemma 18.18 [C2], and is generalized to all dimensions in [G].

Proof. X has a cover by affine open sets $U_1, \dots, U_n$ such that there exist $g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,l} \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $g_{i,j} = 0$ are local equations in U_i of irreducible components of D_X, and there exist $f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,m(i)} \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that the $f_{i,j}$ are monomials in the $g_{i,k}$ and $\Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{I}) = (f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,m(i)})$.

Let D_{ij} be an effective divisor supported on the components of D_X such that there is equality of divisors $D_{ij} \cap U_i = (f_{i,j}) \cap U_i$. Let $\mathcal{I}_i \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be the ideal sheaf which is locally generated by local equations of $D_{i,1}, \dots, D_{i,m(i)}$. By construction, $\mathcal{I}_i \mid U_i = \mathcal{I} \mid U_i$ for all i.

We will show that for an ideal sheaf of the form $\mathcal{I}_1$, there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves, $\pi : X_1 \to X$ such that $\mathcal{I}_1\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is invertible. Since $\mathcal{I}_i\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ are locally generated by local equations of $\pi^*(D_{i,1}), \dots, \pi^*(D_{i,m(i)})$, there exists $\pi_2 : X_2 \to X$ which is a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves such that $\mathcal{I}_i\mathcal{O}_{X_2}$ is invertible for all i. Since $\mathcal{I}_i\mathcal{O}_{X_2} \mid \pi_2^{-1}(U_i) = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X_2} \mid \pi_2^{-1}(U_i)$ for all i, $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X_2}$ is invertible.

We may now suppose that there exists $n > 0$ and effective divisors $D_1, \dots, D_n$ on X whose supports are unions of components of D_X, such that $\mathcal{I}$ is locally generated by local equations of $D_1, \dots, D_n$.

First suppose that $n = 2$. Suppose that $p \in X$ is a general point of a 2-curve C. Let $x = 0$, $y = 0$ be local equations of the components of D_X containing p. $x = y = 0$ are local equations of C at p. Then there exist $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{N}$ such that D_1 is defined near p by the divisor of $x^a y^b$, and D_2 is defined near p by the divisor of $x^c y^d$. Define

$$\omega(C) = \begin{cases} \max\{(|a-c|, |b-d|), (|b-d|, |a-c|)\} & \text{if } a-c,\ b-d \text{ are nonzero} \\ & \text{and have opposite signs,} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here the maximum is computed in the lexicographic order. We see that the stalk $\mathcal{I}_p$ is invertible if and only if $\omega(C) = -\infty$.

Further, if $\omega(C) = -\infty$ for all 2-curves C of X, then $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible, as follows since the divisors D_1 and D_2 are given locally at a 3-point p by the divisors of monomials $x^a y^b z^c$ and $x^d y^e z^f$ where $xyz = 0$ is a local equation of D_X at p. $a - d$ and $b - e$ have the same signs, $a - d$, $c - f$ have the same signs, and $b - e$, $c - f$ have the same signs, so $x^a y^b z^c | x^d y^e z^f$ or $x^d y^e z^f \mid x^a y^b z^c$.

Now define

$$\overline{\omega}(X) = \max\{\omega(C) \mid C \text{ is a 2-curve of } X\}.$$

We have seen that $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible if and only if $\overline{\omega}(X) = -\infty$. Suppose that $\overline{\omega}(X) \neq -\infty$ and C is a 2-curve of X such that $\omega(C) = \overline{\omega}(X)$. Let $\pi : X_1 \to X$ be the blow up of C. Let $D_{X_1} = \pi^{-1}(D_X) = \pi^*(D_X)_{red}$, $D_1' = \pi^*(D_1)$, $D_2' = \pi^*(D_2)$.

We can define the function ω for 2-curves on X_1, relative to D_1' and D_2', and define $\overline{\omega}(X_1)$.

By a local calculation (as shown in the proof of Lemma 18.18 [C2]) we see that $\omega(C_1) < \overline{\omega}(X)$ if C_1 is a 2-curve which is contained in the exceptional divisor of π.

Suppose that $C_1, \dots, C_r$ are the 2-curves C on X such that $\omega(C) = \overline{\omega}(X)$. We obtain a reduction $\overline{\omega}(X_1) < \overline{\omega}(X)$ after blowing up (the strict transforms of) these r curves. By induction on $\overline{\omega}(X)$, we must obtain that $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X_2}$ is invertible after an appropriate sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $X_2 \rightarrow X$.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ is locally generated by local equations of $D_1, \dots, D_n$ (with $n > 2$). Let $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf which is locally generated by local equations of D_1 and D_2. We have seen that there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\pi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{I}_1\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is invertible. Thus there exists a divisor $\overline{D}$ on X_1 whose support is a union of components of D_{X_1} such that $\mathcal{I}_1\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is locally generated by a local equation of $\overline{D}$.

Let $\overline{D}_i = \pi_1^*(D_i)$ for $3 \le i \le n$. Then $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is locally generated by local equations of the $n-1$ divisors $\overline{D}, \overline{D}_3, \dots, \overline{D}_n$. By induction, there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $X_2 \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X_2}$ is invertible.

□

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a prepared morphism, and $\overline{C}$ is a 2-curve in Y. then there exists a sequence of blowups of 2-curves $\Phi : X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\overline{C}}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is invertible and Φ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y - \overline{C})$.*

Proof. The Lemma is a consequence of Lemma 3.11. □

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose that X is a nonsingular 3-fold with SNC divisor D_X, defining a toroidal structure on X. Suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal sheaf on X which is locally generated by monomials in local equations of components of D_X. Then there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points $\Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is an invertible ideal sheaf and Φ_1 is an isomorphism away from the support of $\mathcal{I}$.*

The proof of this lemma follows from the proof of principalization of ideals, as in [BEV] or [BrM] (cf. the proof of Theorem 6.3 [C3]) in the case when the ideal to be principalized is locally generated by monomials in the toroidal structure.

4. PREPARATION

The following theorem is Theorem 19.11 [C2], with the additional conclusions that all 2-curves of X_2 contain a 3-point, and all components of D_{X_2} contain a 3-point.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\Phi : X \rightarrow S$ is a dominant morphism from a nonsingular 3-fold X to a nonsingular surface S and D_S is a SNC divisor on S such that $D_X = \Phi^{-1}(D_S)$ is a SNC divisor which contains the singular locus of Φ. Further suppose that every component of D_X contains a 3-point and every 2-curve of X contains a 3-point.*

1. *Then there exists a sequence of blow ups of possible centers $\alpha_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that*
   - (a) *The fundamental locus of α_1 is contained in the union of irreducible components E of D_X such that E contains a point p such that Φ is not prepared at p (Definition 6.5* [C2]*).*
   - (b) *$\Phi_1 = \Phi \circ \alpha_1 : X_1 \rightarrow S$ is prepared (Definition 6.5* [C2]*)*
   - (c) *Each 2-curve of X_1 contains a 3-point and each component of $D_{X_1} = \alpha_1^{-1}(D_X)$ contains a 3-point.*

2. *Further, there exist sequences of blow ups of possible centers,* $\alpha_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ *and* $\beta : S_1 \rightarrow S$ *such that:*
   (a) *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_2 \arrow[r, "\Phi_2"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_2"'] & S_1 \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\ X_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi_1"] & S \end{tikzcd}$$

   such that Φ_2 *is toroidal,*
   (b) β *is an isomorphism away from* $\beta^{-1}(\Phi_1(Z))$ *where* Z *is the locus where* Φ_1 *is not toroidal.*
   (c) α_2 *is an isomorphism away from* $\alpha_2^{-1}(\Phi_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(Z)))$
   (d) *Each 2-curve of* X_2 *contains a 3-point and each component of* D_{X_2} *contains a 3-point.*

Proof. For the proof we need only make some small modifications in the proof of Theorem 19.11 [C2].

We first prove 1 of the theorem. By Lemma 6.2 [C2], there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_0 \arrow[d, "\alpha_0"'] \arrow[dr, "\Phi_0"] & \\ X \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & S \end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\Phi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow S$ is a weakly prepared morphism (Definition 6.1 [C2]), and the fundamental locus of α_0 is contained in the locus where Φ is not weakly prepared, (which is contained in the locus where Φ is not prepared). It is not necessary to blow up points on S since D_S, D_X are SNC divisors. Let $D_{X_0} = \alpha_0^{-1}(D_X)$. By further blowing up of points in the exceptional locus of α_0, we may assume that all components of D_{X_0} contain a 3-point, and all 2-curves of X_0 contain a 3-point.

By Theorem 17.2 [C2] there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[d, "\overline{\alpha}"'] \arrow[dr, "\Phi_1"] & \\ X_0 \arrow[r, "\Phi_0"] & S \end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ_1 is prepared. The algorithm consists of a sequence

$$X_1 = Y_n \overset{\overline{\alpha}_n}{\rightarrow} Y_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_1 \overset{\overline{\alpha}_1}{\rightarrow} Y_0 = X_0$$

of blow ups of points and nonsingular curves which are possible centers; that is make SNCs with the preimage D_{Y_i} of D_{X_0} and are contained in a component E of D_{Y_i} such that E contains a point which is not prepared for

$$\overline{\Phi}_i = \Phi_0 \circ \overline{\alpha}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \overline{\alpha}_i : Y_i \rightarrow S.$$

If $p \in Y_i$ is prepared for $\overline{\Phi}_i$ then all points of $\overline{\alpha}_{i+1}^{-1}(p)$ are prepared for $\overline{\Phi}_{i+1}$. Thus conditions (a) and (b) of 1 hold.

The strict transforms on X_1 of all components of D_X must contain a 3-point and if C is a 2-curve of X which is not contained in the fundamental locus of $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 \circ \overline{\alpha}$, then its strict transform on X_2 must also contain a 3-point. Thus any components of D_{X_2} which do not contain a 3-point, and 2-curves of X_2 which do not contain a 3-point, are contained in the exceptional locus of α_1.

To complete the proof of 1 of the theorem, we need only show that if $p \in X_1$ is a point in the exceptional locus of α_1, and $\alpha^* : \overline{X} \rightarrow X_1$ is the blow up of p, then $\Phi_1 \circ \alpha^* : \overline{X} \rightarrow S$ is prepared. This can directly be seen by substituting local equations for the blow up of a point into (17)–(20) of Definition 6.5 [C2]. We can thus construct $\alpha_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that $\Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow S$ is prepared and all conditions of 1 hold.

We now verify 2 of the theorem. We first observe that Φ_1 (from the conclusions of part 1 of this theorem) is strongly prepared (Definition 18.1 [C2]). We now examine the monomialization algorithm of Chapter 18 [C2] and the toroidalization algorithm of Chapter 19 [C2], applied to $\Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow S$.

The monomialization algorithm of Theorem 18.19 and Theorem 18.21 [C2] consists in constructing a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}_2 \arrow[r, "\tilde{\pi}_2"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_2"'] & X_1 \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"] \\
\tilde{S}_1 \arrow[r, "\tilde{\Psi}_1"] & S
\end{tikzcd} \tag{25}$$

such that $\tilde{\Phi}_2$ is monomial (all points of $\tilde{X}_2$ are good for $\tilde{\Phi}_2$ as defined in Definition 18.5 [C2]).

(25) has a factorization

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}_2 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_2"'] & Z_l \arrow[r, "\epsilon_l"] \arrow[d, "\Omega_l"'] & \cdots \arrow[r] & Z_1 \arrow[r, "\epsilon_1"] \arrow[d, "\Omega_1"'] & Z_0 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\Omega_0"'] & X_1 \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] \\
\tilde{S}_1 \arrow[r, equal] & T_l \arrow[r, "\delta_l"] & \cdots \arrow[r] & T_1 \arrow[r, "\delta_1"] & T_0 \arrow[r, equal] & S
\end{tikzcd} \tag{26}$$

where each Ω_i is strongly prepared, each δ_{i+1} is the blow up of a point q_i such that $\Omega_i^{-1}(q_i)$ contains a point p_i at which Ω_i is not monomial and ϵ_{i+1} is a sequence of blow ups of curves which are exceptional to such q_i. This step is accomplished by performing the algorithms of Lemmas 18.16, 18.17 and 18.18 of [C2]. We will make a minor modification in the algorithm of Theorem 18.19, which will ensure that all 2-curves of $\tilde{X}_2$ contain a 3-point, and all components of $D_{\tilde{X}_2}$ contain a 3-point.

Each map $Z_{i+1} \rightarrow Z_i$ has a factorization

$$Z_{i+1} = \overline{Z}_m \overset{\lambda_m}{\rightarrow} \overline{Z}_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \overset{\lambda_1}{\rightarrow} \overline{Z}_0 = Z_i \tag{27}$$

where each λ_{j+1} is a blow up of a 2-curve or of a curve C_j which contains a 1-point, makes SNCs with the preimage $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$ of D_X on $\overline{Z}_j$, and is contained in a component of $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$. To construct (27) we successively apply Lemmas 18.16, 18.17, 18.18 of [C2].

The algorithms of Lemma 18.16 and Lemmas 18.18 [C2] consist of blow ups of 2-curves and the condition that all 2-curves contain a 3-point and all components of $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$ contain a 3-point is preserved by this condition.

The algorithm of Lemma 18.17 [C2] consists of a sequence of blow ups of curves $\lambda_{j+1} : \overline{Z}_{j+1} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_j$ of $C_j \subset D_{\overline{Z}_j}$ which are not 2-curves, and are contained in the locus where $m_{q_i}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}_j}$ is not invertible. Let $p \in C_j$ be a general point, so that p is a 1-point. There exist permissible parameters (u, v) at q_i and regular parameters x, y, z in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{Z}_j,p}$ such that a form (185) of Lemma 18.12 [C2] holds,

$$u = x^k, v = x^c y \tag{28}$$

with $c < k$. $x = 0$ is a local equation of $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$ at p, and $x = y = 0$ are local equations of C_j. $u = v = 0$ are local equations of q in T_i. The exceptional divisor of λ_{j+1} contains a 2-curve which is a section over C_j. At the two point $p_1 \in \lambda_{j+1}^{-1}(p)$, we have regular parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{Z}_{j+1},p}$ such that

$$u = x_1^k y_1^k, v = x_1^c y_1^{c+1}. \tag{29}$$

If C_j contains a 2-point then all components of $D_{\overline{Z}_{j+1}}$ contain a 3-point, and all 2-curves of $\overline{Z}_{j+1}$ contain a 3-point.

Suppose that C_j does not contain a 2-point. Then u, v have an expression of the form (28) at all points $\overline{p} \in C_j$.

If C_j does not contain a 2-point, we modify the algorithm of Lemma 18.17 [C2], inserting an extra step here, by performing the blow up $\lambda'_{j+1} : \overline{Z}'_{j+1} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_{j+1}$ of the point p_1. In this case, all points $p \in C_j$ are general points, and we we may choose $p = \lambda_{j+1}(p_1)$ to be any point of C_j which is convenient. We will make use of this observation in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Points p_2 above p_1 have regular parameters (x_2, y_2, z_2) such that

$$x_1 = x_2, y_1 = x_2(y_2 + \alpha), z_1 = x_2(z_2 + \beta) \tag{30}$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$,

$$x_1 = x_2 y_2, y_1 = y_2, z_1 = y_2(z_2 + \beta) \tag{31}$$

with $\beta \in \mathbf{k}$, or

$$x_1 = x_2 z_2, y_1 = y_2 z_2, z_1 = z_2 \tag{32}$$

Substituting (30) into (29) we have

$$u = x_2^{2k}(y_2 + \alpha)^k, v = x_2^{2c+1}(y_2 + \alpha)^{c+1}. \tag{33}$$

Thus if $\alpha \neq 0$ we have a good point of the form (183) of [C2] and $m_{q_i}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}'_{j+1},p_2}$ is invertible. If $\alpha = 0$, then

$$u = x_2^{2k} y_2^k, v = x_2^{2c+1} y_2^{c+1}$$

which is a good point of the form (179) of [C2] and is a form (187) of [C2] if $m_{q_i}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}'_{j+1},p_2}$ is not invertible.

Under substitution of (31) into (29), we see that

$$u = x_2^k y_2^{2k}, v = x_2^c y_2^{2c+1}$$

which is a good point of the form (179) of [C2] and is a form (187) of [C2] if $m_{q_i}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}'_{j+1},p_2}$ is not invertible.

Under substitution of (32) into (29), we obtain

$$u = x_2^k y_2^k z_2^{2k}, v = x_2^c y_2^{c+1} z_2^{2c+1}$$

which is a good point of the form (193) of [C2] if $m_{q_i}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}'_{j+1},p_2}$ is not invertible.

Observe that the locus of points in $(\lambda'_{j+1})^{-1}(p_1)$ where $m_{q_i}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}'_{j+1}}$ is not invertible is a union of 2-curves.

We now continue the algorithm as in the the proof of Lemma 18.17 [C2]. As the invariant $\Omega(C_j) = k - c$ of Lemma 18.17 [C2] which is decreased in the algorithm of Lemma 18.17 [C2] is computed at generic points of curves C_j (which contain a 1-point) and for which $m_{q_i}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z}_j,p}$ is not invertible, these invariants are not affected by inserting these new blow ups of points λ'_{j+1} into (27). Thus the conclusions of Theorem 18.19 [C2] will hold, for the modified $\tilde{X}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{S}_1$, but we may further assume that each 2-curve of $\tilde{X}_2$ contains a 3-point and each component of $D_{\tilde{X}_2}$ contains a 3-point.

Theorem 19.9 [C2] and Theorem 19.10 [C2] imply there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}_3 \arrow[r, "\tilde{\pi}_3"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_3"'] & \tilde{X}_2 \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_2"] \\
\tilde{S}_2 \arrow[r, "\tilde{\psi}_2"] & \tilde{S}_1
\end{tikzcd} \tag{34}$$

such that $\tilde{X}_3 \rightarrow \tilde{S}_2$ is toroidal, and 2 (b), 2 (c) of the conclusions of the theorem hold. We will indicate how we can modify the proof slightly to ensure that 2 (d) of the conclusions of the theorem holds for $\tilde{X}_3 \rightarrow \tilde{S}_2$.

The algorithm of Theorem 19.9 [C2] consists of a sequence of blow ups of curves above $\tilde{X}_2$ and finitely many blow ups of points over $\tilde{S}_1$.

In the algorithm, we first construct a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}_3' \arrow[r, "\pi_3'"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_3'"'] & \tilde{X}_2 \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_2"] \\
\tilde{S}_2' \arrow[r, "\Psi_2'"] & \tilde{S}_1
\end{tikzcd} \tag{35}$$

which has a factorization by a diagram of the form (26), so that a global invariant $I(\tilde{\Phi}_3') \le 0$ (this invariant is defined on page 227 of [C2]). The factorizations (27) of the morphisms of (26) consist of a sequence of blow ups of curves, using first Lemma 18.17 [C2] to blow up curves which contain a 1-point and are possible centers (make SNCs with $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$ and are contained in a component of $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$) and then Lemma 18.18 [C2] to blow up 2-curves.

If a 2-curve is blown up, then the condition that all 2-curves contains a 3-point is preserved.

Suppose that a curve C_j is blown up which contains a 1-point by $\lambda_{j+1} : \overline{Z}_{j+1} \rightarrow \overline{Z}_j$ (in (27)). This is analyzed in Lemma 19.8 [C2]. Let $p \in C_j$ be a general point. Then a form (185) of [C2] (as in (28) of our analysis of monomialization) holds at p, and if $p_1 \in \lambda_{j+1}^{-1}(p)$ is the 2-point, then a form (29) holds at p_1.

Assuming that C_j does not contain a 2-point, We now modify the algorithm of Theorem 19.9 [C2] by blowing up the point p_1. Let $\lambda_{j+1}' : \overline{Z}_{j+1}' \rightarrow \overline{Z}_{j+1}$ be this map. Let $\overline{E}$ be the exceptional divisor of λ_{j+1}'. We see (from (33)) that a form

$$u = \overline{x}_2^{2k}, v = \overline{x}_2^{2c+1}(\overline{\alpha} + \overline{y}_2)$$

with $\overline{\alpha} \neq 0$ holds at a general point p_2 of $\overline{E}$. Let

$$\tilde{\Omega}_j = \Omega_i \circ \lambda_1 \circ \ldots \circ \lambda_{j+1} \circ \lambda_{j+1}'.$$

We have

$$I(\tilde{\Omega}_j, \overline{E}) = (2c+1) - 2k = 2(c-k) + 1 < 0 \tag{36}$$

since $c < k$. We may thus continue the algorithm of Theorem 19.9 [C2]. We modify (35) by adding in these blow ups, λ_{j+1}', to achieve the reduction $I(\tilde{\Phi}_3', E) \le 0$ for all components E of $D_{\tilde{X}_3'}$ which contain a 1-point mapping to a 1-point, and so that all components of $D_{\tilde{X}_3'}$ contain a 3-point, and all 2-curves of $\tilde{X}_3'$ contain a 3-point.

The algorithm of Theorem 19.10 [C2] consists of a sequence of blow ups of curves over $\tilde{X}_3'$ and points over $\tilde{S}_2'$. We construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}_3 \arrow[r, "\tilde{\pi}_3'"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_3"'] & \tilde{X}_3' \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_3'"] \\
\tilde{S}_2 \arrow[r, "\tilde{\Psi}_2'"] & \tilde{S}_2'
\end{tikzcd} \tag{37}$$

such that $\tilde{\pi}_3'$ is toroidal, which has a factorization by a diagram of the form (26). The factorization (27) of the morphisms in (26) consists of a sequence of blow ups of curves C_j which are possible centers (make SNCs with $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$ and are contained in $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$), using Lemma 18.17 [C2].

Suppose that a curve C_j is blown up by $\lambda_{j+1}:\overline{Z}_{j+1}\rightarrow\overline{Z}_j$ in equation (27). If C_j contains a 2-point then (assuming that all components of $D_{\overline{Z}_j}$ contain a 3-point and all 2-curves of $\overline{Z}_j$ contain a 3-point) all components of $D_{\overline{Z}_{j+1}}$ contain a 3-point, and all 2-curves of $\overline{Z}_{j+1}$ contain a 3-point.

Suppose that C_j does not contain a 2-point. Then u, v have an expression of the form (28) at all $p\in C_j$. Let $p\in C_j$. If $p_1\in\lambda_{j+1}^{-1}(p)$ is the 2-point, then (29) holds at p_1. We now modify the algorithm of Theorem 19.10 [C2] by blowing up the 2-point p_1. Let $\lambda'_{j+1}:\overline{Z}'_{j+1}\rightarrow\overline{Z}_{j+1}$ be this map. Let $\overline{E}$ be the exceptional divisor of λ'_{j+1}. Let

$$\overline{\Omega}_j=\Omega_i\circ\lambda_1\circ\cdots\circ\lambda_{j+1}\circ\lambda'_{j+1}.$$

We have $I(\overline{\Omega}_j,\overline{E})<0$ (as shown in (36)). Now by Lemma 19.6 [C2] we can continue the algorithm of Theorem 19.10 [C2] to achieve the conclusions of Theorem 19.10 [C2], with the conclusions 2 (a) - 2 (d) of the conclusions of this theorem. □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $f:X\rightarrow Y$ is a birational projective morphism of nonsingular 3-folds with toroidal structure, defined by SNC divisors D_Y and $D_X=f^{-1}(D_Y)$, there exists $q\in D_Y$ such that there exist uniformizing parameters u, v, w on Y (an etale morphism $Y\rightarrow spec(\mathbf{k}[u,v,w])$) such that $u=v=w=0$ are equations of q in Y,*

$$D_Y=\{uv=0\},$$

and the fundamental locus of f is $C_1\cup C_2$ where $u=w=0$ are equations of C_1 in Y, $v=w=0$ are equations of C_2 in Y. Suppose that $u=0$, $v=0$ are integral surfaces in Y, and C_1, C_2 are irreducible. Let $\pi:Y\rightarrow S=spec(\mathbf{k}[u,v])$ be the projection. Let $\overline{q}=\pi(q)$ and $\gamma=\pi^{-1}(\overline{q})\subset Y$. Let $D_S=\{uv=0\}$, a SNC divisor on S. Assume that $g=\pi\circ f:X\rightarrow S$ is toroidal away from $f^{-1}(q)$, and prepared away from $f^{-1}(\gamma)$.

Then there exists a sequence of blow ups

$$X_n\overset{\Psi_n}{\rightarrow}X_{n-1}\rightarrow\cdots\overset{\Psi_1}{\rightarrow}X \tag{38}$$

where each $\Psi_i:X_i\rightarrow X_{i-1}$ is the blow up of a possible center (a point or a nonsingular curve contained in $D_{X_{i-1}}=\Phi_{i-1}^{-1}(D_{X_{i-2}})$ which makes SNCs with $D_{X_{i-1}}$) which is supported over $f^{-1}(q)$ such that if F is a component of D_X which dominates a component of D_Y (or dominates C_1 or C_2), and F_n is the strict transform of F on X_n, then $X_n\rightarrow S$ is toroidal in a neighborhood of F_n, and $X_n\rightarrow S$ is prepared on F_n away from the strict transform of γ. Further, $X_n\rightarrow S$ is prepared away from the preimage of γ, and is toroidal away from the preimage of q.

Further assume that every irreducible component of D_X contains a 3-point and every 2-curve of D_X contains a 3-point. Then every irreducible component of $D_{X_n}=(\Psi_1\circ\cdots\circ\Psi_n)^{-1}(D_X)$ contains a 3-point and every 2-curve of D_{X_n} contains a 3-point.

Proof. After possibly blowing up points and curves over X which are supported over $f^{-1}(q)$, we may assume that $f^{-1}(q)$ is a divisor, and if F is a component of D_X which dominates a component E of D_Y, and L is an exceptional component of f which intersects F, then $f(L)\subset E$. Let E_1 be the component of D_Y with local equation $u=0$, E_2 be the component of D_Y with local equation $v=0$. We may further assume that if F is a component of D_X which dominates C_1 (respectively C_2) and L is an exceptional component of f which intersects F, then $f(L)\subset E_1$ (respectively $f(L)\subset E_2$). Finally, if every irreducible component of D_X contains a 3-point and every 2-curve of D_X contains a 3-point, we may assume that this condition is preserved. Let $G=f^{-1}(q)$.

Suppose that F is a component of D_X which dominates a component E of D_Y. Without loss of generality, E has the equation $u = 0$. By assumption, g is toroidal at points of $F - f^{-1}(q)$. Suppose that $p \in F \cap f^{-1}(q)$. Then p must be a 2-point or a 3-point. Recall that $uv = 0$ is an equation of the SNC divisor D_X on X.

If $p \in F \cap f^{-1}(q)$ is a 2-point then there exist regular parameters x, y, z at p such that $xy = 0$ is a local equation of D_X, $x = 0$ is a local equation of F and there is an expression

$$u = xy^g\lambda_1, v = y^c\lambda_2$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are units, $g > 0$ and $c > 0$.

Thus g is toroidal and prepared in a neighborhood of p.

If $p \in F \cap f^{-1}(q)$ is a 3-point and p is not on the strict transform of the component E' of D_Y with local equation $v = 0$, then there exist regular parameters x, y, z at p such that $xyz = 0$ is a local equation of D_X, $x = 0$ is a local equation of F, and there is an expression

$$u = xy^bz^c\lambda_1, v = y^dz^e\lambda_2$$

where γ_1, γ_2 are units, $b, c > 0$ and $d + e > 0$. Thus g is toroidal and prepared in a neighborhood of p.

If $p \in F \cap f^{-1}(q)$ is a point on the strict transform on X of the component E' of D_Y with local equation $v = 0$, then p is a 3-point and there is an expression

$$\begin{aligned} u &= xz^a\lambda_1 \\ v &= yz^b\lambda_2 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

at p where $a, b > 0$, λ_1, λ_2 are units, $x = y = 0$ are local equations of the strict transform γ_1 of $\gamma = \pi^{-1}(q)$, and g is toroidal in a neighborhood of p.

Suppose that F is a component of D_X which dominates C_1. By assumption, g is toroidal at points of $F - f^{-1}(q)$, and on points of the strict transform of a component of D_Y.

Suppose that $p \in F \cap f^{-1}(q)$ is not on the strict transform of a component of D_Y. by construction, p must be a 2 or 3-point. If p is a 2-point, then there exist regular parameters x, y, z at p and unit series λ_1, λ_2 such that

$$u = x^ay^b\lambda_1, v = y^c\lambda_2,$$

$x = 0$ is a local equation of F, $y = 0$ is a local equation of a component of D_X which maps to E_1, so that $a, b, c > 0$. Thus g is toroidal and prepared at p.

If p is a 3-point, then there exist regular parameters x, y, z at p such that

$$u = x^ay^bz^c\lambda_1, v = y^dz^e\lambda_2$$

where $x = 0$ is a local equation of F, $y = 0$, $z = 0$ are local equations of exceptional components of D_X which map into E_1, $d + e > 0$ and λ_1, λ_2 are unit series. Thus $a, b, c > 0$ and f is toroidal and prepared at p.

The same analysis applies if F is a component of D_X which dominates C_2.

□

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a birational morphism of nonsingular projective 3-folds. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d] & Y_1 \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are products of blow ups of nonsingular subvarieties such that the (reduced) fundamental locus Γ of f_1 is a union of nonsingular curves and points such that two curves of Γ intersect in at most one point, and this intersection is *transversal (the two curves have distinct tangent directions). Further, the intersection of any three curves of* Γ *is empty.*

Proof. Let S be a reduced (but not necessarily irreducible) surface in Y containing the fundamental locus of f. By the standard theorems of resolution of singularities ([H], Section 6.8 [C3]), there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ_1 and Ψ_1 are products of blow ups of points and nonsingular curves, such that $\Psi_1^{-1}(S)$ is a divisor whose irreducible components are nonsingular, which necessarily contains the fundamental curve Γ_1 of f_1 (the reduced 1-dimensional scheme consisting of the 1-dimensional components of the fundamental locus of f_1). Let $S_1,\dots,S_n$ be the irreducible components of $\Psi_1^{-1}(S)$.

Let H be a hyperplane section of Y_1. Let $q\in\Gamma_1$ be a singular point. Let $m_q\subset\mathcal{O}_{Y_1,q}$ be the ideal sheaf of the point q, $R=\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1,q}$, $\overline{m}_q=m_qR$ be the maximal ideal of R.

Suppose that $\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}\in m_q/m_q^2$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{k}$. There exist regular parameters u,v,w in $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1,q}$ such that $\vec{\alpha}=[u],\vec{\beta}=[v]\in m_q/m_q^2$. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha}}\subset\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$ be the ideal sheaf defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha},p}=\begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1,p} & \text{if } p\ne q\\ (u)+m_q^2 & \text{if } p=q.\end{cases}$$

Let $Y_{\vec{\alpha}}$ be the blow up of $\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha}}$, with projection $\pi_{\vec{\alpha}}:Y_{\vec{\alpha}}\to Y_1$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\alpha}}=\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha}}\mathcal{O}_{Y_{\vec{\alpha}}}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_m^{\vec{\alpha}}=\pi_{\vec{\alpha}}^*\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(mH)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\alpha}}$ is very ample for $m\gg0$. $\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha},p}$ is a complete ideal, so

$$\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\alpha}}=\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(mH)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha}}\cong(\pi_{\vec{\alpha}})_*(\mathcal{M}_m^{\vec{\alpha}})$$

and

$$\Gamma(Y_{\vec{\alpha}},\mathcal{M}_m^{\vec{\alpha}})=\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\alpha}}).$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_m^{\vec{\alpha}}$ is generated by global sections, the divisor of a general section of $\Gamma(Y_{\vec{\alpha}},\mathcal{M}_m^{\vec{\alpha}})$ is irreducible and nonsingular away from $\pi_{\vec{\alpha}}^{-1}(q)$ by Bertini's theorem, (cf. Theorems 7.18 and 7.19 [I]). Thus the divisor of a general section of $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\alpha}})$ is irreducible and is nonsingular away from q. Consider the exact sequence

$$0\to m_q^2\to\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha}}\to\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha}}/m_q^2\to0.$$

Tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(mH)$ for $m\gg0$, we see that there is a surjection

$$\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\alpha}})\to\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\alpha}}/m_q^2\cong\mathbf{k}.$$

Thus a general section $\sigma\in\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\alpha}})$ is such that

$$\sigma\equiv\lambda_1u\mod m_q^2$$

for some $0\ne\lambda_1\in\mathbf{k}$. In particular, the divisor D_σ of a general section σ is irreducible, nonsingular and $u=0$ is a local equation of its tangent space at q.

In an analogous way, we can define an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\beta}}\subset\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\beta},p}=\begin{cases}\mathcal{O}_{Y_1,p} & \text{if } p\ne q\\ (v)+m_q^2 & \text{if } p=q,\end{cases}$$

and show that for $m\gg0$, if $\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\beta}}=\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(mH)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{\vec{\beta}}$, the divisor D_τ of a general section τ of $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\beta}})$ is irreducible, nonsingular, and $v=0$ is a local equation of its tangent space at q.

Since the base locus of the linear system of $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\alpha}})$ is the point q, the divisor D_σ of a general section σ of $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec{\alpha}})$ intersects Γ_1 at q and at finitely many other points. Since the base locus of the linear system $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec\beta})$ is q, if D_τ is the divisor of a general section τ of $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_{m'}^{\vec\beta})$, (for appropriate $m'\ge m$) then Γ_1 intersects the scheme $D_\sigma\cdot D_\tau$ at the point q only, and by Bertini's theorem, $\gamma=D_\sigma\cdot D_\tau$ is an irreducible curve which is nonsingular away from q. Since $\sigma\equiv\lambda_1 u\mod m_q^2$ and $\tau\equiv\lambda_2 v\mod m_q^2$ for some $0\ne\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\mathbf{k}$, we have that γ is nonsingular at q, and $u=v=0$ are local equations of the tangent space to γ at q. By Bertini's theorem applied to the surfaces $S_1,\dots,S_n$, we see that the divisor D_σ of a general section σ of $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_m^{\vec\alpha})$ intersects the S_i transversally away from q (the tangent spaces of D_σ and S_i intersect in a line), and a further application of Bertini's theorem shows that the divisor D_τ of a general section τ of $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{N}_{m'}^{\vec\beta})$ is such that $\gamma=D_\sigma\cdot D_\tau$ intersects each S_i transversally away from q.

In summary, for $m'\gg m\gg 0$ there exist $\sigma_1\in\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(mH))$, and $\sigma_2\in\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(m'H))$, with respective divisors H_1 and H_2 such that $\gamma=H_1\cdot H_2$ is a nonsingular curve which intersects Γ_1 in the point q only, γ intersects each S_i transversally at all points other than q, and for some nonzero $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\mathbf{k}$, σ_1 has image $\lambda_1\vec\alpha$ and σ_2 has image $\lambda_2\vec\beta$ in m_q/m_q^2 under the natural maps $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(mH)\otimes m_q)\rightarrow m_q/m_q^2$, and $\Gamma(Y_1,\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(m'H)\otimes m_q)\rightarrow m_q/m_q^2$. Let $f_i=0$ be a local equation of S_i at q for all i such that $q\in S_i$. We have a surjection $m_q/m_q^2\rightarrow\overline{m}_q/\overline{m}_q^2$ and $\dim_k\overline{m}_q/\overline{m}_q^2>1$ since q is a singular point of Γ_1. Choose $\vec\alpha,\vec\beta\in m_q/m_q^2$ so that the $\mathbf{k}$-span of $\vec\alpha$ and $\vec\beta$ does not contain the class of f_i for any S_i containing q, and so that the images of $\vec\alpha$ and $\vec\beta$ in $\overline{m}_q/\overline{m}_q^2$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{k}$. Now choose H_1,H_2 and $\gamma=H_1\cdot H_2$ as above.

Let $\Psi_2:Y_2\rightarrow Y_1$ be the blow up of γ. Let $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ be the strict transform of Γ_1 on Y_2, $\overline{S}_i$ for $1\le i\le n$ be the strict transforms of S_i on Y_2. We can assume that $u=0$ is a local equation of H_1 at q, $v=0$ is a local equation of H_2 at q. By assumption, u,v,f_i is a regular system of parameters in $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1,q}$ for all i such that $q\in S_i$, so γ intersects S_i transversally at q. Thus for all i such that $q\in S_i$, $\overline{S}_i$ is the blow up of (the ideal sheaf of) q and a finite number of other points on S_i, which are disjoint from Γ_1. In particular, each $\overline{S}_i$ is nonsingular.

Let $C_1,\dots,C_m$ be the irreducible components of Γ_1 containing q and let K_i be the function field of C_i for $1\le i\le m$. Let $\overline{K}=K_1\oplus\cdots\oplus K_m$. Since Γ_1 is reduced, we have natural inclusions

$$R\rightarrow\overline{A}=A_1\oplus\cdots\oplus A_m\rightarrow\overline{K}$$

where A_i is the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_{C_i,q}$ in K_i. $\overline{A}$ is finite over R, since $\overline{A}$ is the normalization of R. Let $s(q)$ be the length of $\overline{A}$ as an R-module.

Suppose that $q_1\in\overline{\Gamma}_1\cap\Psi_2^{-1}(q)$. Let $R_1=\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\Gamma}_1,q_1}$. R_1 is a local ring of the blow up of $(u,v)R$ in R. $(u,v)R$ is not a principal ideal in R, since $\vec\alpha$, $\vec\beta$ are linearly independent in $\overline{m}_q/\overline{m}_q^2$, and by construction, $\overline{m}_qR_1$ is principal. Thus we have inclusions

$$R\rightarrow R_1\rightarrow\overline{K}$$

with $R\ne R_1$. Since R_1 is finite over R, we have an inclusion $R_1\subset\overline{A}$, and $\overline{A}$ has length $s_1<s(q)$ as an R_1 module.

The strict transform γ' of γ on X_1 is necessarily a nonsingular curve. Let $\Phi_2':X_2'\rightarrow X_1$ be the blow up of γ'. $\mathcal{I}_\gamma\mathcal{O}_{X_2'}$ is invertible, except possibly over q. There exists a sequence of blow ups $X_2\rightarrow X_2'$ supported over q such that $\mathcal{I}_\gamma\mathcal{O}_{X_2}$ is invertible. Thus we have a natural morphism $f_2:X_2\rightarrow Y_2$. The fundamental curve of f_2 is contained in the union of the strict transform $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ of Γ_1 and the nonsingular curve $l_2=\Psi_2^{-1}(q)$. l_2 lies on all surfaces $\overline{S}_i$ such that S_i contains q.

By induction on

$$\max\{s(q)\mid q\in\Gamma_1 \text{ is a singular point}\}$$

we can iterate the blowups of such curves γ until we construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_3"'] & Y_3 \arrow[d, "\Psi_3"] \\
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that

1. If Γ' is the strict transform of Γ_1 on Y_3 then Γ' is a disjoint union of nonsingular curves.
2. The strict transform S_i' of S_i on Y_3 is nonsingular for all i.
3. The fundamental curve Γ_3 of f_3 is the union of Γ' and a union of curves which are contained in the exceptional loci of the morphisms $\lambda_i=(\Psi_3\mid S_i'):S_i'\rightarrow S_i$ for $1\le i\le n$.

For $q\in\Gamma_1$, and S_i such that $q\in S_i$, $\Psi_3^{-1}(q)=\lambda_i^{-1}(q)$ is a SNC divisor on S_i'. Thus Γ_3 can only fail to satisfy the conclusions of the theorem at a finite number of points q' such that q' is contained in a (unique) component C_i' of Γ' which is contained in some S_i', and there exists a neighborhood U of q' in Y_3 such that $\Gamma_3\cap U$ is a union of components of

$$(\lambda_i^{-1}(q)\cup C_i')\cap U,$$

which is a divisor on the surface $U\cap S_i'$. Now we can choose a nonsingular curve $\tilde\gamma$ on Y_3 which intersects Γ_3 at q' only, and intersects the surfaces $S_1',\dots,S_n'$ transversally. Let $\Psi_4:Y_4\rightarrow Y_3$ be the blow up of $\tilde\gamma$, $X_4'\rightarrow X_3$ be the blow up of the strict transform of $\tilde\gamma$ on X_3, and let $X_4\rightarrow X_4'$ be a prinicipalization of $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde\gamma}\mathcal{O}_{X_4'}$ (obtained by blowing up points and nonsingular curves) which is an isomorphism away from points above q'. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_4 \arrow[r, "f_4"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_4"'] & Y_4 \arrow[d, "\Psi_4"] \\
X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] & Y_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that the fundamental curve of f_4 is contained in the union of the strict transform of Γ_3 on Y_4 and the curve $l_4=\Psi_4^{-1}(q')$.

Let S_i'' be the strict transform of S_i' on Y_4 for $1\le i\le n$. For i such that $q'\in S_i'$, $S_i''\rightarrow S_i'$ is the blow up of q' on S_i', with exceptional divisor l_4. Thus by embedded resolution of plane curve singularities (cf. Section 3.4, Exercise 3.13 [C3]), after a finite number of blow ups of such curves $\tilde\gamma$ we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_5 \arrow[r, "f_5"] \arrow[d] & Y_5 \arrow[d] \\
X_4 \arrow[r, "f_4"] & Y_4
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that the fundamental locus of f_5 satisfies the conclusions of this lemma. □

Remark 4.4. *In the conclusions of Lemma 4.3, we can assume that the fundamental locus of f_1 has no isolated points. To see this, we make the following construction. Let A be the isolated points in the fundamental locus of f_1. Let γ be a general curve on Y_1 through A (an intersection of two general hypersurface sections through A). Then $\gamma\cap\Gamma=A$. Let $\Phi_2:X_2\rightarrow X_1$ be the blow up of the strict transform of γ on X_1. The fundamental locus of the resulting map $X_2\rightarrow Y_1$ is $\gamma\cup\Gamma_1$, which satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 4.3, and has no isolated points.*

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a birational morphism of nonsingular projective 3-folds and the fundamental locus Γ of f is a union of nonsingular curves such that two curves of Γ intersect in most one point, and this intersection is transversal. Further assume that the intersection of any three curves of Γ is empty. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d] & Y_1 \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

such that the vertical arrows are products of blow ups of points and nonsingular curves, X_1, Y_1 have toroidal structures D_{Y_1}, $D_{X_1} = f_1^{-1}(D_{X_1})$, f_1 is prepared (Definition 3.4), every 2-curve of X_1 contains a 3-point and every component of D_{X_1} contains a 3-point.

Proof. Let $\{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ be the irreducible components of Γ.

Let H be a hyperplane section of Y. Whenever $j \neq i$ and $C_i \cap C_j$ is nonempty, let $C_i \cap C_j = \{q_{ij}\}$. For $m \gg 0$, and $1 \le i \le n$, let H_i be divisors of general sections σ_i of $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{I}_{C_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}(mH))$. By the arguments using Bertini's theorem of Lemma 4.3, we conclude the following:

1. Each H_i is a nonsingular irreducible surface and $\overline{D}_Y = H_1 + \cdots + H_n$ is a SNC divisor on Y.
2. For $i \neq j$, if $C_i \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$, then H_i intersects C_j transversally at q_{ij} plus a sum of general points of C_j. If $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$, then H_i intersects C_j transversally at a sum of general points of C_j.
3. $H_i \cap H_j \cap H_k$ is disjoint from Γ for i, j, k distinct.

Let $\overline{D}_X = f^{-1}(\overline{D}_Y)$. Away from $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$, $\overline{D}_X$ is a SNC divisor and f is prepared (Definition 3.4). Suppose that $\eta \in C_j$ is a general point. Then $f^{-1}(H_j)$ is a SNC divisor over η and f has fiber dimension 1 over η. Further, f is a product of blow ups of sections over C_j above η which make SNCs with the preimage of H_j (by [Ab1] or [D], since f is birational and $\eta \in C_j$ is a general point). If $u = 0$ is a local equation of H_j at η and $v = 0$ is a local equation of a nonsingular surface transversal to C_j at η then u, v are toroidal forms (Definition 3.1) at all points of $f^{-1}(\eta)$. In fact we have a form

$$u = x^a, v = y \tag{40}$$

or

$$u = x^a y^b, v = z \tag{41}$$

at all points $p \in f^{-1}(\eta)$.

If $\eta \in H_i$ for some $i \neq j$ (and $\eta \neq q_{ij}$), then we can take $v = 0$ to be a local equation of H_i at η.

Thus $f^{-1}(\overline{D}_Y)$ is a SNC divisor except possibly over $A = \{q_{ij}\}$ and over a finite number of 1-points $B = \{q_k\}$ of $\overline{D}_Y$ (contained in Γ). After possibly extending B by adding a finite number of points which are 1-points of $\overline{D}_Y$, we have that f is prepared away from the points of $A \cup B$.

Index B as $B = \{q_{n+1}, \dots, q_r\}$. For $q_i \in B$, let H_i be the divisor of a general section $\sigma_i \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{I}_{q_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(mH))$. For $i \ge n+1$, H_i intersects Γ at q_i plus a sum of general points of $C_1, \dots, C_n$ (we can make our initial choice of m so that this property holds),

$$D_Y = H_1 + \cdots + H_r = \overline{D}_Y + H_{n+1} + \cdots + H_r$$

is a SNC divisor on Y, and $D_X = f^{-1}(D_Y)$ is a SNC divisor on X, except possibly over points of $A \cup B$. Thus after blowing up points and nonsingular curves supported above $f^{-1}(A \cup B)$, we may assume that $D_X = f^{-1}(D_Y)$ is a SNC divisor, and every irreducible component of D_X contains a 3-point, every 2-curve of D_X contains a 3-point.

Observe that the points where f is not prepared are intersection points $H_i \cdot H_j \cdot \Gamma$ for $i \neq j$. We may assume that $r \geq 3$. For $i \neq j$ let $\Gamma_{ij} = H_i \cdot H_j$. Γ_{ij} are nonsingular irreducible curves (by Bertini's theorem).

We now apply for $i = 1$ and $j = 2$ a general construction that we will iterate for all $i < j$. We will assume that $n \geq 2$ and $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$. The case when $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ (or $n = 1$) is simpler. Let $\gamma = \Gamma_{12}$, $q = q_{12}$, $D_{12} = \Gamma_{12} \cap (C_1 \cup C_2) = \Gamma_{12} \cap \Gamma$.

H_1, H_2, H_3 are the divisors of sections $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mH))$ which define a rational map $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ by $Q \mapsto (\sigma_1 : \sigma_2 : \sigma_3)(Q)$ for closed points $Q \in Y$. Let $U = Y - (\cup_{j>2} H_j)$, an affine neighborhood of q in Y on which π is a morphism. Let

$$f_1 = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_3}, f_2 = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_3} \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_Y).$$

$$\pi : U \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^2 \tag{42}$$

is defined by the inclusion of $\mathbf{k}$-algebras $\mathbf{k}[u,v] \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ given by

$$u = f_1, v = f_2.$$

$\overline{q} = \pi(q)$ has equations $u = v = 0$ in $\mathbf{A}^2$. $f_1 = f_2 = 0$ are equations of $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma \cap U$ in U. $\overline{\gamma}$ contains D_{12}. $\overline{\gamma}$ is a nonsingular curve. Hence f_1, f_2 are part of a regular system of parameters at all points of $\overline{\gamma}$. Thus $\pi : U \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^2$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $\overline{\gamma}$. Since C_1 and C_2 intersect $\overline{\gamma}$ transversally, there exists an open neighborhood $\overline{U}$ of $\overline{\gamma}$ in U such that

$$\pi : \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^2 \tag{43}$$

is smooth and $\pi \mid C_1 \cap \overline{U}$, $\pi \mid C_2 \cap \overline{U}$ are unramified. $\mathbf{A}^2$ has toroidal structure $uv = 0$, and $\overline{U}$ has toroidal structure $D_Y \cap \overline{U}$ which is defined by $f_1 f_2 = 0$. Let $\overline{X} = f^{-1}(\overline{U})$, $\overline{f} = f \mid \overline{X}$, $g = \pi \circ \overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^2$. $u, v - v(g(\overline{p}))$ must have a form (40) or (41) at points $\overline{p}$ of $\overline{X}$ above $C_1 - q$, and $v, u - u(g((\overline{p}))$ have a form (40) or (41) at points $\overline{p}$ of $\overline{X}$ above $C_2 - q$, so g is toroidal away from $\beta = f^{-1}(q)$ and is prepared away from $f^{-1}(\overline{\gamma})$.

Let $R = \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ with maximal ideal m, $I_{C_1} = \mathcal{I}_{C_1,q}$, $I_{C_2} = \mathcal{I}_{C_2,q}$. I_{C_1} has generators u, w_1, I_{C_2} has generators v, w_2 where u, v, w_1 and u, v, w_2 are bases of m/m^2 since H_2 intersects C_1 transversally at q and H_1 intersects C_2 transversally at q. By the formal implicit function theorem in $\hat{R}$, $w_2 = \phi(w_1 - \psi(u,v))$ where ϕ is a unit series, and ψ is a series.

$$\hat{I}_{C_2} = (v, w_1 - \psi(u,v)) = (v, w_1 - \psi(u,0)).$$

Set $\overline{w} = w_1 - \psi(u,0)$. $\hat{I}_{C_1} = (u, \overline{w})$ and $\hat{I}_{C_2} = (v, \overline{w})$. Thus

$$\widehat{I_{C_1} \cap I_{C_2}} = \hat{I}_{C_1} \cap \hat{I}_{C_2} = (uv, \overline{w}).$$

There exists $w \in I_{C_1} \cap I_{C_2}$ such that $w \equiv \overline{w} \mod m^2\hat{R}$. We have $I_{C_1} \cap I_{C_2} = (uv, w)$. Thus there exists an affine neighborhood $\overline{U}_1$ of q in $\overline{U}$ and $w \in \Gamma(\overline{U}_1, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ such that u, v, w are uniformizing parameters in $\overline{U}_1$, $u = w = 0$ are equations of C_1, and $v = w = 0$ are local equations of C_2 in $\overline{U}_1$.

After possibly blowing up points supported above q, we may suppose that every irreducible component of $D_{\overline{X}}$ contains a 3-point and every 2-curve of $D_{\overline{X}}$ contains a 3-point.

By Lemma 4.2 (applied to $f^{-1}(\overline{U}_1) \rightarrow \overline{U}_1$, and extending trivially to $\overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{U}$), there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X}_0 \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_0"'] \arrow[dr, "g_0"] & \\
\overline{X} \arrow[r, "g"] & \mathbf{A}^2.
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\overline{\Phi}_0$ is an isomorphism away from $\overline{f}^{-1}(q)$, g_0 is a toroidal in a neighborhood of the strict transform of D_Y on $\overline{X}_0$, and is toroidal in a neighborhood of all components of $D_{\overline{X}_0}$ which dominate C_1 or C_2, and is prepared in a neighborhood of all components of $D_{\overline{X}_0}$ which dominate a component of $D_{\overline{U}}$ or dominate C_1 or C_2, away from the strict transform of $\overline{\gamma}$ on $\overline{X}_0$. Further, every irreducible component of $D_{\overline{X}_0} = \overline{\Phi}_0^{-1}(D_{\overline{X}})$ contains a 3-point and every 2-curve of $D_{\overline{X}_0}$ contains a 3-point.

We now apply the algorithm of the proof of Lemma 4.2 to the other points of D_{12} (the conclusions of the lemma hold if $C_1 = \emptyset$ or $C_2 = \emptyset$). We construct $\overline{\Phi}_0' : \overline{X}_0' \rightarrow \overline{X}_0$ such that

1. $\overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0'$ is an isomorphism away from $(\overline{f} \circ \overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0')^{-1}(D_{12})$.
2. $g_0' = g_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0'$ is toroidal in a neighborhood of the strict transform of D_Y on $\overline{X}_0'$, and in a neighborhood of all components of $D_{\overline{X}_0'}$ which dominate C_1 or C_2 and is toroidal away from $(\overline{f} \circ \overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0')^{-1}(D_{12})$.
3. g_0' is prepared in a neighborhood of all components of $D_{\overline{X}_0'}$ which dominate a component of $D_{\overline{U}}$ or dominate C_1 or C_2, away from the strict transform γ_1 of $\overline{\gamma}$ on $\overline{X}_0'$.
4. $D_{\overline{X}_0'} = (\overline{\Phi}_0')^{-1}(D_{\overline{X}_0}) = (\overline{f} \circ \overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0')^{-1}(D_Y)$ and $(f \circ \overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0')^{-1}(D_{12})$ are SNC divisors.
5. Every irreducible component of $D_{\overline{X}_0'}$ contains a 3-point and every 2-curve of $D_{\overline{X}_0'}$ contains a 3-point.

Let $\overline{\Phi}_1 : \overline{X}_1 \rightarrow \overline{X}_0'$ be the blow up of the strict transform γ_1 of $\overline{\gamma}$ on $\overline{X}_0'$. Let $E_1 = \overline{\Phi}_1^{-1}(\gamma_1)$. Since γ_1 is a 2-curve of $D_{\overline{X}_0'}$, we have that every irreducible component of $D_{\overline{X}_1} = \overline{\Phi}_1^{-1}(D_{\overline{X}_0'})$ contains a 3-point and every 2-curve of $D_{\overline{X}_0}$ contains a 3-point.

If $p \in \gamma_1 - (\overline{f} \circ \overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0')^{-1}(D_{12})$ then u, v are part of a regular system of parameters at p, and $u = v = 0$ are local equations of γ_1 on $\overline{X}_0'$ at p. If $p \in \gamma_1 \cap (\overline{f} \circ \overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0')^{-1}(D_{12})$, then u, v have a toroidal form of the type of equation (39) at p,

$$u = xz^a, v = yz^b \tag{44}$$

where $a, b > 0$, $x = y = 0$ are (formal) local equations of γ_1, $xyz = 0$ is a local equation of $D_{\overline{X}_0'}$.

Suppose that $p' \in E_1 \cap (\overline{f} \circ \overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0' \circ \overline{\Phi}_1)^{-1}(D_{12})$. Then $p = \overline{\Phi}_1(p') \in \gamma_1 \cap (\overline{f} \circ \overline{\Phi}_0 \circ \overline{\Phi}_0')^{-1}(D_{12})$, and u, v have a toroidal form (44) at p. Thus p' has (formal) regular parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 such that

$$x = x_1, y = x_1(y_1 + \alpha), z = z_1$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, or

$$x = x_1 y_1, y = y_1, z = z_1.$$

Substituting into (44), we see that $g_1 = g_0' \circ \overline{\Phi}_1 : \overline{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^2$ is toroidal and prepared in a neighborhood of the strict transform of D_Y and in a neighborhood of E_1. Furthermore, g_1 is prepared and toroidal in a neighborhood of all components

$D_{\overline{X}_1}$ which dominate C_1 or C_2 and g_1 is prepared and toroidal away from $(\overline{f}\circ\overline{\Phi}_0\circ\overline{\Phi}_0'\circ\overline{\Phi}_1)^{-1}(D_{12})$.

By 1 of Theorem 4.1 there exists a morphism $\overline{\Phi}_2:\overline{X}_2\rightarrow\overline{X}_1$ such that $\overline{\Phi}_2$ is an isomorphism away from $(\overline{f}\circ\overline{\Phi}_0\circ\overline{\Phi}_0'\circ\overline{\Phi}_1\circ\overline{\Phi}_2)^{-1}(D_{12})$, $g_2=g_1\circ\overline{\Phi}_2:\overline{X}_2\rightarrow\mathbf{A}^2$ is prepared, all 2-curves of $\overline{X}_2$ contain a 3-point, all components of $D_{\overline{X}_2}$ contain a 3-point.

Now by 2 of Theorem 4.1, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X}_3 \arrow[r, "g_3"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_3"'] & S_1 \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_1"] \\
\overline{X}_2 \arrow[r, "g_2"] & \mathbf{A}^2
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\overline{\Phi}_3$ is an isomorphism away from $(g_2\circ\overline{\Phi}_3)^{-1}(\overline{q})$, $\overline{\Psi}_1$ is an isomorphism away from $\overline{q}$ and g_3 is toroidal. We further have that all 2-curves of $\overline{X}_3$ contain a 3-point and all components of $D_{\overline{X}_3}$ contain a 3-point.

In the sequences (27) of the proof of Theorem 4.1, we only insert point blow ups $\lambda_{j+1}':\overline{Z}_{j+1}'\rightarrow\overline{Z}_{j+1}$ at a point above the curve $C_j\subset\overline{Z}_j$ if C_j contains no 2-points. In this case, we are free to blow up the 2-point p_1 above any point $p\in C_j$ that we wish. We can thus assume that p_1 lies above $\overline{\beta}_3=(\overline{f}\circ\overline{\Phi}_0\circ\overline{\Phi}_0'\circ\overline{\Phi}_1\circ\overline{\Phi}_2)^{-1}(D_{12})$.

$\overline{\Psi}_1:S_1\rightarrow\mathbf{A}^2$ is the blow up of an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}\subset\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^2}$. We have (by the algorithm of 2 of Theorem 4.1) that $\overline{\Phi}_3$ is the blow up of $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_2}$ away from $\overline{\beta}_3$.

We can assume that $\overline{\Psi}_1$ is nontrivial so that $m_{\overline{q}}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_3}$ is an invertible ideal sheaf (where $m_{\overline{q}}=(u,v)\subset\mathbf{k}[u,v]$).

Let $\overline{Y}_3=\overline{U}\times_{\mathbf{A}^2}S_1$. $\overline{Y}_3$ is obtained from $\overline{U}$ by blowing up sections over $\overline{\gamma}=\pi^{-1}(\overline{q})$, which make SNCs with the preimage of $D_{\overline{U}}$. Let $\overline{\Psi}_3:\overline{Y}_3\rightarrow\overline{U}$ be the first projection. $\overline{Y}_3$ is nonsingular and $D_{\overline{Y}_3}=\overline{\Psi}_3^{-1}(D_{\overline{U}})$ is a SNC divisor. Let

$$\tilde{\Phi}=\overline{\Phi}_0\circ\cdots\circ\overline{\Phi}_3:\overline{X}_3\rightarrow\overline{X}.$$

There is a natural birational morphism $\overline{f}_3:\overline{X}_3\rightarrow\overline{Y}_3$ where $\overline{f}_3=(\overline{f}\circ\tilde{\Phi})\times g_3$. By our construction, $\overline{f}_3$ is an isomorphism away from $(\overline{f}\circ\tilde{\Phi})^{-1}(C_1\cup C_2)$. Recall that $\overline{\Phi}_1:\overline{X}_1\rightarrow\overline{X}_0'$ is the blow up of $m_{\overline{q}}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_0'}$ away from $(\overline{f}\circ\overline{\Phi}_0\circ\overline{\Phi}_0'\circ\overline{\Phi}_1)^{-1}(C_1\cup C_2)$.

Now consider the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \\
\overline{X} \arrow[r, "\overline{f}"] \arrow[u] & \overline{U} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are the natural inclusions, and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X}_3 \arrow[r, "\tilde{\Phi}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{f}_3"'] & \overline{X} \arrow[d, "\overline{f}"] \\
\overline{Y}_3 \arrow[r, "\overline{\Psi}_3"] & \overline{U}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{45}$$

$\overline{\Psi}_3$ is constructed by blowing up sections over $\overline{\gamma}$ (which make SNCs with the preimage of $D_{\overline{U}}$). Over $\overline{X}-\overline{f}^{-1}(D_{12})$, $\tilde{\Phi}$ is constructed by blowing up the isomorphic sections over $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{f}_3\mid\overline{X}_3-\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\overline{f}^{-1}(C_1\cup C_2))$ is an isomorphism.

Recall that f is an isomorphism over $H_j\cap\gamma$ for $j>2$, and $(\cup_{j>2}H_j)\cap\gamma=\gamma-\overline{\gamma}$.

There exists a factorization of the above diagram (45) over $\overline{U} - D_{12}$ by a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\hat{X}_m \arrow[r, "\Omega_m"] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\Omega_2"] & \hat{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\Omega_1"] \arrow[d] & \hat{X} \arrow[d] \\
\hat{Y}_m \arrow[r, "\Lambda_m"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\Lambda_2"] & \hat{Y}_1 \arrow[r, "\Lambda_1"] & \hat{Y}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{46}$$

where $\hat{Y} = \overline{U} - D_{12}$, $\hat{X} = \overline{X} - \overline{f}^{-1}(D_{12})$, $\hat{Y}_m = \overline{Y}_3 - \overline{\Psi}_3^{-1}(D_{12})$, $\hat{X}_m = \overline{X}_3 - \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\overline{f}^{-1}(D_{12}))$. The vertical arrows are isomorphisms away from the preimage of $C_1 \cup C_2$, each map $\hat{Y}_{i+1} \rightarrow \hat{Y}_i$ is the blow up of a section $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ over $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \cap \hat{Y}$ which makes SNCs with the toroidal structure ($\tilde{\gamma}_i$ is a possible blow up).

We can thus extend (46) to a commutative diagram of projective morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X - f^{-1}(D_{12}) \arrow[d] \\
\tilde{Y}_3 \arrow[r] & Y - D_{12}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{47}$$

such that the vertical arrows are isomorphisms away from Γ, and the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms over $Y - \gamma$, by performing the blow up $\tilde{Y}_1 \rightarrow Y - D_{12}$ of $\gamma \cap (Y - D_{12})$, then blowing up points over $H_j \cap \gamma$ for $j > 2$ to ensure that the closure of $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ in $\tilde{Y}_1$ makes SNCs with the toroidal structure, and continue to construct $\tilde{Y}_3 \rightarrow Y - D_{12}$ which extends $\hat{Y}_m \rightarrow \hat{Y}$. Now we can perform the corresponding point blow ups over X (f is an isomorphism over $H_j \cap \gamma$ for $j > 2$) and blow ups of the closures of $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ to get $\tilde{X}_3 \rightarrow X - f^{-1}(D_{12})$ which extends $\hat{X}_m \rightarrow \hat{X}$. Patching (45) and (47) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_3"'] & Y_3 \arrow[d, "\Psi_3"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{48}$$

of projective morphisms where Φ_3 and Ψ_3 are products of blow ups of possible centers (points and nonsingular curves which make SNCs with the preimages of D_X and D_Y) such that f_3 is prepared in a neighborhood of $\Psi_3^{-1}(\gamma)$, Ψ_3 is an isomorphism away from γ, Φ_3 is an isomorphism away from $f^{-1}(\gamma)$, and f_3 is an isomorphism away from $\Psi_3^{-1}(\Gamma)$. We further have that every 2-curve of X_3 contains a 3-point and every component of D_{X_3} contains a 3-point.

We now iterate this construction for the other pairs H_i, H_j with $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, first continuing the procedure for H_1 and H_3. We will indicate this next step.

Let $H_i' = \Psi_3^*(H_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. H_1', H_3', H_2' are divisors of sections $\sigma_1', \sigma_3', \sigma_2' \in H^0(Y_3, \mathcal{O}_{Y_3}(m\Psi_3^*(H)) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mH))$. Let $U' = Y_3 - (\cup_{j \not\in \{1,3\}} H_j')$. Since Ψ_3 is an isomorphism away from $\gamma = \Gamma_{12} \subset H_2$, $U' \cong Y - (\cup_{j \not\in \{1,3\}} H_j)$. Thus U' is affine and we have a morphism $\pi' : U' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^2$ defined by the inclusion of $\mathbf{k}$-algebras $\mathbf{k}[u,v] \rightarrow \Gamma(U', \mathcal{O}_Y)$ given by

$$u = f_1 = \frac{\sigma_1'}{\sigma_2'}, v = f_2 = \frac{\sigma_3'}{\sigma_2'}.$$

Let γ' be the strict transform of Γ_{13} in Y_3 and let $\overline{\gamma}' = \gamma' \cap U'$. Since $\Gamma_{13} \cap \Gamma \cap H_i = \emptyset$ for $i \not\in \{1,3\}$, and the fundamental locus of f_3 is contained in $\Psi_3^{-1}(\Gamma)$, f_3 is an isomorphism over $\gamma' - \overline{\gamma}'$.

As in the construction of (45), there exists an open neighborhood $\overline{U}'$ of $\overline{\gamma}'$ in U' such that $\pi' : \overline{U}' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^2$ is smooth, and enjoys the properties of the morphism $\pi : \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^2$

constructed in (43). Thus there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X}_3' \arrow[r, "\Phi'"] \arrow[d, "f_3'"'] & \overline{X}' \arrow[d, "\overline{f}'"] \\
\overline{Y}_3' \arrow[r, "\Psi_3'"] & \overline{U}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

having the properties of (45) (where $\overline{X}' = f_3^{-1}(\overline{U}')$, $\overline{f}' = f_3 \mid \overline{X}$). We can thus (as in the construction of (48)) construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_4 \arrow[r, "f_4"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_4"'] & Y_4 \arrow[d, "\Psi_4"] \\
X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_3"'] & Y_3 \arrow[d, "\Psi_3"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ_4 and Ψ_4 are products of blow ups of points and nonsingular curves which are possible centers (make SNCs with the preimages of D_X and D_Y), such that f_4 is prepared in a neighborhood of $(\Psi_3 \circ \Psi_4)^{-1}(\Gamma_{12} \cup \Gamma_{13})$, $\Psi_3 \circ \Psi_4$ is an isomorphism away from $\Gamma_{12} \cup \Gamma_{13}$, $\Phi_3 \circ \Phi_4$ is an isomorphism away from $f^{-1}(\Gamma_{12} \cup \Gamma_{13})$ and f_4 is an isomorphism away from $(\Psi_3 \circ \Psi_4)^{-1}(\Gamma)$. We further have that every 2-curve of X_4 contains a 3-point and every component of D_{X_4} contains a 3-point.

We can continue in this way to construct, by induction, a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_5 \arrow[r, "f_5"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_5"'] & Y_5 \arrow[d, "\Psi_5"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that f_5 is prepared in a neighborhood of $\Psi_5^{-1}(\cup_{i \neq j} \Gamma_{ij})$, Ψ_5 is an isomorphism away from $\cup_{i \neq j} \Gamma_{ij}$, Φ_5 is an isomorphism away from $f^{-1}(\cup_{i, \neq j} \Gamma_{ij})$ and f_5 is an isomorphism away from $\Psi_5^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Thus f_5 is prepared.

□

5. First Properties of Prepared Morphisms

In this section, we suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a birational morphism of nonsingular projective 3-folds, with toroidal structures D_Y and $D_X = f^{-1}(D_Y)$ such that D_Y contains the fundamental locus of f.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is prepared, $C \subset Y$ is a curve such that C is contained in the fundamental locus of f and C contains a 1-point. Let $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ be the blow up of C, and let $q \in C$ be a 1-point. Then there exists an affine neighborhood $\overline{Y}$ of q such that $C \cap \overline{Y}$ is nonsingular, and if $\overline{Y}_1 = \Psi_1^{-1}(\overline{Y})$ and $\overline{X} = f^{-1}(\overline{Y})$, then there exists a factorization $f_1 : \overline{X} \to \overline{Y}_1$ such that $\Psi_1 \circ f_1 = f \mid \overline{X}$. Further, f_1 is prepared.*

Proof. Since f is birational, $f^{-1}(q)$ has dimension 1 and C is the only component of the fundamental locus of f through q (by Lemma 3.5), there exists a neighborhood $\overline{Y}$ of q and a factorization

$$f_1 = \Psi_1^{-1} \circ f : \overline{X} = f^{-1}(\overline{Y}) \to \overline{Y}_1 = \Psi_1^{-1}(\overline{Y})$$

of the blow up Ψ_1 of C by a morphism f_1 (by [D]). We will show that f_1 is prepared. As shown in the proof of Lemma 3.5, there exist permissible parameters (u, v, w) at q such that for all $p \in f^{-1}(q)$, u, v are toroidal forms at p and $u = w = 0$ are local equations of C at q. Thus if $p \in f^{-1}(q)$ we have permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p such that if p is a 1-point,

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a \\ v &= y \\ w &= \lambda(x,y) + x^c z, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

and if p is a 2-point,

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (x^a y^b)^k \\ v &= z \\ w &= \lambda(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

with $ad - bc \neq 0$. Further, $(u,w)\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ is invertible. In case (49), if $u \mid w$, we have that $f_1(p)$ is a 1-point, and there exists $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ such that if

$$u_1 = u, v_1 = v, w_1 = \frac{w}{u} - \alpha,$$

then u_1, v_1, w_1 are regular parameters at $f_1(p)$ and there exists a series $\overline{\lambda}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= x^a \\ v_1 &= y \\ w_1 &= \overline{\lambda}(x,y) + x^{c-a} z \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

of the form (12) of Definition 3.1 at p. If $w \mid u$ (and $u \not| w$) in Case (49), we have that $w = x^n\gamma$, where γ is a unit series and $n < a$. Thus after computing a Taylor series expansion of an appropriate root of γ, we see that (by a similar argument to Case 1.1 of Lemma 57 of [C2]) that there exist regular parameters $\overline{x}, y, \overline{z}$ at p and a unit series $\overline{\lambda}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \overline{x}^a(\overline{\lambda}(\overline{x},y) + \overline{x}^{c-n}\overline{z}) \\ v &= y \\ w &= \overline{x}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_1(p) = q_1$ is a 2-point which has regular parameters

$$w_1 = w, u_1 = \frac{u}{w}, v_1 = v$$

so that $u_1 w_1 = 0$ is a local equation of D_{Y_1} and

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \overline{x}^n \\ u_1 &= \overline{x}^{a-n}(\overline{\lambda}(\overline{x},y) + \overline{x}^{c-n} z) \\ v_1 &= y \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

which has the form 2 (b) of Definition 3.4.

In case (50), if $u \mid w$, we have that $f_1(p)$ is a 1-point, and there exists $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ such that if

$$u_1 = u, v_1 = v, w_1 = \frac{w}{u} - \alpha,$$

then u_1, v_1, w_1 are regular parameters at $f_1(p)$ and there exists a series $\overline{\lambda}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= (x^a y^b)^k \\ v_1 &= z \\ w_1 &= \overline{\lambda}(x^a y^b, z) + x^{c-ak} y^{d-bk} \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

of the form (13) of Definition 3.1 at p. If $w \mid u$ and $u \not| w$ in case (50), we either have an expression

$$w = (x^a y^b)^l (\gamma(x^a y^b, z) + x^{\tilde{c}} y^{\tilde{d}}) \tag{54}$$

at p with $l < k$ and γ is a unit series, or we have an expression (after possibly making a change of variables in x and y, multiplying x and y by appropriate unit series)

$$w = x^c y^d \tag{55}$$

with $ad - bc \neq 0$. Suppose that (54) holds. Then there exist regular parameters $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, z$ at p such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (\overline{x}^a \overline{y}^b)^k (\overline{\gamma}(\overline{x}^a \overline{y}^b, z) + \overline{x}^{\overline{c}} \overline{y}^{\overline{d}}) \\ v &= z \\ w &= (\overline{x}^a \overline{y}^b)^l \end{aligned}$$

for some unit series $\overline{\gamma}$, and $\overline{a}\overline{d} - \overline{b}\overline{c} \neq 0$. Thus $f_1(p) = q_1$ is a 2-point which has regular parameters

$$w_1 = w, u_1 = \frac{u}{w}, v_1 = v$$

such that $u_1 w_1 = 0$ is a local equation of D_{Y_1} and

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= (\overline{x}^a \overline{y}^b)^l \\ u_1 &= (\overline{x}^a \overline{y}^b)^{k-l} (\overline{\gamma}(\overline{x}^a \overline{y}^b, z) + \overline{x}^{\overline{c}} \overline{y}^{d}) \\ v_1 &= z \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

which has the form 2 (c) of Definition 3.4.

If (55) holds, $f_1(p) = q_1$ is a 2-point which has regular parameters

$$w_1 = w, u_1 = \frac{u}{w}, v_1 = v$$

such that $u_1 w_1 = 0$ is a local equation of D_{Y_1} and

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= x^c y^d \\ u_1 &= x^{ak-c} y^{bk-d} \\ v_1 &= z \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

which has the form (9) of Definition 3.1.

Comparing equations (51), (52), (53), (56) and (57), we see that after possibly replacing $\overline{Y}$ with a smaller affine neighborhood of q, $f_1 : \overline{X} \to \overline{Y}_1$ is prepared. ☐

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is prepared and $C \subset Y$ is a 2-curve. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ is the blow up of C, $\Phi_1 : X_1 \to X$ is a product of blow ups of 2-curves, Φ_1 is an isomorphism above $f^{-1}(Y - C)$ and f_1 is prepared. If $p_1 \in X_1$ is a 3-point, so that $p = \Phi_1(p_1)$ is necessarily also a 3-point, we have that

$$\tau_{f_1}(p_1) = \tau_f(p).$$

Further, if D_X is cuspidal for f, then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.

Proof. Let $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ be the blow up of C. By Lemma 3.12, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\Phi_1 : X_1 \to X$ is a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves, Φ_1 is an isomorphism above $f^{-1}(Y - C)$ and f_1 is a morphism.

We will show that $f_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$ is prepared.

Suppose that $q \in C \subset Y$ and $p \in (f \circ \Phi_1)^{-1}(q)$. Let $q_1 = f_1(p)$, $p' = \Phi_1(p)$.

Suppose that q is a 2-point

Let u, v, w be permissible parameters at q. Suppose that p' satisfies 2 (a) of Definition 3.4. If q_1 is a 2-point, which has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$u = u_1, v = u_1 v_1, w = w_1$$

or

$$u = u_1 v_1, v = v_1, w = w_1,$$

then u_1, v_1 are toroidal forms at p. If q_1 is a 1-point, which has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$u = u_1, v = u_1(v_1 + \alpha), w = w_1,$$

with $0 \neq \alpha$, then u_1, v_1 are toroidal forms at p.

Further, if p is a 3-point, then p' is a 3-point and q_1 is a 2-point. Thus $\tau_f(p') \geq 0$. After possibly interchanging u and v, we have that u, v, w have a form (23) at p' in terms of permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p', there are $u_1, v_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{Y_1, q_1}$ such that u_1, v_1, w are permissible parameters at q_1, with $u = u_1, v = u_1 v_1$ and there are permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1, p}$ for u_1, v_1, w such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1^{a_{11}} y_1^{a_{12}} z_1^{a_{13}} \\ y &= x_1^{a_{21}} y_1^{a_{22}} z_1^{a_{23}} \\ z &= x_1^{a_{31}} y_1^{a_{22}} z_1^{a_{23}} \end{aligned}$$

where a_{ij} are natural numbers with $\mathrm{Det}(a_{ij}) = \pm 1$. Thus $\mathbf{Z}u + \mathbf{Z}v = \mathbf{Z}u_1 + \mathbf{Z}v_1$, $H_{f_1, p} = H_{f \circ \Phi_1, p} = H_{f, p'}$, $A_{f_1, p} = A_{f \circ \Phi_1, p} = A_{f, p'}$, $L_{f_1, p} = L_{f \circ \phi_1, p} = L_{f, p'}$ and $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau_{f \circ \Phi_1}(p) = \tau_f(p')$.

Now suppose that u, v, w satisfy 2 (b) of Definition 3.4 at p'. Then Φ_1 is an isomorphism near $p = p'$ since p' is a 1-point. If permissible parameters at q_1 are u_1, v_1, w with $u = u_1, v = u_1 v_1, w = w_1$, then u_1, v_1, w_1 have the form 2 (b) at p also. If $u = u_1 v_1, v = v_1$ then we can interchange u and v in 2 (b) and make an appropriate change of permissible parameters at p to get a form 2 (b) for u_1, v_1, w_1 at p. If $u = u_1, v = u_1(v_1 + \alpha)$, with $\alpha \neq 0$, then q_1 is a 1-point, and u_1, w_1 are toroidal forms at p.

Suppose that u, v, w satisfy 2 (c) of Definition 3.4 at p'. Then there are regular parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1, p}$ defined by

$$x = x_1^{\overline{a}} y_1^{\overline{b}}, y = x_1^{\overline{c}} y_1^{\overline{d}}, z = z_1 \tag{58}$$

with $\overline{a}\overline{d} - \overline{b}\overline{c} = \pm 1$ or

$$x = x_1^{\overline{a}} (y_1 + \alpha)^{\overline{b}}, y = x_1^{\overline{c}} (y_1 + \alpha)^{\overline{d}}, z = z_1 \tag{59}$$

with $\overline{a}\overline{d} - \overline{b}\overline{c} = \pm 1$ and $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$.

If (58) holds, then u, v, w satisfy 2 (c) of Definition 3.4 in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1, p}$. If q_1 is a 2-point, which has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$u = u_1 v_1, v = v_1, w = w_1 \tag{60}$$

or

$$u = u_1, v = u_1 v_1, w = w_1 \tag{61}$$

then at p there is an expression of u_1, v_1, w_1 of the form 2 (c) of Definition 3.4. If q_1 is a 1-point, which has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$u = u_1, v = u_1(v_1 + \alpha), w = w_1, \tag{62}$$

with $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, then u_1, w_1 are toroidal forms at p.

If (59) holds, then p is a 1-point and there exist $\overline{x}_1, \overline{y}_1 \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1,p}$ such that $\overline{x}_1, \overline{y}_1, z$ are regular parameters in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1,p}$ and

$$x^a y^b = \overline{x}_1^s, x^c y^d = \overline{x}_1^t(\overline{y}_1 + \beta) \tag{63}$$

for some $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, and positive integers s, t. u, v, w thus satisfy 2 (b) of Definition 3.4 at p. If q_1 is a 2-point, which has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by (60) or (61), then at p there is an expression of u_1, v_1, w_1 of the form 2 (b) of Definition 3.4. If q_1 is a 1-point, which has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by (62), then q_1 is a 1-point and u_1, w_1 are toroidal forms at p.

Suppose that q is a 1-point. Let u, v, w be permissible parameters at q (satisfying 3 of Definition 3.4). In this case, Ψ_1 is an isomorphism near q_1, so we can identify q with q_1. u, v, w thus have a form (12) or (13) of Definition 3.1 at p'. If (12) holds at p', then $p = p'$, so u, v, w have a form (12) at p. Suppose that (13) holds at p'. Then p has regular parameters defined by (58) or (59), and we see that u, v, w have a form (12) or (13) at p.

Suppose that q is a 3-point. Let u, v, w be permissible parameters at q. Then after possibly permuting u, v and w, we have that u, v, w have one of the forms (8) - (11) of Definition 3.1 at p', and thus u, v, w also have one of the forms (8) - (11) of Definition 3.1 at p.

First assume q_1 is a 3-point. Further assume that p is a 3-point. Then u, v, w have the form of (23) at p, and have a form (11) of Definition 3.1 at p. Furthermore, if $\tau_f(p') = -\infty$, then $\tau_{f\circ\Phi_1}(p) = -\infty$, and if $\tau_f(p) \geq 0$, then $\tau_{f\circ\Phi_1}(p) \geq 0$ and $H_{f,p'} = H_{f\circ\Phi_1,p}$, $A_{f,p'} = A_{f\circ\Phi_1,p}$ and $\tau_f(p') = \tau_{f\circ\Phi_1}(p)$. After possibly interchanging u and v, q_1 has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 such that one of the following equations (64), (65) or (66) hold:

$$u = u_1, v = u_1 v_1, w = w_1 \tag{64}$$

or

$$u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1 w_1 \tag{65}$$

or

$$u = u_1 w_1, v = v_1, w = w_1. \tag{66}$$

Assume that (64) or (65) holds. Then u_1, v_1, w_1 have a form (11) of Definition 3.1 at p. If $\tau_f(p') = -\infty$, then $\tau_{f_1}(p) = -\infty$, and if $\tau_f(p') \geq 0$, then

$$H_{f\circ\Phi_1,p} = H_{f_1,p},$$

$A_{f\circ\Phi_1,p} = A_{f_1,p}$ and $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau_{f\circ\Phi_1}(p) = \tau_f(p')$.

Assume that (66) holds. If $\tau_f(p') = -\infty$, then we can interchange u, v and w to obtain the case (64), which we have already analyzed, so we may assume that $\tau_f(p') \geq 0$. In (23), we have at p an expression $w = M_0(\gamma + \overline{N}_0)$ where M_0 is a monomial and

$$\gamma = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i\geq 1} \alpha_i \overline{M}_i$$

is such that

$$\text{rank}(u, v, \overline{M}_i) = \text{rank}(u, v, M_0) = 2$$

for $1 \leq i$ and

$$\text{rank}(u, v, \overline{N}_0) = 3.$$

Thus

$$H_{f\circ\Phi_1,p} = \mathbf{Z}u + \mathbf{Z}v + \mathbf{Z}M_0 + \sum_{i\geq 1} \mathbf{Z}\overline{M}_i = H_{f,p'}$$

and

$$A_{f\circ\Phi_1,p} = \mathbf{Z}u + \mathbf{Z}v + \mathbf{Z}M_0 = A_{f,p'}.$$

If $\mathrm{rank}(v, M_0) = 2$, then w, v is a toroidal form at p, so we have, after a change of variables in x, y, z at p, an expression of w, v, u of the form of (23), and (by Lemma 3.10) we obtain the same calculation of $H_{f\circ\Phi_1,p}$ and $A_{f\circ\Phi_1,p}$ for these new parameters. Thus (66) has been transformed into (65), from which it follows that w_1, v_1, u_1 have a form (11) of Definition 3.1 at p and $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau_f(p')$.

If $\mathrm{rank}(v, M_0) = 1$, then $\mathrm{rank}(w, u) = 2$ and w, u is a toroidal form at p. As in the above paragraph, we change variables to obtain a form (64), from which it follows that w_1, u_1, v_1 have a form (11) of Definition 3.1 at p and $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau_f(p')$.

Suppose that q_1 is a 3-point and p is a 2-point. Then, after possibly permuting u, v, w, we have that u, v, w are such that u, v are toroidal forms of type (9) or type (10) of Definition 3.1 at p, and $w = M\gamma$ where M is a monomial in x, y, and γ is a unit series.

First assume that u, v are of type (9) of Definition 3.1 at p. After possibly interchanging u and v, q_1 has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 of one of the forms (64) (65) or (66). In any of these cases, we have an expression

$$u_1 = M_1\gamma_1, v_1 = M_2\gamma_2, w_1 = M_3\gamma_3$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are unit series and M_1, M_2, M_3 are monomials in x, y with

$$\mathrm{rank}(M_1, M_2, M_3) = 2.$$

Thus (after possibly interchanging u_1, v_1, w_1) u_1, v_1, w_1 have a form (9) of Definition 3.1 at p.

Now assume that u, v is of type (10) of Definition 3.1 at p (and there does not exist a permutation of u, v, w such that u, v are of type (9) at p. We continue to assume that q_1 is a 3-point. Then there are permissible parameters x, y, z at p such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (x^ay^b)^k \\ v &= (x^ay^b)^t(\alpha + z) \\ w &= (x^ay^b)^l[\gamma(x^ay^b, z) + x^cy^d] \end{aligned}$$

where γ is a unit series and $ad - bc \neq 0$.

If $u = v = 0$ are local equations for C at q then after possibly permuting u and v, we may assume that q_1 has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$u = u_1, v = u_1v_1, w = w_1.$$

Thus u_1, v_1, w_1 have a form (10) of Definition 3.1 at p. Otherwise, we can assume, after possibly interchanging u and v that $u = w = 0$ are local equations for C. If permissible parameters are defined at q_1 by

$$u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1w_1,$$

then u_1, v_1, w_1 have a form (10) of Definition 3.1 at p.

The remaining possibility is that u_1, v_1, w_1 are permissible parameters at q_1, where

$$u = u_1w_1, v = v_1, w = w_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= (x^ay^b)^{k-l}[\gamma + x^cy^d]^{-1} \\ v_1 &= (x^ay^b)^t(\alpha + z) \\ w_1 &= (x^ay^b)^l[\gamma + x^cy^d]. \end{aligned}$$

Define new regular parameters $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, z$ at p by $x = \overline{x}\lambda_x, y = \overline{y}\lambda_y$, where λ_x, λ_y are unit series such that

$$x^ay^b = (\gamma + x^cy^d)^{\frac{-1}{l}}\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= (\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^{k-l}(\gamma + x^cy^d)^{-\frac{k}{l}}\\ v_1 &= (\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^t[\gamma + x^cy^d]^{\frac{-t}{l}}(\alpha + z)\\ w_1 &= (\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^l. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial z}(0,0,0) \neq 0,$$

then w_1, u_1 have a form (10) of Definition 3.1 at p. If

$$\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial z}(0,0,0) = 0,$$

then w_1, v_1 have a form (10) of Definition 3.1 at p.

There is a similar analysis (to the case when (10) holds at p) if p is a 1-point (and q_1 is a 3-point). After possibly permuting u, v, w, we find permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 at q such that one of the forms (64) – (66) hold. As in the above paragraph, we see that (after possibly interchanging u_1, v_1, w_1) u_1, v_1, w_1 have a form (8) of Definition 3.1 at p.

Still assuming that q is a 3-point, assume that q_1 is a 2-point. If p is a 3-point then p' is also a 3-point and there are permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^ay^bz^c\gamma_1\\ v &= x^dy^ez^f\gamma_2\\ w &= x^ly^mz^n\gamma_3 \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are unit series, and

$$\text{rank}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c\\ d & e & f\\ l & m & n \end{pmatrix} \geq 2.$$

After possibly permuting u, v and w, we may assume that q_1 has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1(w_1 + \alpha) \tag{67}$$

with $\alpha \neq 0$. Thus $(a, b, c) = (l, m, n)$, so that

$$\text{rank}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c\\ d & e & f \end{pmatrix} = 2$$

and $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau_{f\circ\Phi_1}(p) = \tau_f(p') \geq 0$. We thus have that u_1, v_1 are toroidal forms at p, of type (11) of Definition 3.1 and $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau_f(p')$.

We have a similar analysis if q_1 is a 2-point, p is a 2-point (q is a 3-point), and u, v satisfy (9) of Definition 3.1 at p. Then q_1 has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 satisfying (67), and u_1, v_1 are toroidal forms of type (9) of Definition 3.1 at p.

Now assume that p is a 2-point, q_1 is a 2-point, (q is a 3-point) and u, v satisfy (10) of Definition 3.1 at p and (9) of Definition 3.1 does not hold at p for any permutation of u, v, w. Then we have permissible parameters x, y, z at p such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (x^ay^b)^l\\ v &= (x^ay^b)^t(\beta + z)\\ w &= (x^ay^b)^m(\gamma(x^ay^b, z) + x^cy^d) \end{aligned}$$

where γ is a unit series and $\beta \neq 0$. If $u = w = 0$ are local equations of C at q, then q_1 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1(w_1 + \alpha) \tag{68}$$

with $\alpha \neq 0$. Thus u_1, v_1 are toroidal forms of type (10) of Definition 3.1 at p.

If $u = v = 0$ are local equations of C at q, then q_1 has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$u = u_1, v = u_1(v_1 + \beta), w = w_1 \tag{69}$$

with $\beta \neq 0$ and we thus have $t = l$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= (x^a y^b)^l \\ w_1 &= (x^a y^b)^m(\gamma + x^c y^d) \\ v_1 &= z \end{aligned}$$

u_1, w_1, v_1 have the form 2 (c) of Definition 3.4 at p.

There is a similar analysis in the case when (q is a 3-point) q_1 is a 2-point and p is a 1-point. Then (after possibly permuting u, v, w) u, v satisfy (8) of Definition 3.1 at p. If $u = w = 0$ are local equations of C at q, then q_1 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by (68), and u_1, v_1 are toroidal forms of type (8) of Definition 3.1 at p. If $u = v = 0$ are local equations of C at q, then q_1 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by (69), and u_1, w_1, v_1 have the form 2 (b) of Definition 3.4 at p.

Comparing the above expressions, we see that f_1 is prepared.

□

Remark 5.3. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is prepared and $\Phi_1 : X_1 \to X$ is either the blow up a 3-point or the blow up of a 2-curve. Then $f_1 = f \circ \Phi_1 : X_1 \to Y$ is prepared. If $p_1 \in X_1$ is a 3-point then $p = \Phi_1(p_1)$ is a 3-point and $\tau_{f_1}(p_1) = \tau_f(p)$. If D_X is cuspidal for f, then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.*

The remark follows by substitution of local forms of Φ_1 into local forms (Definition 3.4) of the prepared morphism f.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is prepared, Ω is a set of 2-points of Y, and we have assigned to each $q_0 \in \Omega$ permissible parameters $u = u_{q_0}, v = v_{q_0}, w = w_{q_0}$ at q_0. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{70}$$

such that

1. *f_1 is prepared.*
2. *Φ, Ψ are products of blow ups of 2-curves.*
3. *Let*

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} q_1 \in Y_1 \text{ such that } q_1 \text{ is a 2-point and } q_1 = f_1(p_1) \\ \text{for some 3-point } p_1 \in (f \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\Omega). \end{array} \right.$$

Suppose that $q_1 \in \Omega_1$ with $q_0 = \Psi(q_1) \in \Omega$. Then there exist permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 at q_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{q_0} &= u_1^{\overline{a}} v_1^{\overline{b}} \\ v_{q_0} &= u_1^{\overline{c}} v_1^{\overline{d}} \\ w_{q_0} &= w_1 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c}, \overline{d} \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\overline{a}\overline{d} - \overline{b}\overline{c} = \pm 1$, and if $p_1 \in f_1^{-1}(q_1)$ is a 3-point, then there exist permissible parameters x, y, z at p_1 for u_1, v_1, w_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= x_1^{a_1} y_1^{b_1} z_1^{c_1} \\ v_1 &= x_1^{d_1} y_1^{e_1} z_1^{f_1} \\ w_1 &= \gamma_1 + N_1 \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $N_1 = x_1^{g_1}y_1^{h_1}z_1^{i_1}$, *with* $\mathrm{rank}(u_1, v_1, N_1) = 3$, $\gamma_1 = \sum_i \alpha_i M_i$ *where* $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ *and each* M_i *is a monomial in* x_1, y_1, z_1 *such that there are expressions*

$$M_i^{e_i} = u_1^{a_i}v_1^{b_i} \tag{72}$$

with $a_i, b_i, e_i \in \mathbf{N}$ *and* $\gcd(a_i, b_i, e_i) = 1$ *for all* i. *Further, there is a bound* $r \in \mathbf{N}$ *such that* $e_i \le r$ *for all* M_i *in expressions (72).*

4. *If* D_X *is cuspidal for* f, *then* D_{X_1} *is cuspidal for* f_1.
5. Φ *is an isomorphism above* $f^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(Y))$.

Proof. Suppose that $q_0 \in \Omega$. Let the 3-points in $f^{-1}(q_0)$ be $\{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$. Each p_i has permissible parameters x, y, z such that there is an expression of the form (23),

$$\begin{aligned} u_{q_0} &= x^a y^b z^c \\ v_{q_0} &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w_{q_0} &= \gamma + N \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

where $N = x^g y^h z^i$, $\gamma = \sum \alpha_i M_i$ with relations

$$M_i^{e_i} = u^{a_i}v^{b_i} \tag{74}$$

with $a_i, b_i, e_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $e_i > 0$.

We construct an infinite commutative diagram of morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} \vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] \\ X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_n"'] & Y_n \arrow[d, "\Psi_n"] \\ \vdots \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & \vdots \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"] \\ X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{75}$$

as follows. Order the 2-curves of Y, and let $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ be the blow up of the 2-curve C of smallest order. Then construct (by Lemma 5.2) a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{76}$$

where f_1 is prepared, Φ_1 is a product of blow up of 2-curves and Φ_1 is an isomorphism above $f^{-1}(Y - C)$. Order the 2-curves of Y_1 so that the 2-curves contained in the exceptional divisor of Ψ_1 have larger order than the order of the (strict transforms of the) 2-curves of Y.

Let $\Psi_2 : Y_2 \to Y_1$ be the blow up of the 2-curve C_1 on Y_1 of smallest order, and construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"] \\ X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1 \end{tikzcd}$$

as in (76). We now iterate to construct (75). Let $\overline{\Psi}_n = \Psi_1 \circ \cdot \circ \Psi_n : Y_n \to Y$, $\overline{\Phi}_n = \Phi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_n : X_n \to X$.

Let ν be a 0-dimensional valuation of $\mathbf{k}(X)$. Let p_n be the center of ν on X_n, $q_n = f_n(p_n)$. We will say that ν is resolved on X_n if one of the following holds:

1. $\overline{\Psi}_n(q_n) \notin \Omega$ or
2. $\overline{\Psi}_n(q_n) = q_0 \in \Omega$ and
    - (a) p_n is not a 3-point or
    - (b) p_n is a 3-point such that a form (71) holds for p_n and $q_n = f_n(p_n)$ so that (72) holds.

Observe that if ν is resolved on X_n, then there exists a neighborhood U of the center of ν in X_n such that if ω is a 0-dimensional valuation of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ whose center is in U, then ω is resolved on X_n, and if $n' > n$, then ν is resolved on $X_{n'}$.

We will now show that for any 0-dimensional valuation ν of $\mathbf{k}(X)$, there exists $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that ν is resolved on X_n.

If the center of ν on Y is not in Ω or if the center of ν on X is not a 3-point, then ν is resolved on X, so we may assume that the center of ν on Y is $q_0 \in \Omega$ and the center of ν on X is a 3-point p.

Suppose that $\nu(u_{q_0})$ and $\nu(v_{q_0})$ are rationally dependent. Then there exists n such that the center of ν on Y_n is a 1-point. Thus ν is resolved on X_n.

Suppose that $\nu(u_{q_0})$ and $\nu(v_{q_0})$ are rationally independent. At the center p of ν on X,

$$u = u_{q_0}, v = v_{q_0}, w = w_{q_0}$$

have an expression (23). We may identify ν with an extension of ν to the quotient field of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ which dominates $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$. We have

$$u = x^a y^b z^c, v = x^d y^e z^f,$$

and

$$M_i^{e_i} = u^{k_i} v^{l_i} = x^{a_i} y^{b_i} z^{c_i}$$

with $k_i, l_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $e_i > 0$, $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus, for all i, $(k_i, l_i) \in \sigma$, where

$$\sigma = \{(k,l) \in \mathbf{Q}^2 \mid ka + ld \geq 0, kb + le \geq 0, kc + lf \geq 0\}.$$

Since

$$\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{pmatrix} = 2,$$

σ is a rational polyhedral cone which contains no nonzero linear subspaces, and is contained in the (irrational) half space

$$\{(k,l) \mid k\nu(u) + l\nu(v) \geq 0\}.$$

Let $\lambda_1 = (m_1, m_2)$, $\lambda_2 = (n_1, n_2)$ be integral vectors such that $\sigma = \mathbf{Q}_+\lambda_1 + \mathbf{Q}_+\lambda_2$. Since λ_1, λ_2 are rational points in σ, we have $\nu(u^{m_1}v^{m_2}) > 0$ and $\nu(u^{n_1}v^{n_2}) > 0$.

Since $\nu(u)$ and $\nu(v)$ are rationally independent, there exists (by Theorem 2.7 [C1]) a sequence of quadratic transforms $\mathbf{k}[u,v] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[u_1, v_1]$ such that the center of ν on $\mathbf{k}[u_1, v_1]$ is (u_1, v_1), there is an expression

$$u = u_1^{\overline{a}} v_1^{\overline{b}}, v = u_1^{\overline{c}} v_1^{\overline{d}}$$

for some $\overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c}, \overline{d} \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\overline{a}\overline{d} - \overline{b}\overline{c} = \pm 1$, and $u^{m_1}v^{m_2}, u^{n_1}v^{n_2} \in \mathbf{k}[u_1, v_1]$. Thus there exists a rational polyhedral cone $\sigma_1 \subset \mathbf{Q}^2$ containing λ_1 and λ_2 such that $\mathbf{k}[u_1, v_1] = \mathbf{k}[\sigma_1 \cap \mathbf{Z}^2]$. We thus have $M_i^{e_i} \in \mathbf{k}[u_1, v_1]$ for all i, so that for all i, $M_i^{e_i}$ is a monomial in u_1 and v_1.

There exists n such that the center of ν on Y_n has permissible parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 where

$$u = u_1^{\overline{a}} v_1^{\overline{b}}, v = u_1^{\overline{c}} v_1^{\overline{d}}, w = w_1. \tag{77}$$

We thus have $\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$M_i^{e_i}=u_1^{\tilde a_i}v_1^{\tilde b_i} \tag{78}$$

for all i. Let p_n be the center of ν on X_n. If p_n is not a 3-point, then ν is resolved on X_n.

If p_n is a 3-point, then u_1, v_1, w_1 (defined by (77)) are permissible parameters at $f_n(p_n)$. There exist permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 at p_n for u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1^{a_{11}}y_1^{a_{12}}z_1^{a_{13}}\\ y &= x_1^{a_{21}}y_1^{a_{22}}z_1^{a_{23}}\\ z &= x_1^{a_{31}}y_1^{a_{132}}z_1^{a_{33}} \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{ij}\in\mathbf{N}$, and $\mathrm{Det}(a_{ij})=\pm1$. Substituting into the expression (23) of u, v, w at p, we have expressions

$$u_1=x_1^{\tilde a}y_1^{\tilde b}z_1^{\tilde c}, v_1=x_1^{\tilde d}y_1^{\tilde e}z_1^{\tilde f}, N=x_1^{\tilde g}y_1^{\tilde h}z_1^{\tilde i},$$

where

$$\mathrm{Det}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde a & \tilde b & \tilde c\\ \tilde d & \tilde e & \tilde f\\ \tilde g & \tilde h & \tilde i \end{pmatrix}\ne 0.$$

Let $\vec v_1=(\tilde a,\tilde b,\tilde c)$, $\vec v_2=(\tilde d,\tilde e,\tilde f)$,

$$\sigma_2=\mathbf{Q}_+\vec v_1+\mathbf{Q}_+\vec v_2\subset\mathbf{Q}^2.$$

By Gordon's Lemma, (Proposition 1 [F]) $\sigma_2\cap\mathbf{Z}^3$ is a finitely generated semi group. Let $\vec w_1,\dots,\vec w_n\in\sigma_2\cap\mathbf{Z}^3$ be generators. There exists $0\ne r\in\mathbf{N}$ and $\delta_j,\epsilon_j\in\mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\vec w_j=\frac{\delta_j}{r}\vec v_1+\frac{\epsilon_j}{r}\vec v_2$$

for $1\le j\le n$. Since the exponents of

$$M_i=u_1^{\frac{\tilde a_i}{e_i}}v_1^{\frac{\tilde b_i}{e_i}}$$

are in $\sigma_2\cap\mathbf{Z}^3$ for all i, we have an expression

$$M_i=u_1^{\frac{\overline{a}_i}{r}}v_1^{\frac{\overline{b}_i}{r}}$$

with $\overline{a}_i,\overline{b}_i\in\mathbf{N}$ for all M_i appearing in the expansion (23) of w. Thus ν is resolved on X_n.

By compactness of the Zariski-Riemann manifold of X ([Z1]), there exist finitely many X_i, $1\le i\le t$, such that the center of any 0-dimensional valuation ν of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ is resolved on some X_i. Thus $X_t\rightarrow Y_t$ satisfies the conclusions of the Lemma.

□

Definition 5.5. *Suppose that $f:X\rightarrow Y$ is prepared, and $q\in Y$ is a 2-point. Permissible parameters u, v, w at q are* **super parameters** *for f at q if at all $p\in f^{-1}(q)$, there exist permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p such that we have one of the forms:*

1. *p is a 1-point*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a\\ v &= x^b(\alpha+y)\\ w &= x^c\gamma(x,y)+x^d(z+\beta) \end{aligned}$$

where γ is a unit series (or zero), $0\ne\alpha\in\mathbf{k}$ and $\beta\in\mathbf{k}$,

2. *p is a 2-point of the form of (9) of Definition 3.1*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^ay^b\\ v &= x^cy^d\\ w &= x^ey^f\gamma(x,y)+x^gy^h(z+\beta)\end{aligned}$$

where $ad-bc\neq 0$, γ is a unit series (or zero), and $\beta\in\mathbf{k}$.

3. *p is a 2-point of the form of (10) of Definition 3.1*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (x^ay^b)^k\\ v &= (x^ay^b)^t(\alpha+z)\\ w &= (x^ay^b)^l\gamma(x^ay^b,z)+x^cy^d\end{aligned}$$

where $0\neq\alpha\in\mathbf{k}$, $ad-bc\neq 0$ and γ is a unit series (or zero).

4. *p is a 3-point*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^ay^bz^c\\ v &= x^dy^ez^f\\ w &= x^gy^hz^i\gamma+x^jy^kz^l\end{aligned}$$

where rank$(u,v,x^jy^kz^l)=3$, rank$(u,v,x^gy^hz^i)=2$ and γ is a unit series in monomials M such that rank$(u,v,M)=2$ (or γ is zero).

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that $f:X\rightarrow Y$ is prepared, $q\in Y$ is a 2-point and u,v,w are permissible parameters at q. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{79}$$

such that

1. *f_1 is prepared.*
2. *Φ_1 is a product of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points such that Φ_1 is an isomorphism above $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$. Ψ_1 is a product of blow ups of 2-curves.*
3. *Suppose that $q_1\in\Psi_1^{-1}(q)$ is a 2-point, so that q_1 has permissible parameters u_1,v_1,w_1 defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_1^av_1^b\\ v &= u_1^cv_1^d\\ w &= w_1\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

for some $a,b,c,d\in\mathbf{N}$ with $ad-bc\neq 0$. Then u_1,v_1,w_1 are super parameters at q_1.

4. *If D_X is cuspidal for f, then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.*
5. *Suppose that $p_1\in X_1$ is a 3-point. Then $p=\Phi_1(p)$ is a 3-point and $\tau_{f_1}(p_1)=\tau_f(p)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 and Remark 5.3, any diagram (79) satisfying 2 satisfies 1, 4 and 5. Further, if $p\in f^{-1}(q)$, u,v,w are super parameters at p, $p_1\in\Phi_1^{-1}(p)$ is such that $f_1(p_1)=q_1$ is a 2-point, then the permissible parameters u_1,v_1,w_1 of (80) at q_1 are super parameters at p_1.

Step 1. We will show that there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points $\Phi_1:X_1\rightarrow X$ such that Φ_1 is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$ and u,v,w are super parameters at all 3-points $p\in(f\circ\Phi_1)^{-1}(q)$.

Suppose that $p \in f^{-1}(q)$ is a 3-point, so that there exist permissible parameters $x, y, z \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ at p for u, v, w such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = x^a y^b z^c \\ v & = x^d y^e z^f \\ w & = g(x,y,z) + N \end{array} \tag{81}$$

of the form of (11) of Definition 3.1 and (17) of Lemma 3.2. There exist regular parameters $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$, and unit series $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that

$$x = \overline{x}\lambda_1, y = \overline{y}\lambda_2, z = \overline{z}\lambda_3.$$

$\overline{xyz} = 0$ is a local equation of D_X at p.

There is an expression $g = \sum \alpha_i M_i$ where $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ and $M_i = x^{a_i} y^{b_i} z^{c_i}$ are monomials in x, y, z such that $\text{rank}(u, v, M_i) = 2$. Let I^p be the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ generated by the $\overline{x}^{a_i}\overline{y}^{b_i}\overline{z}^{c_i}$ for a_i, b_i, c_i appearing in some M_i. There exists an r such that

$$I^p = (\overline{x}^{a_0}\overline{y}^{b_0}\overline{z}^{c_0}, \overline{x}^{a_1}\overline{y}^{b_1}\overline{z}^{c_1}, \ldots, \overline{x}^{a_r}\overline{y}^{b_r}\overline{z}^{c_r}).$$

We have relations $M_i^{e_i} = u^{\alpha_i} v^{\beta_i}$ with $e_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $e_i > 0$ for all i. Thus for $a \in \text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p})$, $(I^p)_a$ is principal if u or v is not in a.

By Lemma 3.13, there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points $\Phi_1 : X_1 \to X$ such that Φ_1 is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(X - \Sigma(Y))$ and $I^p \mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$ is invertible for all 3-points $p \in X$ such that $f(p) = q$ and $p_1 \in \Phi_1^{-1}(p)$. $f \circ \Phi_1 : X_1 \to X$ is prepared by Remark 5.3.

Suppose that $p_1 \in X_1$ is a 3-point. Then $p = \Phi_1(p_1)$ is also a 3-point, and $\tau_{f\circ\Phi_1}(p_1) = \tau_f(p)$ (by Remark 5.3). Let notation be as in (81). There exist permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 for the permissible parameters u, v, w at p_1 such that x_1, y_1, z_1 are defined by

$$\begin{array}{ll} x & = x_1^{a_{11}} y_1^{a_{12}} z_1^{a_{13}} \\ y & = x_1^{a_{21}} y_1^{a_{22}} z_1^{a_{23}} \\ z & = x_1^{a_{31}} y_1^{a_{32}} z_1^{a_{33}}, \end{array} \tag{82}$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{N}$, $\text{Det}(a_{ij}) = \pm 1$. Thus all of the M_i and N are distinct monomials when expanded in the variables x_1, y_1, z_1, so that $g(x, y, z)$ is a monomial in x_1, y_1, z_1 times a unit series (in x_1, y_1, z_1). Thus u, v, w are super parameters at p_1.

Step 2. We will show that there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\Phi_2 : X_2 \to X_1$, where $\Phi_1 : X_1 \to X$ is the map constructed in Step 1, such that Φ_2 is an isomorphism over $(f \circ \Phi_1)^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(y))$ and u, v, w are super parameters at all $p \in (f \circ \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2)^{-1}(q)$ for which p is a 3-point or p is a 2-point of type (9) of Definition 3.1 for u, v, w.

Let $f_1 = f \circ \Phi_1$. Let ν be a 0-dimensional valuation of $\mathbf{k}(X)$. Let p be the center of ν on X_1. Say that ν is resolved on X_1 if

1. $f_1(p) \neq q$, or
2. p is not a 2-point of type (9) of Definition 3.1, or
3. p is a 2-point of type (9) and u, v, w are super parameters at p.

We construct an infinite sequence of morphisms

$$\cdots \to X_n \overset{\Phi_n}{\to} \cdots \overset{\Phi_3}{\to} X_2 \overset{\Phi_2}{\to} X_1 \tag{83}$$

as follows. Order the 2-curves C of X_1 such that $q \in (f \circ \Phi_1)(C) \subset \Sigma(Y)$. Let $\Phi_2 : X_2 \to X_1$ be the blow up of the 2-curve C_1 on X_1 of smallest order. Order the 2-curves C' of X_2 such that $q \in (f \circ \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2)(C') \subset \Sigma(Y)$ so that the 2-curves contained in the exceptional divisor of Φ_2 have order larger than the order of the (strict transform of the) 2-curves C of X such that $q \in f(C) \subset \Sigma(Y)$. Let $\Phi_3 : Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2$ be the blow ups of the 2-curve C_2 on Y_3 of smallest order, and repeat to inductively construct the morphisms $\Phi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$. Let $\overline{\Phi}_n = \Phi_2 \circ \cdots \Phi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_1$. The morphisms $f \circ \overline{\Phi}_n$ are prepared by Remark 5.3.

Suppose that $p \in f_1^{-1}(q)$ is a 2-point satisfying (9) of Definition 3.1, so there exist permissible parameters x, y, z at p for u, v, w such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b \\ v &= x^c y^d \\ w &= g(x,y) + x^e y^f z \end{aligned}$$

with $ad - bc \neq 0$. There exist regular parameters $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p}$ and unit series $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1,p}$ such that

$$x = \overline{x}\lambda_1, y = \overline{y}\lambda_2.$$

$\overline{xy} = 0$ is a local equation of D_{X_1} at p.

If $\nu(\overline{x}), \nu(\overline{y})$ are rationally independent, then the center of ν on X_n is a 2-point for all n, there exists an n such that the center of ν on X_n is a 2-point satisfying (9) of Definition 3.1 and u, v, w are super parameters at p_1, by embedded resolution of plane curve singularities (cf. Section 3.4 and Exercise 3.3 [C3]) applied to $g(x,y) = 0$. If $\nu(\overline{x}), \nu(\overline{y})$ are rationally dependent, then there exists an n such that the center p_1 of ν on X_n is a 1-point.

By compactness of the Zariski-Riemann manifold of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ [Z1], there exists an n such that all points of X_n are resolved. Thus there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\Phi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ such that the conclusions of Step 2 hold.

Step 3. We will show that there exists a diagram (79) satisfying the conclusions of the lemma.

After replacing f with $f \circ \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2$, we can assume that f satisfies the conclusions of Step 2. We construct a sequence of diagrams (79) satisfying 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the conclusions of the lemma as follows. Let $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ be the blow up of the 2-curve C containing q. We order the two 2-curves in Y_1 which dominate C. Let $\Psi_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ be the blow up of the 2-curve of smallest order. Now extend the ordering to the 2-curves of Y_2 which dominate C, by requiring that the two 2-curves on the exceptional divisor of Ψ_2 which dominate C have larger order than the order of the (strict transform of the) 2-curve on Y_1 dominating C (which was not blown up by Ψ_2). Now let $\Psi_3 : Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2$ be the blow up of the 2-curve of smallest order. We continue this process to construct a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves

$$\cdots \rightarrow Y_n \overset{\Psi_n}{\rightarrow} Y_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_1 \overset{\Psi_1}{\rightarrow} Y.$$

Let $\overline{\Psi}_n = \Psi_1 \circ \cdots \Psi_{n-1} \circ \Psi_n$. Let

$$U = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} p \in f^{-1}(q) \text{ such that } u, v, w \text{ have a form (8) or (10) of} \\ \text{Definition 3.1 or of 2 (b) or 2 (c) of Definition 3.4 at } p \end{array} \right\}.$$

U is an open subset of $f^{-1}(q)$. For each $p \in U$, there exists $n(p)$ such that $n \geq n(p)$ implies the rational map $\overline{\Psi}_n^{-1} \circ f$ is defined at p, and $(\overline{\Psi}_n^{-1} \circ f)(p_1)$ is a 1-point, for p_1 in some neighborhood U_p of p in U. $\{U_p\}$ is an open cover of U, so there exists a finite subcover $\{U_{p_1}, \ldots, U_{p_m}\}$ of U. Let $n = \max\{n(p_1), \ldots, n(p_m)\}$. We have that $\overline{\Psi}_n^{-1} \circ f(p)$ is a 1-point if $p \in U$.

By Lemma 5.2, we can now construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_n"'] & Y_n \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_n"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the conclusions of the lemma hold.

If $p_1\in X_n$ is such that $f_n(p_1)=q_1\in\overline{\Psi}_n^{-1}(q)$ is a 2-point, then $\overline{\Phi}_n(p_1)\in Y-U$, and thus u,v,w have a form 2 or 4 of Definition 5.5 at p. As observed at the beginning of the proof, p_1 must have one of the forms $1-4$ of Definition 5.5 with respect to the permissible parameters u_1,v_1,w_1 at q_1 defined by 3 of the statement of Lemma 5.6. Thus f_n satisfies 3 of the statement of Lemma 5.6. $\square$

Theorem 5.7. *Suppose that* $f:X\rightarrow Y$ *is prepared,* $\tau=\tau_f(X)\ge 0$ *and all 3-points* p *of* X *such that* $\tau_f(p)=\tau$ *map to 2-points of* Y*.*

Let

$$\Omega=\left\{\begin{array}{l} q\in Y \text{ such that } q \text{ is a 2-point and} \\ \text{there exists a 3-point } p\in f^{-1}(q)\end{array}\right\}$$

Then there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"'] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that the following conditions hold:

1. Φ *is a product of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points such that* Φ *is an isomorphism above* $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$*.* Ψ *is a product of blow ups of 2-curves.*
2. f_1 *is prepared.*
3. *All 3-points* p_1 *of* X_1 *such that* $\tau_{f_1}(p_1)=\tau$ *map to 2-points of* Y_1*, and if* $p_1\in X_1$ *is a 3-point, then* $\tau_{f_1}(p_1)=\tau_f(\Phi(p_1))$*.*
4. *Let*

$$\Omega_1=\left\{\begin{array}{l} q\in Y_1 \text{ such that } q \text{ is a 2-point, } q\in\Psi^{-1}(\Omega) \\ \text{and there exists a 3-point } p\in f_1^{-1}(q).\end{array}\right\}.$$

If $q\in\Omega_1$ *is a 2-point and* $p_1,\ldots,p_r\in f_1^{-1}(q)$ *are the 3 points in* $f_1^{-1}(q)$*, then there exist* $u,v\in\mathcal{O}_{Y_1,q}$ *and* $w_i\in\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1,q}$ *for* $1\le i\le r$ *such that* u,v,w_i *are (formal) permissible parameters at* q *for* $1\le i\le r$ *and at the point* p_i *we have permissible parameters* x,y,z *for* u,v,w_i *such that we have an expression*

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^ay^bz^c\\ v &= x^dy^ez^f\\ w_i &= M\gamma \end{aligned}$$

where γ *is a unit series,* M *is a monomial in* x,y,z *and there is a relation*

$$M^{e_i}=u^{a_i}v^{b_i}$$

with $a_i,b_i,e_i\in\mathbf{Z}$*,* $e_i>1$ *and* $\gcd(a_i,b_i,e_i)=1$ *or*

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^ay^bz^c\\ v &= x^dy^ez^f\\ w_i &= x^gy^hz^i \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank 3.

Further, there exists $w \in \mathcal{O}_{Y_1,q}$ *and* $\lambda_i(u,v) \in \mathbf{k}[[u,v]]$ *for* $1 \le i \le r$ *such that*

$$w_i = w - \lambda_i(u,v)$$

for $1 \le i \le r$.

5. *For* $q \in \Omega_1$ *and for* u, v, w_i *with* $1 \le i \le r$ *in 4 above,* u, v, w_i *are super parameters at* q.
6. *Suppose that* D_X *is cuspidal for* f. *Then* D_{X_1} *is cuspidal for* f_1.
7. *Suppose that* $p_1 \in X_1$ *is a 3-point. Then* $p = \Phi_1(p)$ *is a 3-point and* $\tau_{f_1}(p_1) = \tau_f(p)$.

Remark 5.8. *The proof actually produces expressions 4 (a) with*

$$w_i = M\gamma = g_i(u^{\frac{1}{l}}, v^{\frac{1}{l}}) + N$$

where $l \in \mathbf{N}$ *and* g_i *is a series,* N *is a monomial in* x, y, z *and rank*$(u, v, N) = 3$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, there exists a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{84}$$

where Φ, Ψ are products of blow ups of 2-curves such that for all 2-points $q \in \Psi^{-1}(\Omega)$, there exist (algebraic) permissible parameters u_q, v_q, w_q at q such that if $p \in X_1$ is a 3-point such that $f(p) = q$, then there are permissible parameters x, y, z at p such that there is an expression

$$\begin{aligned} u_q &= x^a y^b z^c \\ v_q &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w_q &= \gamma + N \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

where $\mathrm{rank}(u_q, v_q) = 2$, γ is a (possibly trivial if $\tau_{f_1}(p) = 0$) series $\gamma = \sum \alpha_i M_i$ in monomials M_i in x, y, z such that $\mathrm{rank}(u_q, v_q, M_i) = 2$ for all i, and N is a monomial in x, y, z such that $\mathrm{rank}(u_q, v_q, N) = 3$. Further, there are relations

$$M_i^{e_i} = u_q^{a_i} v_q^{b_i} \tag{86}$$

for all M_i, with $a_i, b_i, e_i \in \mathbf{N}$ and $e_i > 0$. Let $h_p(u_q, v_q)$ be the series in the monomials M_i of γ such that $e_i = 1$ in (86). Let $w_p = w_q - h_p$. Let

$$\Omega' = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} q \in Y_1 \text{ such that } q \text{ is a 2-point, } q \in \Psi^{-1}(\Omega) \\ \text{and there exists a 3-point } p \in f^{-1}(q) \end{array} \right\}.$$

After performing a further sequence of blowups of 2-curves above X_1, we then achieve (by Lemma 3.11) that 4 of the conclusions of Theorem 5.7 hold. Now the proof follows from Lemma 5.6, applied successively to all $q \in \Omega'$ and permissible parameters u, v, w_p, for $p \in f_1^{-1}(q)$ a 3-point.

□

6. Relations

In this section, we suppose that Y is a nonsingular projective 3-fold with toroidal structure D_Y, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a birational morphism of nonsingular projective 3-folds, with toroidal structures D_Y and $D_X = f^{-1}(D_Y)$, such that D_Y contains the fundamental locus of f.

Definition 6.1. *Suppose that Y is a nonsingular 3-fold with SNC divisor D_Y. A 2-point pre-relation R on Y is an association from a finite set $U(R)$ of 2-points of Y. If $q \in U(R)$, then*

$$R(q) = \left(\begin{array}{l} S = S_R(q), E_1 = E_{R,1}(q), E_2 = E_{R,2}(q), w = w_R(q), u = u_R(q), \\ v = v_R(q), e = e_R(q), a = a_R(q), b = b_R(q), \lambda = \lambda_R(q) \end{array} \right) \tag{87}$$

where E_1, E_2 are the components of D_Y containing q, $a, b, e \in \mathbf{Z}$, $gcd(a, b, e) = 1$ and $e > 1$. $u, v \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$, $w \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$ are such that u, v, w are (formal) permissible parameters at q, $u = 0$ is a local equation of E_1, $v = 0$ is a local equation of E_2. $S = spec(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}/(w))$, $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbf{k}$.

We will also allow 2-point pre-relations with $a = b = -\infty$, $e = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$.

Observe that if R is a 2-point pre-relation (and $a, b \neq -\infty$) then $R(q)$ is determined by the expression

$$w^e - \lambda u^a v^b. \tag{88}$$

Depending on the signs of a and b, this expression determines a (formal) germ of an (irreducible) surface singularity

$$F = F_R(q) = 0 \tag{89}$$

of one of the following forms:

$$F = w^e - \lambda u^a v^b = 0$$

if $a, b \geq 0$ and $a + b > 0$,

$$F = w^e u^{-a} - \lambda v^b = 0$$

if $a < 0$, $b > 0$,

$$F = w^e v^{-b} - \lambda u^a = 0$$

if $b < 0$, $a > 0$.

In the remaining case, $a, b \leq 0$,

$$F = w^e u^{-a} v^{-b} - \lambda$$

is a unit in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$ and $F(q) \neq 0$.

If $a, b = -\infty$, then $R(q)$ is determined by

$$F_R(q) = w_R(q) = 0. \tag{90}$$

Definition 6.2. *A 2-point pre-relation R on Y is algebraic if there exists a nonsingular irreducible locally closed surface $\Omega(R) \subset Y$ such that $\Omega(R)$ makes SNCs with D_Y, $U(R) \subset \Omega(R)$ and $S_R(q)$ is the (formal) germ of $\Omega(R)$ at q for all $q \in U(R)$.*

Definition 6.3. *A 3-point pre-relation R on Y is an association from a finite set $U(R)$ of 3-points of Y. If $q \in U(R)$ then*

$$R(q) = \left(\begin{array}{l} E_1 = E_{R,1}(q), E_2 = E_{R,2}(q), E_3 = E_{R,3}(q), u = u_R(q), v = v_R(q), \\ w = w_R(q), a = a_R(q), b = b_R(q), c = c_R(q), \lambda = \lambda_R(q) \end{array} \right) \tag{91}$$

where E_1, E_2, E_3 are the components of D_Y containing q, $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$, $gcd(a, b, c) = 1$, $min\{a, b, c\} < 0 < max\{a, b, c\}$. $u, v, w \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ are permissible parameters at q such *that* $u=0$ *is a local equation of* E_1*,* $v=0$ *is a local equation of* E_2*,* $w=0$ *is a local equation of* E_3*, and* $0\neq\lambda\in\mathbf{k}$*.*

Observe that if R is a 3-point pre-relation then $R(q)$ is uniquely determined by the expression

$$u^av^bw^c=\lambda. \tag{92}$$

Depending on the signs of a, b and c, this expression determines a germ of an (irreducible) surface singularity

$$F=F_R(q)=0 \tag{93}$$

of one of the following forms:

$$\begin{array}{l} F=w^c-\lambda u^{-a}v^{-b}=0 \text{ if } a,b\le 0,c>0\\ F=v^b-\lambda u^{-a}w^{-c}=0 \text{ if } a,c\le 0,b>0\\ F=u^a-\lambda v^{-b}w^{-c}=0 \text{ if } b,c\le 0,a>0\\ F=w^{-c}-\frac{1}{\lambda}u^av^b \text{ if } a,b>0,c<0\\ F=v^{-b}-\frac{1}{\lambda}u^aw^c \text{ if } a,c>0,b<0\\ F=u^{-a}-\frac{1}{\lambda}v^bw^c\text{if } b,c>0,a<0 \end{array} \tag{94}$$

A pre-relation R is resolved if $F_R(q)$ is a unit in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$ for all $q\in U(R)$ (This includes the case $U(R)=\emptyset$).

Definition 6.4. *A subvariety* G *of* Y *is an admissible center for a 2-point pre-relation* R *on* Y *if one of the following holds:*

1. G *is a 2-point.*
2. G *is a 2-curve of* Y*.*
3. $G\subset D_Y$ *is a nonsingular curve which contains a 1-point and makes SNCs with* D_Y*. If* $q\in U(R)\cap G$ *then* $S_R(q)$ *contains the germ of* G *at* q*. If* R *is algebraic, then* G *makes SNCs with* $\Omega(R)$*.*

Definition 6.5. *A curve* $C\subset Y$ *is an admissible center for a 3-point pre-relation* R *on* Y *if* C *is a 2-curve.*

Observe that admissible centers are possible centers.

Suppose that R is a 2-point (or a 3-point) pre-relation on Y, G is an admissible center for R, and $\Psi:Y_1\rightarrow Y$ is the blow up of G.

If R is a 2-point pre-relation then the transform R^1 of R on Y_1 is the 2-point pre-relation on Y_1 defined by the condition that $U(R^1)$ is the union over $q\in U(R)$ of 2-points q_1 in $\Psi^{-1}(q)$ such that q_1 is on the strict transform of $w_R(q)=0$. For such q_1, $R^1(q_1)$ is determined by the strict transform of the form $F_R(q)=0$ (89) (or (90)) on Y_1 at q_1.

If $q\in U(R)\cap G$, and

$$u=u_R(q),v=v_R(q),w=w_R(q),$$

then G has local equations of one of the following forms at q:

1. $u=v=w=0$,
2. $u=v=0$,
3. $u=w=0$ or $v=w=0$.

If $q_1\in U(R_1)\cap\Psi^{-1}(q)$, then after possibly interchanging u and v,

$$u_1=u_{R^1}(q_1),v_1=v_{R^1}(q_1),w_1=w_{R^1}(q_1)$$

are defined, respectively, by

1. $u=u_1,v=u_1v_1,w=u_1w_1$,

2. $u = u_1, v = u_1v_1, w = w_1$,
3. $u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1w_1$.

If R is algebraic, then the transform R^1 of R is algebraic, where $\Omega(R^1)$ is the strict transform of $\Omega(R)$ by Ψ.

If R is a 3-point pre-relation then the transform R^1 of R on Y_1 is the 3-point pre-relation on Y_1 defined by the condition that $U(R^1)$ is the union over $q \in U(R)$ of 3-points q_1 in $\Psi^{-1}(q)$ such that q_1 is on the strict transform of the form $F_R(q) = 0$ of (93) on Y_1. For such q_1, $R^1(q_1)$ is determined by the strict transform of the form $F_R(q) = 0$ at q_1.

After possibly interchanging $u = u_R(q), v = v_R(q)$ and $w = w_R(q)$,

$$u_1 = u_{R^1}(q_1), v_1 = v_{R^1}(q_1), w_1 = w_{R^1}(q_1)$$

are defined by

$$u = u_1, v = u_1v_1, w = w_1.$$

Definition 6.6. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a prepared morphism. A primitive 2-point relation R for f is*

1. *A 2-point pre-relation $\overline{R}$ on Y,*
2. *A set of 3-points $T = T(R) \subset \cup_{q\in U(\overline{R})} f^{-1}(q)$ such that if $p \in T(R)$ and*

$$\overline{R}(f(p)) = (S, E_1, E_2, w, u, v, e, a, b, \lambda_p)$$

then there exist permissible parameters x, y, z at p for u, v, w such that

$$w^e = u^a v^b \overline{\Lambda}(x, y, z)$$

where $\overline{\Lambda}$ is a unit series such that $\overline{\Lambda}(0, 0, 0) = \lambda_p$ if $a, b \neq -\infty$, and u, v, w have a monomial form at p if $a = b = -\infty$.

We define $R(p) = \overline{R}(f(p))$ if $p \in T(R)$, and denote

$$R(p) = \left(\begin{array}{l} S = S_R(p), E_1(p), E_2(p), w = w_R(p), u = u_R(p), \\ v = v_R(p), e = e_R(p), a = a_R(p), b = b_R(p), \lambda_p = \lambda_R(p) \end{array}\right).$$

A 2-point relation R for f is a finite set of 2-point pre-relations $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ on Y with associated primitive 2-points relations R_i for f such that the sets $T(R_i)$ are pairwise disjoint. We denote $U(R) = \cup_i U(\overline{R}_i)$ and $T(R) = \cup_i T(R_i)$, and define

$$R(p) = R_i(p)$$

if $p \in T(R_i)$.

We further require that

$$u_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = u_{\overline{R}_j}(q), v_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = v_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$$

if $q \in U(\overline{R}_i) \cap U(\overline{R}_j)$. We will call $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ the 2-point pre-relations associated to R. We will say that R is algebraic if each $\overline{R}_i$ is algebraic and

$$\Omega(\overline{R}_i) \cap U(R) = U(\overline{R}_i) \tag{95}$$

for all i. For $p \in T(R_i) \subset T(R)$, we denote

$$R(p) = \left(\begin{array}{l} S = S_R(p), E_1(p), E_2(p), w = w_R(p), u = u_R(p), \\ v = v_R(p), e = e_R(p), a = a_R(p), b = b_R(p), \lambda_p = \lambda_R(p) \end{array}\right).$$

Definition 6.7. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a prepared morphism. A primitive 3-point relation R for f is*

1. *A 3-point pre-relation $\overline{R}$ on Y,*

2. *A set of 3-points* $T(R)\subset\cup_{q\in U(\overline{R})}f^{-1}(q)$ *such that if* $p\in T(R)$ *and*
$$\overline{R}(f(p))=(E_1,E_2,E_3,u,v,w,a,b,c,\lambda_p),$$
then there exist permissible parameters x,y,z *at* p *for* u,v,w *such that*
$$u^av^bw^c=\Lambda(x,y,z)$$
where Λ *is a unit series such that* $\Lambda(0,0,0)=\lambda_p$.

We define $R(p)=\overline{R}(f(p))$ *if* $p\in T(R)$, *and denote*
$$R(p)=\left(\begin{array}{l} E_1=E_1(p),E_2=E_2(p),E_3=E_3(p),u=u_R(p),v=v_R(p),\\ w=w(p),a=a_R(p),b=b_R(p),c=c_R(p),\lambda_p=\lambda_R(p)\end{array}\right).$$

A 3-point relation R *for* f *is a finite set of 3-point pre-relations* $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ *on* Y *with associated primitive 3-points relations* R_i *for* f *such that the sets* $T(R_i)$ *are pairwise disjoint. We denote* $U(R)=\cup_iU(\overline{R}_i)$ *and* $T(R)=\cup_iT(R_i)$, *and define*
$$R(p)=R_i(p)$$
if $p\in T(R_i)$.

We further require that we have equalities
$$u_{\overline{R}_i}(q)=u_{\overline{R}_j}(q),v_{\overline{R}_i}(q)=v_{\overline{R}_j}(q),w_{\overline{R}_i}(q)=w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$$
if $q\in U(\overline{R}_i)\cap U(\overline{R}_j)$. *We will say that* $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ *are the 3-point pre-relations associated to* R. *For* $p\in T(R_i)\subset T(R)$, *we denote*
$$R(p)=\left(\begin{array}{l} E_1=E_1(p),E_2=E_2(p),E_3=E_3(p),u=u_R(p),v=v_R(p),\\ w=w_R(p),a=a_R(p),b=b_R(p),c=c_R(p),\lambda_p=\lambda_R(p)\end{array}\right).$$

A (2-point or 3-point) relation R is resolved if $T(R)=\emptyset$.

Definition 6.8. *Suppose that* $f:X\rightarrow Y$ *is prepared,* R *is a 2-point (respectively 3-point) relation for* f *and*
$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$
is a commutative diagram such that

1. Ψ *is a product of blow ups which are admissible for all of the pre-relations* $\overline{R}_i$ *associated to* R *(and their transforms) and* Φ *is a product of blow ups of possible centers*
2. f_1 *is prepared.*
3. *Let* $\overline{R}_i^1$ *be the transforms of the* $\overline{R}_i$ *on* Y_1 *and let*
$$T_i=\{p\in X_1\mid p\text{ is a 3-point and }p\in\Phi^{-1}(T(R_i))\cap f_1^{-1}(U(\overline{R}_i^1))\}.$$
Suppose that the condition 2 of Definition 6.6 (respectively 2 of Definition 6.7) are satisfied for $f_1:X_1\rightarrow Y_1$, *and all* $\overline{R_i}^1$ *and* T_i.

Then the transform R^1 *of* R *for* f_1 *is the 2-point (respectively 3-point) relation for* f_1 *defined by Definition 6.6 (respectively Definition 6.7) as*
$$T(R^1)=\cup T_i,$$
$$R^1(p)=\overline{R}_i^1(f_1(p))$$
for $p\in T_i$.

Theorem 6.9. *Suppose that R is a 3-point pre-relation on Y. Then there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $Y_1 \rightarrow Y$, such that if R^1 is the transform of R on Y_1, then R^1 is resolved.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Phi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves. Let R^1 be the transform of R on Y_1. We will say that a 0-dimensional valuation w of $\mathbf{k}(Y)$ is resolved on Y_1 if the center q_1 of w on Y_1 is not in $U(R^1)$.

Observe that if ω is resolved on Y_1, then there exists an open neighborhood Σ of q_1 in Y_1 such that all 0-dimensional valuations ν of $\mathbf{k}(Y)$ whose center on Y_1 is in Σ are resolved on Y_1. Further, if $\Phi_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow Y$ is a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves, which factors through Y_1, and ω is resolved on Y_1, then ω is resolved on Y_2.

We will show that for each 0-dimensional valuation ν of $\mathbf{k}(Y)$, there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $Y_\nu \rightarrow Y$ such that the center of ν is resolved on Y_ν.

Let ν be a 0-dimensional valuation of $\mathbf{k}(Y)$, and suppose that the center of ν on Y is $q \in U(R)$. Let $F = F_R(q)$ (with the notation of (94)). The sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $Y_\nu \rightarrow Y$ such that ν is resolved on Y_ν is constructed as follows.

After possibly permuting u, v, w in (94), possibly replacing λ with $\frac{1}{\lambda}$, and observing that $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$, we have an expression

$$F = w^{\overline{c}} - \lambda u^{\overline{a}} v^{\overline{b}} \tag{96}$$

(with $\overline{a} = \pm a, \overline{b} = \pm b \geq 0, \overline{c} = \pm c > 0$) and such that if $\overline{a} = 0$ then $\overline{c} \leq \overline{b}$ and if $\overline{b} = 0$ then $\overline{c} \leq \overline{a}$.

Suppose that $\overline{a} + \overline{b} < \overline{c}$ in (96), so that $\overline{a} > 0$ (and $\overline{b} > 0$). Let $\Phi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ be the blow up of the 2-curve with local equations $u = w = 0$ at q. Let R^1 be the transform of R on Y_1. There are two 3-points $q_1, q_2 \in \Phi_1^{-1}(q)$. q_1 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by $u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1 w_1$. The strict transform of $F = 0$ has the local equation

$$F^1 = v_1^{\overline{b}} - \frac{1}{\lambda} u_1^{\overline{c} - \overline{a}} w_1^{\overline{c}} = 0$$

at q_1. We have a form (96) for $F^1 = F_{R^1}(q_1)$ with a reduction in $\overline{c}$ to $\overline{b}$. q_2 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by $u = u_1 w_1, v = v_1, w = w_1$. The strict transform of $F = 0$ has the local equation

$$F^1 = w_1^{\overline{c} - \overline{a}} - \lambda u_1^{\overline{a}} v_1^{\overline{b}} = 0$$

at q_2. Thus $q_2 \in U(R^1)$ and we have a form (96) for $F^1 = F_{R^1}(q_2)$ with a reduction in $\overline{c}$ to $\overline{c} - \overline{a}$.

Suppose that $\overline{a} \geq \overline{c}$ in (96). Let $\Phi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ be the blow up of the 2-curve with local equation $u = w = 0$ at q. Let R^1 be the transform of R on Y_1. There are two 3-points $q_1, q_2 \in \Phi_1^{-1}(q)$. q_1 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by $u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1 w_1$. The strict transform of $F = 0$ has the local equation

$$F^1 = w_1^{\overline{c}} - \lambda u_1^{\overline{a} - \overline{c}} v_1^{\overline{b}} = 0$$

at q_1. Suppose that $q_1 \in U(R^1)$ (which holds if $\overline{b} + \overline{a} - \overline{c} > 0$). If $\overline{a} = \overline{c}$ and $\overline{b} < \overline{c}$ we have a reduction in $\overline{c}$ in the expression of the form (96). If $\overline{b} = 0$ and $\overline{a} - \overline{c} < \overline{c}$ we have a reduction in $\overline{c}$. Otherwise, $\overline{c}$ stays the same, but we have a reduction in $\overline{a} + \overline{b}$ in $F^1 = F_{R^1}(q_1)$. q_2 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by $u = u_1 w_1, v = v_1, w = w_1$. The strict transform of $F = 0$ has the local equation

$$F^1 = u_1^{\overline{a}} v_1^{\overline{b}} w_1^{\overline{a} - \overline{c}} - \frac{1}{\lambda} = 0$$

at q_2. Thus $q_2 \notin U(R^1)$.

We have a similar analysis to the above paragraph if $\overline{b} \ge \overline{c}$. In this case we blow up the 2-curve with local equations $v = w = 0$ at q. There is at most a single point $q_1 \in \Phi_1^{-1}(q) \cap U(R^1)$. We have a reduction in a local equation $F^1 = F_{\overline{R}^1}(q_1) = 0$ of the strict transform of $F = 0$ at q_1 of the form (96) of $\overline{c}$, or $\overline{c}$ stays the same but we have a reduction in $\overline{a} + \overline{b}$.

The final case which we must consider is when $\overline{a} + \overline{b} \ge \overline{c}$ and $\overline{a}, \overline{b} < \overline{c}$. Let $\Phi_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ be the blow up of the 2-curve with local equation $u = w = 0$ at q. There are two 3-points $q_1, q_2 \in \Phi_1^{-1}(q)$. q_1 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by $u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1w_1$. The strict transform of $F = 0$ has the local equation

$$F^1 = v_1^{\overline{b}} - \frac{1}{\lambda} w_1^{\overline{c}} u_1^{\overline{c}-\overline{a}} = 0$$

at q_1, so that $q_1 \in U(R^1)$ and we have a reduction in $\overline{c}$ in the expression of $F^1 = F_{\overline{R}^1}(q_1)$ of the form of (96). q_2 has regular parameters u_1, v_1, w_1 defined by $u = u_1w_1, v = v_1, w = w_1$. The strict transform of $F = 0$ has the local equation

$$F^1 = w_1^{\overline{c}-\overline{a}} - \lambda u_1^{\overline{a}} v_1^{\overline{b}} = 0$$

at q_2. Thus $q_2 \in U(R^1)$ and we have a drop in $\overline{c}$ in $F^1 = F_{\overline{R}^1}(q_2)$ in (96).

By descending induction on the above invariants, always performing one of the above blow ups if ν is not resolved, we can construct the desired morphism $Y_\nu \to Y$ such that ν is resolved on Y_ν.

Now by compactness of the Zariski-Riemann manifold [Z1], there exist finitely many

$$Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \in \{Y_\nu \mid \nu \text{ is a 0-dimensional valuation of } \mathbf{k}(Y)\}$$

such that if $R^1, \ldots, R^n$ are the respective transforms of R on $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ and ν is a 0-dimensional valuation of $\mathbf{k}(Y)$, then the center of ν on some Y_i is not in $U(R^i)$. By Lemma 3.11, there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\overline{\Phi} : \overline{Y} \to Y$ such that there exist morphisms $\overline{\Phi}_i : \overline{Y} \to Y_i$ for all i which factor $\overline{\Phi}$. In particular, the transform of R on $\overline{Y}$ is resolved. □

Theorem 6.10. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is prepared and that R is a 3-point relation for f. Then there exists a commutative diagram,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ and Ψ are products of blow ups of 2-curves, f_1 is prepared and the transform R^1 of R for f_1 is defined and is resolved. In particular, all 3-points of X_1 in $\Phi^{-1}(T(R))$ must map to 2-points of Y_1. Furthermore, $\tau_{f_1}(p_1) = \tau_f(\Phi(p_1))$ if $p_1 \in X_1$ is a 3-point. If D_X is cuspidal for f then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.

Proof. Let $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ be the pre-relations on Y associated to R. By Theorem 6.9 there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\Psi : Y_1 \to Y$ such that the pre-relations $\{\overline{R}_i^1\}$ which are the transforms of $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ on Y_1 are resolved on Y_1. By Lemma 5.2 there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\Phi : X_1 \to X$ such that $f_1 = \Psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \Phi : X_1 \to Y_1$ is a prepared morphism, and if D_X is cuspidal for f, then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1. Furthermore, $\tau_{f_1}(p_1) = \tau_f(\Phi(p_1))$ if $p_1 \in X_1$ is a 3-point.

Suppose that $p_1 \in X_1$ is a 3-point. Then $p = \Phi(p_1)$ is a 3-point. Suppose that u, v, w are permissible parameters at $q = f(p)$ and x, y, z are permissible parameters for u, v, w at p. Then there exist permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 for u, v, w at p_1

such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1^{b_{11}} y_1^{b_{12}} z_1^{b_{13}}\\ y &= x_1^{b_{21}} y_1^{b_{22}} z_1^{b_{23}}\\ z &= x_1^{b_{31}} y_1^{b_{32}} z_1^{b_{33}} \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

with $\mathrm{Det}(b_{ij}) = \pm 1$. We have (after possibly exchanging u, v, w) an expression of the form (23) at p. On substitution of (97) into (23) we see that we have an expression

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_1^{\overline{a}} y_1^{\overline{b}} z_1^{\overline{c}}\\ v &= x_1^{\overline{d}} y_1^{\overline{e}} z_1^{\overline{f}}\\ w &= \sum \alpha_i M_i + N \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

at p_1 of the form of (23).

We have a factorization

$$Y_1 = Y'_m \overset{\Psi'_m}{\to} Y'_{m-1} \to \cdots \to Y'_1 \overset{\Psi'_1}{\to} Y'_0 = Y$$

where each Ψ'_i is the blow up of a 2-curve C_i.

Let $\overline{f}_i : X_1 \to Y'_i$ be the resulting maps.

Let R_i be the primitive relations associated to R, and let $(\overline{R}')^j_i$ be the transform of the pre-relation $\overline{R}_i$ on Y'_j. By induction on j, we will show that the transform $(R')^j$ of R for $\overline{f}_j$ is defined. It suffices to verify this for $(R')^1$. Suppose that (with the notation of Definition 6.7), $p_1 \in \Phi^{-1}(T(R_i))$ is a 3-point. Let $p = \Phi(p_1)$, $q = f(p)$, $\overline{q} = \overline{f}_1(p_1)$. $p = \Phi(p_1) \in T(R_i)$, so that $q \in U(\overline{R}_i)$ is a 3-point. Then $\tau_f(p) \geq 0$, and

$$w = x_1^{\overline{g}} y_1^{\overline{h}} z_1^{\overline{i}} \Lambda \tag{99}$$

where Λ is a unit series in (98).

Let $F = F_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = 0$ be the expression of (94) which determines $\overline{R}_i(q)$. Then we have (with the notation of (92), (98), (99) and Definition 6.7) that

$$a(\overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c}) + b(\overline{d}, \overline{e}, \overline{f}) + c(\overline{g}, \overline{h}, \overline{i}) = (0, 0, 0)$$

and $\Lambda(0,0,0)^c = \lambda = \lambda_p$. After possibly interchanging u and v, permissible parameters at $\overline{q}$ are u_1, v_1, w_1 with

$$u = u_1, v = u_1(v_1 + \alpha), w = w_1 \tag{100}$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, or

$$u = u_1, v = v_1, w = u_1(w_1 + \alpha) \tag{101}$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ or

$$u = u_1 w_1, v = v_1, w = w_1. \tag{102}$$

Substituting the expressions (100), (101) or (102) into the expression $F = 0$ of (94) and computing the strict transform of $F = 0$ at $\overline{q}$, we see that if $\overline{q}$ is a 3-point, then $\overline{\alpha} = 0$ in (100), $\overline{q}$ is on the strict transform of $F = 0$, $\overline{q} \in U((\overline{R}')^1_i)$, and the transform $(R')^1$ of R for $\overline{f}_1$ is defined. By induction on the number of 2-curves blown up by Ψ, we see that the transform R^1 of R for f_1 is defined. Since $U(R^1) = \emptyset$, R^1 is resolved. $\square$

7. WELL PREPARED MORPHISMS

Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a birational, prepared morphism of nonsingular projective 3-folds with toroidal structures D_Y and $D_X = f^{-1}(D_Y)$. Further suppose that the fundamental locus of f is contained in D_Y. If R is a 2-point relation for f with associated 2-point pre-relations $\{\overline{R}_i\}$, then for $p \in T(R_i)$ we have that

$$R(p) = \begin{pmatrix} S_i = S_R(p), E_1 = E_{R,1}(p), E_2 = E_{R,2}(p), w_i = w_R(p), u = u_R(p), \\ v = v_R(p), e_i = e_R(p), a_i = a_R(p), b_i = b_R(p), \overline{\lambda}_i = \lambda_R(p) \end{pmatrix} \tag{103}$$

which we will abbreviate (as in (88)) as

$$R(p) = w_i^{e_i} - \overline{\lambda}_i u^{a_i} v^{b_i}, \tag{104}$$

with $e_i > 1$, if $a_i, b_i \neq -\infty$, or (as in (90)

$$R(p) = w_i \tag{105}$$

if $a_i, b_i = -\infty$. In this case, u, v, w_i have a monomial form at p. Recall that if $p' \in T(R)$ is such that $f(p') = f(p)$, then $u_R(p') = u_R(p) = u$ and $v_R(p') = v_R(p) = v$. Let I be an index set for the $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ associated to R.

Definition 7.1. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 0$. A prepared morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R if:*

1. *$p \in X$ a 3-point implies $\tau_f(p) \leq \tau$.*
2. *$T(R)$ is the set of 3-points p on X such that $\tau_f(p) = \tau$.*
3. *Suppose that $p \in T(R)$. Then $\tau > 0$ implies $R(p)$ has a form (104), $\tau = 0$ implies $R(p)$ has a form (105).*
4. *If $q \in U(\overline{R}_i) \cap U(\overline{R}_j)$, then there exists $\lambda_{ij}(u, v) \in \mathbf{k}[[u, v]]$, with*
$$u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = u_{\overline{R}_j}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = v_{\overline{R}_j}(q),$$
such that
$$w_j = w_i + \lambda_{ij}(u, v).$$
where $w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$, $w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$.
5. *Suppose that $q \in U(\overline{R}_i)$, where $\overline{R}_i$ is a 2-point relation associated to R. Then $u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$ are super parameters at q (Definition 5.5).*

Definition 7.2. *$f : X \rightarrow Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R and pre-algebraic structure if f is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R and $w_{\overline{R}_i}(q) \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ for all $\overline{R}_i$ associated to R, and $q \in U(\overline{R}_i)$.*

Definition 7.3. *$f : X \rightarrow Y$ is τ-well prepared with 2-point relation R if*

1. *f is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R and pre-algebraic structure.*
2. *The primitive pre-relations $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ associated to R are algebraic, and R is algebraic (Definition 6.6).*
3. *Suppose that $q \in U(\overline{R}_i) \cap U(\overline{R}_j)$. Let $w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$ and $w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$, $u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = u_{\overline{R}_j}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = v_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$. Then there exists a unit series $\phi_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}[[u, v]]$ and $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbf{N}$ (or $\phi_{ij} = 0$ with $a_{ij} = b_{ij} = -\infty$) with*
$$w_j = w_i + u^{a_{ij}} v^{b_{ij}} \phi_{ij}. \tag{106}$$
4. *For $q \in U(R)$, set $I_q = \{i \in I \mid q \in U(\overline{R}_i)\}$. Then the set*
$$\{(a_{ij}, b_{ij}) \mid i, j \in I_q\} \tag{107}$$
from equation (106) is totally ordered.

Definition 7.4. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R.*

1. *A 2-point $q \in U(R)$ is prepared for R.*
2. *A 2-point $q \in Y$ such that $q \notin U(R)$ is prepared for R if there exist super parameters u, v, w at q (where w could be formal)*
3. *A 2-curve $C \subset Y$ is prepared for R.*

If E is a component of D_Y, $\overline{R}_i$ is pre-algebraic, and $q \in U(\overline{R}_i)$, we will denote $\overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)}$ for the Zariski closure in Y of the curve germ $u = w = 0$ at q, where $u = 0$ is a local equation of E, $w = 0$ is an (algebraic) local equation of $S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$ at q.

Definition 7.5. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is τ-well prepared with 2-point relation R for f. A nonsingular curve $C \subset D_Y$ which makes SNCs with D_Y is prepared for R of type 4 if*

1. *$C = \overline{E_\alpha \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q_\beta)}$ for some component E_α of D_Y, pre-relation $\overline{R}_i$ associated to R and $q_\beta \in U(\overline{R}_i)$.*
2. *$\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ contains C.*
3. *If $C' = \overline{E_\gamma \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j}(q_\delta)}$ is such that $C' \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_j)$, $C \neq C'$, and $q \in C \cap C'$, then $q \in U(\overline{R}_i) \cap U(\overline{R}_j)$ and $C' = \overline{E_\gamma \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j}(q)}$.*
4. *If $j \neq i$ and $C = \overline{E_\gamma \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j}(q_\delta)}$ then C satisfies 1 and 2 and 3 of this definition (for $\overline{R}_j$). (In this case we have by (95) that $U(\overline{R}_j) \cap C = U(\overline{R}_i) \cap C$).*
5. *Let*

$$I_C = \{j \in I \mid C = \overline{E_\gamma \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j}(q_\delta)} \text{ for some } \overline{R}_j, E_\gamma, q_\delta \in U(\overline{R}_j)\}.$$

   Suppose that $q \in C$ is a 1-point or a 2-point such that $q \notin U(R)$. Then there exist $u, v \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ such that for $j \in I_C$ there exists $\tilde{w}_j \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ such that
   (a) *$\tilde{w}_j = 0$ is a local equation of $\Omega(\overline{R}_j)$ and $u, v, \tilde{w}_j$ are permissible parameters at q such that $u = \tilde{w}_j = 0$ are local equations of C at q.*
   (b) *If q is a 1-point and $p \in f^{-1}(q)$, then there exists a relation of one of the following forms for $u, v, \tilde{w}_j$ at p.*
      (i) *p a 1-point*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a \\ v &= y \\ \tilde{w}_j &= x^c\gamma(x,y) + x^d z \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

      where γ is a unit series (or zero),
      (ii) *p a 2-point*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (x^a y^b)^k \\ v &= z \\ \tilde{w}_j &= (x^a y^b)^l \gamma(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

      where γ is a unit series (or zero) and $ad - bc \neq 0$.
   (c) *If q is a 2-point, then $u, v, \tilde{w}_j$ are super parameters at q.*
   (d) *If $i, j \in I_C$ and q is a 1-point, there exist relations*

$$\tilde{w}_i - \tilde{w}_j = u^{c_{ij}} \phi_{ij}(u, v)$$

   where ϕ_{ij} is a unit series (or $\phi_{ij} = 0$ and $c_{ij} = \infty$).
   (e) *If $i, j \in I_C$ and q is a 2-point (with $q \notin U(R)$) then there exist relations*

$$\tilde{w}_i - \tilde{w}_j = u^{c_{ij}} v^{d_{ij}} \phi_{ij}(u, v)$$

where ϕ_{ij} *is a unit series (or* $\phi_{ij} = 0$ *and* $c_{ij} = d_{ij} = \infty$*), and the set* $\{(c_{ij}, d_{ij})\}$ *is totally ordered.*

If $f : X \to Y$ is τ-well prepared with 2-point relation R, and $\overline{R}_i$ is a pre-relation associated to R, we will feel free to replace $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ with an open subset of $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ containing $U(\overline{R}_i)$, and all curves $C = \overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)}$ such that E is a component of D_Y, $q \in U(\overline{R}_i)$ and C is prepared for R of type 4. This convention will allow some simplification of the statements of the theorems and proofs.

Definition 7.6. *$f : X \to Y$ is τ-very-well prepared with 2-point relation R if*

1. *f is τ-well prepared with 2-point relation R.*
2. *If E is a component of D_Y and $q \in U(\overline{R}_i) \cap E$, then $C = \overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)}$ is prepared for R of type 4 (Definition 7.5).*
3. *For all $\overline{R}_i$ associated to R, let*

$$V_i(Y) = \left\{ \gamma = \overline{E_\alpha \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q_\gamma)} \mid q_\gamma \in U(\overline{R}_i), E_\alpha \text{ is a component of } D_Y \right\}.$$

Then

$$F_i = \sum_{\gamma \in V_i(Y)} \gamma$$

is a SNC divisor on $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ whose intersection graph is a tree.

If $f : X \to Y$ is τ-very-well prepared, we will feel free to replace $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ with an open neighborhood of F_i in $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$. This will allow some simplification of the proofs.

Remark 7.7. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is τ-very well prepared. Then it follows from Definition 7.6 and (95) that $F_i \cap U(R) = U(\overline{R}_i)$ for all $\overline{R}_i$ associated to R.*

Definition 7.8. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) with 2-point relation R. Let $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ be the pre-relations associated to R. Suppose that G is a point or nonsingular curve in Y which is an admissible center for all of the $\overline{R}_i$. Then G is called a permissible center for R if there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd} \tag{110}$$

where Ψ is the blow up of G and Φ is a sequence of blow ups

$$X_1 = \overline{X}_n \to \cdots \to \overline{X}_1 \to X$$

of nonsingular curves and 3-points γ_i which are possible centers such that

1. *f_1 is prepared and the assumptions of Definition 6.8 hold so that the transform R^1 of R for f_1 is defined.*
2. *$f_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$ is τ-quasi-well prepared, (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) with 2-point relation R^1.*

(110) is called a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) diagram of R (and Ψ).

Definition 7.9. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is τ-well prepared (or τ-very-well prepared) with 2-point relation R and $C \subset Y$ is prepared for R of type 4. Then C is a $*$-permissible center for R if there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{111}$$

such that

1. *f_1 is prepared and the assumptions of Definition 6.8 hold so that the transform R^1 of R for f_1 is defined.*
2. *$f_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$ is τ-well prepared (or τ-very-well prepared).*
3. *(111) has a factorization*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 = \overline{X}_m \arrow[r, "f_1=\overline{f}_m"] \arrow[d] & \overline{Y}_m = Y_1 \arrow[d] \\
\vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] \\
\overline{X}_2 \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_2"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_2"'] & \overline{Y}_2 \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_2"] \\
\overline{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_1"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_1"'] & \overline{Y}_1 \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_1"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{112}$$

where $\overline{\Psi}_1$ is the blow up of C,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_1"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_1"'] & \overline{Y}_1 \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_1"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{113}$$

is a τ-well prepared diagram of R and $\overline{\Psi}_1$ of the form (110), each $\overline{\Psi}_{i+1} : \overline{Y}_{i+1} \to \overline{Y}_i$ for $i \geq 1$ is the blow up of a 2-point $q \in \overline{Y}_i$ which is prepared for the transform R^i of R on $\overline{X}_i$ of type 2 of Definition 7.4, and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X}_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_{i+1}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_{i+1}"'] & \overline{Y}_{i+1} \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_{i+1}"] \\
\overline{X}_i \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_i"] & \overline{Y}_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a τ-well prepared diagram of R^i and $\overline{\Psi}_{i+1}$ of the form of (110).

Definition 7.10. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) with 2-point relation R. Suppose that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{114}$$

is a commutative diagram such that there is a factorization

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1=\overline{X}_m \arrow[r, "f_1=\overline{f}_m"] \arrow[d] & \overline{Y}_m=Y_1 \arrow[d] \\
\vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] \\
\overline{X}_2 \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_2"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_2"'] & \overline{Y}_2 \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_2"] \\
\overline{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_1"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_1"'] & \overline{Y}_1 \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_1"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{115}$$

where each commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X}_{i+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_{i+1}"'] & \overline{Y}_{i+1} \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_{i+1}"] \\
\overline{X}_i \arrow[r] & \overline{Y}_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

is either of the form (110) or of the form (111). Then (114) is called a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) diagram of R (and Ψ).

Lemma 7.11. *Suppose that $f: X \to Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) and $C \subset Y$ is a 2-curve. Then C is a permissible center for R, and there exists a τ-quasi-well-prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) diagram (110) of R and the blow up $\Psi: Y_1 \to Y$ of C such that Φ is a product of blow ups of 2-curves. Furthermore,*

1. *If D_X is cuspidal for f then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.*
2. *Further suppose that f is τ-well prepared. Then*
   - (a) *Let E be the exceptional divisor for Ψ. Suppose that $q \in U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap E$ for some $\overline{R}_i$ associated to R. Let $\gamma_i = \overline{S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q) \cdot E}$. Then $\gamma_i = \Psi^{-1}(\Psi(q))$ is a prepared curve for R^1 of type 4.*
   - (b) *If γ is a prepared curve for R, then the strict transform of γ on Y_1 is a prepared curve for R^1.*
3. *Φ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(Y))$*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ is a product of blow ups of 2-curves and f_1 is prepared, with the property that $\tau_{f_1}(p_1) = \tau_f(\Phi(p_1))$ if $p_1 \in X_1$ is a 3-point. We further have that D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1 if D_X is cuspidal for f, and Φ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(Y))$.

Let $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ be the 2-point pre-relations on Y associated to R. C is an admissible center for the $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ (Definition 6.4). Let $\{\overline{R}_i^1\}$ be the transforms of the $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ on Y_1.

We will show that the conditions of Definition 6.8 hold so that we can define the transform R^1 of R for f_1. Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1)$, and $p_1 \in f_1^{-1}(q_1) \cap \Phi^{-1}(T(R_i))$ is a 3-point. Let $q = \Psi(q_1)$, $p = \Phi(p_1)$. There exist permissible parameters $u = u_R(p), v = v_R(p), w = w_R(p)$ at q such that $R(p) = \overline{R}_i(q)$ is determined by

$$w^e - \lambda u^a v^b$$

if $a = a_R(p), b = b_R(p) \neq -\infty$, and by

$$w = 0$$

if $a = a_R(p) = b = b_R(p) = -\infty$. There exist permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p such that an expression (23) of Definition 3.9 holds for u, v, w and we have a relation

$$w^e = u^a v^b \Lambda(x, y, z)$$

where $\Lambda(x, y, z)$ is a unit series with $\Lambda(0, 0, 0) = \lambda$ if $a, b \neq -\infty$ and u, v, w have a monomial form in x, y, z if $a = b = -\infty$. After possibly interchanging u and v, we may assume (since q_1 is a 2-point) that q_1 has permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ such that

$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{uv}.$$

Since p_1 is a 3-point, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1,p_1}$ has regular parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1^{a_{11}} y_1^{a_{12}} z_1^{a_{13}} \\ y &= x_1^{a_{21}} y_1^{a_{22}} z_1^{a_{23}} \\ z &= x_1^{a_{31}} y_1^{a_{32}} z_1^{a_{33}} \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathrm{Det}(a_{ij}) = \pm 1$. Thus $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ has an expression of the form of (23) in x_1, y_1, z_1. If $a, b \neq -\infty$ we have the relation

$$w^e = \overline{u}^{a+b} \overline{v}^b \Lambda,$$

and $\overline{R}_i^1(q_1)$ is determined by

$$w^e = \overline{u}^{a+b} \overline{v}^b \lambda. \tag{116}$$

If $a = b = -\infty$, $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ have a monomial expression in x_1, y_1, z_1. The transform R^1 of R for f_1 is thus defined.

Now we will verify that f_1 is τ-quasi-well prepared. From the above calculations, we see that f_1 satisfies 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Definition 7.1. It remains to verify that 5 of Definition 7.1 holds.

Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1)$ and $p_1 \in f_1^{-1}(q_1)$. Then

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$$

are super parameters at $q = \Psi(q_1)$, and $p = \Phi(p_1) \in f^{-1}(q)$ has permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w such that one of the forms of Definition 5.5 hold for u, v, w and x, y, z.

After possibly interchanging u and v, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) &= \overline{u} = u \\ v_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) &= \overline{v} = \tfrac{v}{u} \\ w_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) &= w. \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

We can verify that there exist permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 at p_1 such that $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ have one of the forms of Definition 5.5 in x_1, y_1, z_1. The most difficult case to verify is when p_1 is a 1-point and p is a 3-point. Then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1,p_1}$ has regular parameters $\overline{x}_1, \overline{y}_1, \overline{z}_1$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \overline{x}_1^{\overline{a}} (\overline{y}_1 + \overline{\alpha})^{\overline{b}} (\overline{z}_1 + \overline{\beta})^{\overline{c}} \\ y &= \overline{x}_1^{\overline{d}} (\overline{y}_1 + \overline{\alpha})^{\overline{e}} (\overline{z}_1 + \overline{\beta})^{\overline{f}} \\ w &= \overline{x}_1^{\overline{g}} (\overline{y}_1 + \overline{\alpha})^{\overline{h}} (\overline{z}_1 + \overline{\beta})^{\overline{i}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \in \mathbf{k}$ are nonzero and

$$\operatorname{Det}\begin{pmatrix} \overline{a} & \overline{b} & \overline{c} \\ \overline{d} & \overline{e} & \overline{f} \\ \overline{g} & \overline{h} & \overline{i} \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1.$$

We substitute into

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b z^c \\ v &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w &= x^g y^h z^i \gamma + x^j y^k z^l \end{aligned}$$

of 4 of Definition 5.5. Using the fact that

$$\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ j & k & l \end{pmatrix} = 3,$$

we can make a change of variables in $\overline{x}_1, \overline{y}_1, \overline{z}_1$ to get permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 at p_1 satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_1^{\overline{a}} \\ v &= x_1^{\overline{d}}(\overline{\gamma} + y_1) \\ x^j y^k z^l &= x_1^{\overline{g}}(\overline{\epsilon} + z_1) \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

with $\overline{d} > \overline{a}$ and $0 \neq \overline{\epsilon}, \overline{\gamma} \in \mathbf{k}$.

For each of the monomials M in the series $x^g y^h z^i \gamma$ we have a relation

$$M^{\tilde{e}} = u^{\tilde{a}} v^{\tilde{b}} \tag{119}$$

with $\tilde{e}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbf{Z}$. On substitution of (117) and (118) into (119) we see that

$$M = x_1^{e'} \phi_1(y_1)$$

where ϕ_1 is a unit series. Thus $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ have an expansion of the form 1 of Definition 5.5 in terms of x_1, y_1, z_1.

The other cases can be verified by a similar but simpler argument to show that $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ are super parameters at q_1. Thus 5 of Definition 7.1 holds for f_1, so that f_1 is τ-quasi-well prepared.

Suppose that f is τ-well prepared. We will verify that f_1 is τ-well prepared. 1 and 2 of Definition 7.3 are immediate. We must verify that 3 and 4 of Definition 7.3 hold for f_1.

Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap U(\overline{R}_j^1)$. Let $q = \Phi(q_1) \in U(\overline{R}_i) \cap U(\overline{R}_j)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = u_{\overline{R}_j}(q), \\ v &= v_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = v_{\overline{R}_j}(q), \\ w_i &= w_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q). \end{aligned}$$

We have a relation

$$w_j = w_i + u^{a_{ij}} v^{b_{ij}} \phi_{ij}(u, v), \tag{120}$$

from (106) for f. After possibly interchanging u and v we have permissible parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{u} &= u_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = u_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1) \\ \overline{v} &= v_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = v_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1) \\ w_i &= w_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1), w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1) \end{aligned}$$

at q_1, where $u = \overline{u}$, $v = \overline{uv}$. We have

$$w_j = w_i + \overline{u}^{a_{ij}+b_{ij}} \overline{v}^{b_{ij}} \phi_{ij}(\overline{u}, \overline{uv}) \tag{121}$$

so 3 of Definition 7.3 holds for f_1.

Since the set (107) of Definition 7.3 is totally ordered for $q \in U(R)$, it follows from (121) that the corresponding set (107) for $q_1 \in U(R^1)$ is totally ordered. Thus 4 of Definition 7.3 holds for f_1 and R^1 and f_1 is τ-well prepared.

We now verify 2 of Lemma 7.11. Suppose that f is τ-well prepared and (110) is a τ-well prepared diagram of R and Ψ. Let $E = \Psi^{-1}(C)$ be the exceptional divisor of Ψ. Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap E$. Let $q = \Psi(q_1)$. There exist permissible parameters

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$$

at q such that $u = v = 0$ are local equations of C, and after possibly interchanging u and v,

$$\overline{u} = u_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = u, \overline{v} = v_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = \frac{v}{u}, \overline{w}_i = w_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = w_i$$

are permissible parameters at q_1. Since $\overline{u}$ is a local equation of E at q_1, $\gamma_i = \overline{S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q) \cdot E} = \Psi^{-1}(q)$. Let $\gamma = \gamma_i$.

We will verify that γ_i is prepared for R^1 of type 4. Since $q \in \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$, C intersects $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ transversally at q (and possibly a finite number of other points), and $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^1)$ is the strict transform of $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ by Ψ, we have that $\gamma_i \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_i^1)$. Thus 2 of Definition 7.5 holds. Suppose that for some j, component E_α of D_{Y_1} and $q_\beta \in U(\overline{R}_j^1)$,

$$\gamma' = \overline{E_\alpha \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_\beta))} \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_j^1),$$

$\gamma \neq \gamma'$ and there exists $\overline{q} \in \gamma \cap \gamma'$. Let $E_1 = \Psi(E_\alpha)$, a component of D_Y. Then $\overline{\gamma} = \Psi(\gamma')$ is a curve on D_Y through q. Since $\gamma' \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_j^1)$, we must have $\overline{\gamma} \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_j) \cap E_1$, so that $q \in U(R) \cap \overline{\gamma} = U(\overline{R}_j) \cap \overline{\gamma}$, and thus $\overline{\gamma} = \overline{E_1 \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j}(q)}$. We thus have that E_α is the strict transform of E_1, $\overline{q} = E_\alpha \cdot \gamma \in U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap U(\overline{R}_j^1)$ and $\gamma' = \overline{E_\alpha \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(\overline{q})}$. Thus 3 of Definition 7.5 holds.

Suppose that $\gamma' = \overline{E_\alpha \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_\delta)}$ and $\gamma = \gamma'$. Then we must have $\gamma' = \overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1)}$ and 4 of Definition 7.5 holds.

Since for $q \in U(\overline{R}_j)$, $U(\overline{R}_j^1)$ contains both 2-points above q in Y_1, we need only verify 5 of Definition 7.5 at 1-points $\overline{q} \in \gamma$. Let

$$I_\gamma = \{j \mid \gamma = \overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1)}\}.$$

At $\overline{q}$ there exist regular parameters $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}_j$ (for all $j \in I_\gamma$) such that

$$u = \tilde{u}, v = \tilde{u}(\tilde{v} + \alpha), w_j = \tilde{w}_j \tag{122}$$

where $u = u_{\overline{R}_j}(q) = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$, $v = v_{\overline{R}_j}(q) = v_{\overline{R}_i(q)}$, $w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$ and $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$.

Thus 5 (a) of Definition 7.5 holds for $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}_j$ at $\overline{q}$.

As in our verification that f_1 is τ-quasi-well prepared, we see that if $p \in f_1^{-1}(\overline{q})$, then there exist permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w_j at p such that one of the forms of Definition 5.5 hold for u, v, w_j and x, y, z. Substituting in (122), we see that (since $\alpha \neq 0$) u, v, w_j must satisfy a form 1 or 3 of Definition 5.5 at p, and $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}_j$ must satisfy one of the forms (i) or (ii) of 5 (b) of Definition 7.5. Thus 5 (b) of Definition 7.5 holds at p. Substituting (122) into (120), we see that 5 (d) of Definition 7.5 holds at $\overline{q}$. Thus $\gamma = \gamma_i$ is prepared for R^1 of type 4.

We now verify that if γ is a prepared curve for R on Y then the strict transform γ' of γ on Y_1 is a prepared curve for R^1. We may assume that γ is prepared of type 4 and $\gamma \cap C \neq \emptyset$. The verification that γ' is prepared for f_1 now follows from a local calculation at points $q \in C \cap \gamma$.

Suppose that f is τ-very-well prepared. We have seen that f_1 is τ-well prepared, so that 1 of Definition 7.6 holds for f_1, and 2 of Definition 7.6 holds for f_1 by our verification of 2 of this lemma. Let $\overline{\Psi}_i : \Omega(\overline{R}_i^1) \rightarrow \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ be the restriction of Ψ to $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^1)$. Then $\overline{\Psi}_i$ is the blow up of the union of nonsingular points $C \cdot \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ on the nonsingular surface $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$. Thus since

$$F_i = \sum_{\gamma \in V_i(Y)} \gamma$$

is a SNC divisor on $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ whose intersection graph is a tree,

$$\overline{\Psi}^{-1}(F_i) = \sum_{\gamma' \in V_i(Y_1)} \gamma'$$

is a SNC divisor on $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^1)$ whose intersection graph is a tree and 3 of Definition 7.6 holds for f_1. Thus f_1 is τ-very-well prepared. ☐

Remark 7.12. *The proof of Lemma 7.11 shows that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared), $C \subset Y$ is a 2-curve, $\Psi : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ is the blow up of C and $\Phi : X_1 \rightarrow X$ is a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points such that the rational map $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is a morphism, then*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

is τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) for R and Ψ. If D_X is cuspidal for f, then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1. In fact, with the above notation, if f satisfies 1 – 4 of Definition 7.1, then f_1 satisfies 1 – 4 of Definition 7.1.

Lemma 7.13. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) and $q \in U(R)$ is a 2-point (prepared of type 1 in Definition 7.4). Then q is a permissible center for R, and there exists a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) diagram (110) of R and the blow up $\Psi : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ of q such that:*

1. *Suppose that D_X is cuspidal for f. Then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.*
2. *Suppose that f is τ-well prepared. Then*
   (a) *Let E be the exceptional divisor of Ψ. Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap E$. Let $\gamma_i = \overline{S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) \cdot E}$. Then γ_i is a prepared curve for R^1 of type 4. Suppose that $q' \in U(\overline{R}_j^1) \cap E$. Let $\gamma_j = \overline{S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q') \cdot E}$. Then either*
      (i) *$\gamma_i = \gamma_j$ or*
      (ii) *γ_i, γ_j intersect transversally at a 2 point on E (their tangent spaces have distinct directions at this point and are otherwise disjoint).*
   (b) *If γ is a prepared curve on Y then the strict transform of γ is a prepared curve on Y_1.*
3. *Φ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(Y))$.*

Proof. There exists a pre-relation $\overline{R}_i$ associated to R such that $q \in U(\overline{R}_i) \subset U(R)$. Fix such an i. Let

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q).$$

u, v, w_i are super parameters at q, and $w_i = 0$ is a local equation of $S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$. Let $m_q \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ be the maximal ideal.

By Lemma 3.11, there exists a morphism $\Phi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X$ which is a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves such that $(u, v)\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is invertible for all $p \in (f \circ \Phi_0)^{-1}(q)$, Φ_0 is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(Y))$, $f \circ \Phi_0$ is prepared and u, v, w_i are super parameters for $f \circ \Phi_0$.

We will next show that there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points $\Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ such that $(u, v)\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p}$ is invertible at all $p \in (f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1)^{-1}(q)$ and if $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p}$ is not invertible, then we have permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w at p of one of the following forms:

p is a 1-point

$$u = x^a, v = x^b(\alpha + y), w_i = x^d z \tag{123}$$

with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $d < \min\{a, b\}$ or,

p is a 2-point of the type of (9) of Definition 3.1

$$u = x^a y^b, v = x^c y^d, w_i = x^g y^h(z + \overline{\gamma}) \tag{124}$$

with $\overline{\gamma} \in \mathbf{k}$, $ad - bc \neq 0$, and $(g, h) \leq \min\{(a, b), (c, d)\}$.

In fact, we will construct $\Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ such that only (123) or (124) occur at points p_1 above q such that $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$ is not invertible.

Suppose that $p \in (f \circ \Phi_0)^{-1}(q)$ is a 3-point, so that p has a form 4 of Definition 5.5 at p. There exist $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_0,p}$ and series $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_0,p}$ such that $x = \overline{x}\lambda_1$, $y = \overline{y}\lambda_2$, $z = \overline{z}\lambda_3$. Let $I^p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_0,p}$ be the ideal

$$I^p = (u, v, \overline{x}^g\overline{y}^h\overline{z}^i, \overline{x}^j\overline{y}^k\overline{z}^l).$$

By Lemma 3.13, there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points $\Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ such that $\Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1$ is an isomorphism above $f^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(Y))$ and $I^p\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$ is invertible for all 3-points $p \in (f \circ \Phi_0)^{-1}(q)$ and $p_1 \in \Phi_1^{-1}(p)$. Thus if $p \in (f \circ \Phi_0)^{-1}(q)$ is a 3-point and $p_1 \in (f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1)^{-1}(p)$, then $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$ is invertible or p_1 has a form (123) or (124). $f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1$ is prepared, and u, v, w_i are super parameters for $f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1$.

We construct an infinite sequence of morphisms

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_n \overset{\Phi_n}{\rightarrow} \cdots \overset{\Phi_3}{\rightarrow} X_2 \overset{\Phi_2}{\rightarrow} X_1 \tag{125}$$

as follows. Order the 2-curves C of X_1 such that $q \in (f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1)(C) \subset \Sigma(Y)$. Let $\Phi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ be the blow up of the 2-curve C_1 on X_1 of smallest order. Order the 2-curves C' of X_2 such that $q \in (f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2)(C') \subset \Sigma(Y)$ so that the 2-curves contained in the exceptional divisor of Φ_2 have order larger than the order of the (strict transform of the) 2-curves C of X such that $q \in f(C) \subset \Sigma(Y)$. Let $\Phi_3 : Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2$ be the blow ups of the 2-curve C_2 on Y_3 of smallest order. Let $\overline{\Phi}_n = \Phi_2 \circ \cdots \Phi_n : X_n \rightarrow X_1$. The morphisms $f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1 \circ \overline{\Phi}_n$ are prepared, and u, v, w_i are super parameters for $f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1 \circ \overline{\Phi}_n$.

Suppose that ν is a 0-dimensional valuation of $\mathbf{k}(X)$. Let p_n be the center of ν on X_n. Say that ν is resolved on X_n if (at least) one of the following holds:

1. $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_n}$ is invertible.
2. p_n is a 1-point of the form (123).
3. p_n is a 2-point of the form (124).

If ν is resolved on X_n, with center p_n, then there exists an open neighborhood U of p_n in X_n such that a 0-dimensional valuation $\overline{\nu}$ of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ is resolved on X_n if the center of $\overline{\nu}$ is in U, since 1, 2 or 3 is an open condition on X_n. Further, if $n' > n$, then $X_{n'}$ is also resolved at all 0-dimensional valuations $\overline{\nu}$ which are resolved on X_n.

If the center of ν on X_n is a 3-point, then the center of ν on X_1 is also a 3-point, so $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_n}$ is invertible.

Suppose that the center of ν on X_n is a 1-point. Then u,v,w_i have a form 1 of Definition 5.5 at p_n, and thus have a form (123) at p_n if $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_n}$ is not invertible.

Suppose that the center p of ν on X_1 is a 2-point such that u,v,w_i have a form 2 of Definition 5.5 at p. There exist $\overline{x},\overline{y}\in\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p}$ and series $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_1,p}$ such that $x=\overline{x}\lambda_1$, $y=\overline{y}\lambda_2$. Let $I^p\subset\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p}$ be the ideal $(u,v,\overline{x}^e\overline{y}^f,\overline{x}^g\overline{y}^h)$. There exists an n such that $I^p\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_1}$ is invertible for all $p_1\in\overline{\Phi}_n^{-1}(p)$. Let p_1 be the center of ν on X_n. u,v,w_i are super parameters for $f\circ\Phi_0\circ\Phi_1\circ\overline{\Phi}_n$ at q. Then p_1 is either a 1-point (so that ν is resolved on X_n) or a 2-point of the form 2 of Definition 5.5. If p_1 is a 2-point and $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_1}$ is not invertible, then u,v,w_i have a form (124) (with $\overline{\gamma}=0$). Thus ν is resolved on X_n.

Suppose that the center p of ν on X_1 is a 2-point such that u,v,w_i have a form 3 of Definition 5.5 at p. Then there exist $\overline{x},\overline{y}\in\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ and series $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that $x=\overline{x}\lambda_1$, $y=\overline{y}\lambda_2$. Let $I^p\subset\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ be the ideal

$$I^p=(u,v,(\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^l,\overline{x}^c\overline{y}^d).$$

There exists an n such that $I^p\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_1}$ is invertible for all $p_1\in\overline{\Phi}_n^{-1}(p)$. Let p_1 be the center of ν on X_n. Then $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_1}$ is invertible or p_1 is a 1-point of the form (123). Thus ν is resolved on X_n.

By compactness of the Zariski-Riemann manifold [Z1], there exists an n such that every valuation ν of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ is resolved on X_n. Let $X_1=X_n$ and $f_1=f\circ\Phi_0\circ\Phi_1\circ\overline{\Phi}_n: X_1\rightarrow Y$. If $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$ is not invertible at some $p_1\in f_1^{-1}(q)$, then one of the forms (123) or (124) must hold at p_1.

The locus of points p on X_1 where $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p}$ is not invertible is a (possibly not irreducible) curve $\overline{E}$ which makes SNCs with the toroidal structure of X. $\overline{E}$ is supported at points of the form (123) (with $d<\min(a,b)$) and (124) (with $\overline{\gamma}=0$ and $(g,h)<\min\{(a,b),(c,d)\}$). $x=z=0$ is a local equation of $\overline{E}$ in (123). $x=z=0$, $y=z=0$ or $xy=z=0$ are the possible local equations of $\overline{E}$ in (124).

For an irreducible component C of $\overline{E}$, define an invariant

$$A(C)=\min\{a,b\}-d>0$$

computed at a 1-point $p\in C$ (which has an expression (123)). Let C be a component of $\overline{E}$ such that $A(C)=\max_{\overline{C}\subset E}A(\overline{C})$. C is nonsingular and makes SNCs with D_{X_1}. Let $\Phi_2:X_2\rightarrow X_1$ be the blow up of C.

Suppose that $p\in C$, so that u,v,w_i have the form (123) or (124) at p. We may assume that $x=z=0$ are local equations of C at p. Suppose that $p_1\in\Phi_2^{-1}(p)$. p_1 has (formal) regular parameters x_1,y_1,z_1 defined by

$$x=x_1,y=y_1,z=x_1(z_1+\beta) \tag{126}$$

with $\beta\in\mathbf{k}$ or

$$x=x_1z_1,z=z_1. \tag{127}$$

Suppose that $p\in C$ is a 1-point, so that (123) holds for u,v,w_i at p. Under (126) we have $m_q\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_2,p_1}$ and thus $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_2,p_1}$ is invertible except possibly if $\beta=0$. If $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_2,p_1}$ is not invertible we have

$$u=x_1^a,v=x_1^b(\alpha+y),w_i=x_1^{d+1}z_1$$

with

$$d+1<\min\{a,b\}.$$

Then the curve C_1 with local equations $x_1 = z_1$ is a component of the locus where $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is not invertible. We have

$$A(C_1) = \min\{a, b\} - (d+1) < A(C).$$

Under (127) we have a 2-point

$$u = (x_1z_1)^a, v = (x_1z_1)^b(\alpha + y), w_i = x_1^d z_1^{d+1}$$

and a local equation of the toric structure D_{X_2} is $x_1z_1 = 0$. Since

$$d + 1 \le \min\{a, b\},$$

$m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$ is invertible.

Suppose that $p \in C$ is a 2-point, so that (124) holds at p (with $\overline{\gamma} = 0$). We may assume that $x = z = 0$ is a local equation of C at p. Then $g < \min\{a, c\}$. Let $p_1 \in \Phi_2^{-1}(p)$. p_1 has regular parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 defined by (126) or (127).

Under the substitution (126) u, v, w_i have a form (124) at p_1. If $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_2,p_1}$ is not invertible, we have $\beta = 0$ and

$$u = x_1^a y_1^b, v = x_1^c y_1^d, w_i = x_1^{g+1} y_1^h z_1$$

which is back in the form (124) with $\overline{\gamma} = 0$.

Under the substitution (127),

$$u = x_1^a y_1^b z_1^a, v = x_1^c y_1^d z_1^c, w_i = x_1^g y_1^h z_1^{g+1} \tag{128}$$

so that p_1 is a 3-point, and since

$$(g + 1, h) \le \min\{(a, b), (c, d)\},$$

$m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_2,p_1}$ is invertible.

Observe that u, v, w_i are super parameters for $f_1 \circ \Phi_2$.

By descending induction on $\max_{\overline{C}\subset E}\{A(\overline{C})\}$, we construct a sequence of blow ups $\Phi_4 : X_4 \to X_2$ such that for $\overline{f}_4 = f_1 \circ \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_4 : X_4 \to Y$, u, v, w_i are super parameters at q for $\overline{f}_4$, and $m_q\mathcal{O}_{X_4}$ is invertible. Thus $\overline{f}_4 : X_4 \to Y$ factors through the blow up $\Psi : Y_1 \to Y$ of q. Let $f_4 : X_4 \to Y_1$, $\overline{\Phi} : X_4 \to X$ be the resulting maps.

Let $q_1 \in \Psi^{-1}(q)$. We obtain permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}$ at q_1 of one of the following forms:

1. q_1 a 1-point
$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{u}(\overline{v} + \alpha), w_i = \overline{u}(\overline{w} + \beta)$$
with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, $\alpha \ne 0$. In this case there are no 3-points in $f_4^{-1}(q_1)$ and $\overline{u}, \overline{v}$ are toroidal forms at all points $p \in f_4^{-1}(q_1)$.
2. q_1 a 2-point
$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{uv}, w_i = \overline{u}(\overline{w}_i + \alpha) \tag{129}$$
with $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, or
$$u = \overline{uv}, v = \overline{v}, w_i = \overline{v}(\overline{w}_i + \alpha) \tag{130}$$
with $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, or
3. q_1 a 3-point
$$u = \overline{uw}_i, v = \overline{vw}_i, w_i = \overline{w}_i. \tag{131}$$

If q_1 has the form (129) or (130) and $p \in f_4^{-1}(q_1)$ then $\overline{u}, \overline{v}$ are toroidal forms at p.

Suppose that q_1 has the form (131). Let $p \in f_4^{-1}(q_1)$. u, v, w_i have one of the forms 1 - 4 of Definition 5.5 at p. Since w_i must divide u and v, we certainly have that $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}_i$ are monomials in the local equations of the toroidal structure at p, times unit series.

Suppose that u, v, w_i have a form 1 of Definition 5.5 at p. We have an expression

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = x^a \\ v & = x^b(\alpha + y) \\ w_i & = x^c(\tilde{\gamma}(x, y) + x^{\tilde{d}}z) \end{array}$$

where $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, $c < a$, $c < b$, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a unit series and $\tilde{d} \geq 0$. Set $\overline{x} = x(\tilde{\gamma} + x^{\tilde{d}}z)^{\frac{1}{c}}$. We have expansions

$$\begin{array}{ll} w_i & = \overline{x}^c \\ u & = \overline{x}^a(\tilde{\gamma} + x^{\tilde{d}}z)^{-\frac{a}{c}} \\ v & = \overline{x}^b(\tilde{\gamma} + x^{\tilde{d}}z)^{-\frac{b}{c}}(\alpha + y) \end{array}$$

at p.

If $\tilde{d} > 0$ and $\frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial y}(0, 0) = 0$, then there exist $\overline{y}, \overline{z} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_4,p}$ such that $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ are regular parameters in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_4,p}$ and

$$\begin{array}{ll} w_i & = \overline{x}^c \\ v & = \overline{x}^b(\overline{\alpha} + \overline{y}) \\ u & = \overline{x}^a\hat{\gamma}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}) \end{array}$$

where $0 \neq \overline{\alpha} \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ is a unit series. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{w}_i & = \overline{x}^c \\ \overline{v} & = \overline{x}^{b-c}(\overline{\alpha} + \overline{y}) \\ \overline{u} & = \overline{x}^{a-c}\hat{\gamma}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}) \end{array}$$

and $\overline{w}_i$, $\overline{v}$ are toroidal forms at p.

If $\tilde{d} = 0$ or $\frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial y}(0, 0) \neq 0$, then there exist $\overline{y}, \overline{z} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_4,p}$ such that $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ are regular parameters in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_4,p}$ and

$$\begin{array}{ll} w_i & = \overline{x}^c \\ u & = \overline{x}^a(\overline{\alpha} + \overline{y}) \\ v & = \overline{x}^b\hat{\gamma}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}) \end{array}$$

where $0 \neq \overline{\alpha} \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ is a unit series. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{w}_i & = \overline{x}^c \\ \overline{u} & = \overline{x}^{a-c}(\overline{\alpha} + \overline{y}) \\ \overline{v} & = \overline{x}^{b-c}\hat{\gamma} \end{array}$$

and $\overline{w}_i, \overline{u}$ are toroidal forms at p.

Suppose that u, v, w_i have a form 3 of Definition 5.5 at p. There are two cases. Either

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = (x^a y^b)^k \\ v & = (x^a y^b)^t(\alpha + z) \\ w_i & = x^c y^d \end{array} \tag{132}$$

with $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$, or

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = (x^a y^b)^k \\ v & = (x^a y^b)^t(\alpha + z) \\ w_i & = (x^a y^b)^l\tilde{\gamma} \end{array} \tag{133}$$

with $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, $l \leq \min\{k, t\}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a unit series.

If (132) holds then $\overline{u}, \overline{w}_i$ are toroidal forms at p of the form of (9) of Definition 3.1.

Assume that (133) holds. We then have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{u} & = (x^a y^b)^{k-l}\tilde{\gamma}^{-1} \\ \overline{v} & = (x^a y^b)^{t-l}\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}(\alpha + z) \\ \overline{w}_i & = (x^a y^b)^l\tilde{\gamma}. \end{array}$$

If $\frac{\partial\tilde\gamma}{\partial z}(0,0,0)\neq 0$, then there exist regular parameters $\overline{x},\overline{y},\overline{z}$ at p such that

$$\begin{array}{ll}\overline{u} & =(\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^{k-l}\\ \overline{w}_i & =(\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^l(\overline{\beta}+\overline{z})\\ \overline{v} & =(\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^{t-l}\hat\gamma(\overline{x},\overline{y},\overline{z})\end{array}$$

where $0\neq\overline{\beta}\in\mathbf{k}$ and $\hat\gamma$ is a unit series. Thus $\overline{u},\overline{w}_i$ are toroidal forms at p

If $\frac{\partial\tilde\gamma}{\partial z}(0,0,0)=0$ then there exist regular parameters $\overline{x},\overline{y},\overline{z}$ at p such that

$$\begin{array}{ll}\overline{u} & =(\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^{k-l}\\ \overline{v} & =(\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^{t-l}(\overline{\beta}+\overline{z})\\ \overline{w}_i & =(\overline{x}^a\overline{y}^b)^l\hat\gamma(\overline{x},\overline{y},\overline{z})\end{array}$$

where $0\neq\overline{\beta}\in\mathbf{k}$ and $\hat\gamma$ is a unit series. Thus $\overline{u},\overline{v}$ are toroidal forms at p.

If u,v,w_i have a form 2 or 4 of Definition 5.5 at p, then a simpler analysis shows that two of $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_i$ are toroidal forms at p, and $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_i$ are monomials in local equations of the toroidal structure at p times unit series.

We conclude that the morphism f_4 is prepared.

We will now verify that f_4 is τ-quasi-well prepared.

For j such that $q\in U(\overline{R}_j)$, let $w_j=w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$. We will analyze our construction of $X_4\rightarrow X$ to show that u,v,w_j are super parameters for $\overline{f}_4$.

Since f is τ-quasi-well prepared, for j such that $q\in U(\overline{R}_j)$, there exists a series $\lambda_{ij}(u,v)$ such that $w_j=w_i+\lambda_{ij}(u,v)$.

The morphism $\Phi_0\circ\Phi_1:X_1\rightarrow X$ which we constructed is a product of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points. Since u,v,w_j are super parameters for f, u,v,w_j are thus also super parameters for $f\circ\Phi_0\circ\Phi_1$.

$X_4\rightarrow X_1$ is a sequence of blow ups of curves C such that $A(C)>0$. Suppose that C is such a curve, and $p\in C$. It suffices to analyze the blow up $\Phi_2:X_2\rightarrow X_1$ of C in our construction. We saw that u,v,w_i have a form (123) at p with $d<\min\{a,b\}$ or a form (124) with $\overline{\gamma}=0$, $(g,h)\le\min\{(a,b),(c,d)\}$ and $g<\min\{a,c\}$. In either case $x=z=0$ are local equations of C. We will show that for all j such that $q\in U(\overline{R}_j)$, u,v,w_j are super parameters for $f\circ\Phi_0\circ\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2$.

First assume that $p\in C$ is a 1-point. Then, we have

$$u=x^a,v=x^b(\alpha+y),w_i=x^dz$$

with $0\neq\alpha\in\mathbf{k}$ and $d<\min\{a,b\}$. Thus, since

$$w_j=w_i+\lambda_{ij}(u,v),$$

$w_j=x^d\overline{z}$ where $\overline{z}=z+x\Omega(x,y)$ for some series Ω. It follows that $x=\overline{z}=0$ are local equations of C at p, and u,v,w_j are super parameters for $f\circ\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2$.

Now assume that $p\in C$ is a 2-point. Then we have

$$u=x^ay^b,v=x^cy^d,w_i=x^gy^hz$$

where after possibly interchanging u and v, we have $(g,h)<(a,b)\le(c,d)$ (recall that $(u,v)\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p}$ is invertible). Since $w_j-w_i\in\mathbf{k}[[u,v]]$, we have an expression

$$w_j=x^gy^h\overline{z} \tag{134}$$

at p where $x=\overline{z}=0$ are local equations of C at p. it follows that u,v,w_j are super parameters for $f\circ\Phi_0\circ\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2$.

By induction, u,v,w_j are super parameters for $\overline{f}_4=f\circ\Phi_0\circ\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2\circ\Phi_4$.

q is an admissible center for all 2-point relations $\overline{R}_j$ associated to R (Definition 6.4). For all j, let $\overline{R}_j^1$ be the transform of $\overline{R}_j$ on Y_1. Suppose that $p_1\in\overline{f}_4^{-1}(q)\cap\overline{\Phi}^{-1}(T(\overline{R}_j))$

is a 3-point. $p = \overline{\Phi}(p_1) \in T(\overline{R}_j)$ is a 3-point with permissible parameters x, y, z such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = x^a y^b z^c \\ v & = x^d y^e z^f \\ w_j & = M_0 \gamma \end{array} \tag{135}$$

where $\mathrm{rank}(u, v) = 2$, $\gamma(x, y, z)$ is a unit series, M_0 is a monomial in x, y, z and $w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$. Let $w_j^{e_j} = \overline{\lambda}_j u^{a_j} v^{b_j}$ define $\overline{R}_j(q)$ if $\tau > 0$, $w_j = 0$ define $\overline{R}_j(q)$ if $\tau = 0$. If $\tau > 0$, then

$$M_0^{e_j} = u^{a_j} v^{b_j} \text{and } \gamma^{e_j}(0,0,0) = \overline{\lambda}_j, \tag{136}$$

and $\mathrm{rank}(u, v, M_0) = 3$ if $\tau = 0$. By its construction, $\overline{\Phi}$ is a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points above p.

Thus since p_1 is a 3-point, we have permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 at p_1 such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} x & = x_1^{a_{11}} y_1^{a_{12}} z_1^{a_{13}} \\ y & = x_1^{a_{21}} y_1^{a_{22}} z_1^{a_{23}} \\ z & = x_1^{a_{31}} y_1^{a_{32}} z_1^{a_{33}} \end{array}$$

and $\mathrm{Det}(a_{ij}) = \pm 1$. On substitution into (135) we see that an expression

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = x_1^{\overline{a}} y_1^{\overline{b}} z_1^{\overline{c}} \\ v & = x_1^{\overline{d}} y_1^{\overline{e}} z_1^{\overline{f}} \\ w_j & = M_0 \gamma \end{array} \tag{137}$$

where $\mathrm{rank}_{(x_1,y_1,z_1)}(u, v) = 2$, holds at p_1 for u, v, w_j, and the relation (136) holds at p_1 if $\tau > 0$, and $\mathrm{rank}_{(x_1,y_1,z_1)}(u, v, M_0) = 3$ if $\tau = 0$.

Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_j^1) \cap \Psi^{-1}(q)$. After possibly interchanging u and v, q_1 has permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}_j$ with

$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{uv}, w_j = \overline{uw}_j. \tag{138}$$

The pre-relation $\overline{R}_j^1(q_1)$ is then defined if $\tau > 0$ by

$$\overline{w}_j^{e_j} = \overline{\lambda}_j \overline{u}^{a_j + b_j - e_j} \overline{v}^{b_j}, \tag{139}$$

and $\overline{R}_j^1(q_1)$ is defined by $\overline{w}_j = 0$ if $\tau = 0$. We have seen that if $p_1 \in \overline{f}_4^{-1}(q) \cap \overline{\Phi}^{-1}(T(\overline{R}_j))$ is a 3-point, then there are permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 at p_1 such that an expansion of the form (137) holds for u, v, w_j, and (136) holds if $\tau > 0$. If $\tau = 0$, then u, v, w_j is a monomial form at p. If we also have that $p_1 \in f_4^{-1}(q_1)$ then we have the expression

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{u} & = x_1^{\overline{a}} y_1^{\overline{b}} z_1^{\overline{c}} \\ \overline{v} & = x_1^{\overline{d} - \overline{a}} y_1^{\overline{e} - \overline{b}} z_1^{\overline{f} - \overline{c}} \\ \overline{w}_j & = \frac{M_0}{\overline{u}} \gamma \end{array}$$

at p_1, and (if $\tau > 0$) (136) becomes

$$(\frac{M_0}{\overline{u}})^{e_j} = \overline{u}^{a_j + b_j - e_j} \overline{v}^{b_j}.$$

Thus the transform R^1 of R for f_4 (Definition 6.8) is defined. We further have $\tau_{f_4}(p_1) = \tau_f(\overline{\Phi}(p_1)) = \tau$.

If $p \in \overline{f}_4^{-1}(q) \cap \overline{\Phi}^{-1}(T(\overline{R}_j))$ is a 3-point and $p \notin f_4^{-1}(U(\overline{R}_j^1))$ then $f_4(p) = q_1$ where (after possibly interchanging u and v) q_1 has permissible parameters

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \overline{u} \\ v &= \overline{u}(\overline{v} + \alpha) \\ w_j &= \overline{u}(\overline{w}_j + \beta) \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$ and and at least one of α, β is zero, or

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \overline{u}\overline{w}_j \\ v &= \overline{v}\overline{w}_j \\ w_j &= \overline{w}_j. \end{aligned} \tag{141}$$

Suppose that (140) holds at q_1. Since $\mathrm{rank}(u, v) = 2$ in (137), we must have $\alpha = 0$ and $0 \neq \beta$. But then $M_0 = u$, a contradiction to the assumption that $e_j > 1$ (and $\gcd(a_j, b_j, e_j) = 1$) in (136) if $\tau > 0$, or to the assumption that $\mathrm{rank}(u, v, M_0) = 3$ if $\tau = 0$.

Suppose that (141) holds at q_1. Then $q_1 = f_4(p)$ is a 3-point. From equations (141) and (137) we have (in the notation of Definition 3.9) that $\tau_{f_4}(p) = -\infty$ if $\tau = 0$ and if $\tau > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{f_4,p} = H_{\overline{f}_4,p} = H_{f,\overline{\Phi}(p)}, \\ A_{f_4,p} = A_{f,\overline{\Phi}(p)} + \mathbf{Z}M_0 \end{aligned}$$

since $q = \overline{f}_4(p) = f(\overline{\Phi}(p))$ is a 2-point. Thus, since $e_j > 1$ in (136), we have

$$\tau_{f_4}(p) = \mid H_{f_4,p}/A_{f_4,p} \mid < \mid H_{f,\overline{\Phi}(p)}/A_{f,\overline{\Phi}(p)} \mid = \tau.$$

Finally, suppose that $p \in \overline{f}_4^{-1}(q) - \cup_j \overline{\Phi}^{-1}(T(\overline{R}_j))$ is a 3-point. Suppose that $\tilde{p} = \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_4(p)$ is a 2-point. Then u, v, w_i have a form (124) at $\tilde{p}$, and (with $\overline{\gamma} = 0$) u, v, w_i have a form (128) at p, with $(g + 1, h) \leq \min\{(a, b), (c, d)\}$. We see (since $(u, v)\mathcal{O}_{X_4,p}$ is invertible) that $w_i \mid u$, $w_i \mid v$ at p, and thus $f_4(p)$ is a 3-point with permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}_i$ defined by

$$u = \overline{u}\overline{w}_i, v = \overline{v}\overline{w}_i, w_i = \overline{w}_i.$$

Thus, $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}_i$ have a toroidal form at p, so that $\tau_{f_4}(p) = -\infty < \tau$.

Suppose that $\tilde{p} = \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_4(p)$ is a 3-point. Then $\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_4$ is the identity near p, and thus $\overline{\Phi}(p)$ is a 3-point and $\overline{\Phi}$ factors as a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points near p. Thus $\tau_{f_4}(p) \leq \tau_f(\overline{\Phi}(p)) < \tau$. Thus 1, 2 and 3 of Definition 7.1 hold for f_4.

Now we verify 4 of Definition 7.1. Suppose that $q_1 \in U(R^1)$. Let $q = \Psi(q_1) \in U(R)$. Since f is τ-quasi-well prepared, there exists $w_q \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ satisfying 4 of Definition 7.1 for f. If $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap U(\overline{R}_j^1)$ then (after possibly interchanging u and v) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \overline{u} \\ v &= \overline{u}\overline{v} \\ w_i &= \overline{u}\overline{w}_i \\ w_j &= \overline{u}\overline{w}_j \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = u_{\overline{R}_j}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = v_{\overline{R}_j}(q), w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$$

and

$$\overline{u} = u_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = u_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1), \overline{v} = v_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = u_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1), \overline{w}_i = w_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1), \overline{w}_j = w_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1).$$

Since f is τ-quasi-well prepared, there exists a series $\lambda_{ij}(u, v)$ such that

$$w_j = w_i + \lambda_{ij}(u, v).$$

Since $\lambda_{ij}(0,0)=0$, $\overline{u}\mid\lambda_{ij}(\overline{u},\overline{uv})$, and

$$\overline{w}_j=\overline{w}_i+\frac{\lambda_{ij}(\overline{u},\overline{uv})}{\overline{u}}.$$

Thus 4 of Definition 7.1 holds for f_4.

Earlier in the proof we verified that if $q\in U(\overline{R}_j)$, for some $\overline{R}_j$ associated to R, then

$$u=u_{\overline{R}_j}(q),v=v_{\overline{R}_j}(q),w_j=w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$$

are super parameters for $\overline{f}_4$. If $q_1\in U(\overline{R}_j^1)\cap\Psi^{-1}(q)$, then (after possibly interchanging u and v) we have permissible parameters

$$\overline{u}=u_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1),\overline{v}=v_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1),\overline{w}_j=w_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1)$$

such that

$$u=\overline{u},v=\overline{uv},w_j=\overline{uw}_j.$$

Substituting into the forms of Definition 5.5, we see that $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_j$ are super parameters for f_4 at q_1. Thus 5 of Definition 7.1 holds for f_4 and f_4 is τ-quasi-well prepared.

We now verify that D_{X_4} is cuspidal for f_4 if D_X is cuspidal for f (this is 1 of the conclusions of the lemma). Since the property of being cuspidal is stable under blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points, it suffices to show that if C is a component of $\overline{E}$ on D_{X_1}, such that $A(C)>0$, C contains no 2-points, and $p\in C$ then there exists a Zariski open neighborhood U of p in X_1 such that f_4 is toroidal on $(\Phi_2\circ\Phi_4)^{-1}(U)$.

There exist permissible parameters x,y,z at p such that (after possibly interchanging u and v) we have expressions

$$\begin{array}{ll}u&=u_{\overline{R}_i}(q)=x^a\\ v&=v_{\overline{R}_i}(q)=x^b(\alpha+y)\\ w_i&=w_{\overline{R}_i}(q)=x^dz\end{array}\tag{142}$$

with $0\neq\alpha$, $d<a\le b$ and $x=z=0$ are local equations of C at p.

We consider the effect of the blow up of C, $\Phi_2:X_2\rightarrow X_1$. If $p_1\in\Phi_2^{-1}(p)$ then p_1 has regular parameters x_1,y_1,z_1 of one of the forms

$$x=x_1,y=y_1,z=x_1(z_1+\gamma)\tag{143}$$

with $\gamma\in\mathbf{k}$, or

$$x=x_1z_1,y=y_1,z=z_1.\tag{144}$$

Under (144) we have a local factorization of the rational map $X_1\rightarrow Y_1$, at p, by

$$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{u}{w_i}&=x_1^{a-d}z_1^{a-d-1}\\ w_i&=x_1^dz_1^{d+1}\\ \frac{v}{w_i}&=x_1^{b-d}z_1^{b-d-1}(\alpha+y_1)\end{array}$$

which is toroidal (of the form 2 of Definition 3.7).

Under (143) we obtain a form

$$u=x_1^a,v=x_1^b(\alpha+y),w_i=x_1^{d+1}(z_1+\gamma)$$

which gives a toroidal factorization of $X_1\rightarrow Y_1$, at p (of the form 3 or 5 following Definition 3.7), except if $\gamma=0$ and $x_1=z_1=0$ are local equations of a curve C_1 with $0<A(C_1)<A(C)$, and such that C_1 contains no 2-points (or 3-points). By successive blowing up of curves in the construction of $\Phi_4:X_4\rightarrow X_2$, we see that $X_4\rightarrow Y_1$ is a toroidal morphism on $(\Phi_2\circ\Phi_4)^{-1}(U)$ for some Zariski open neighborhood U of p.

Now suppose that f is τ-well prepared. We will verify that f_4 is τ-well prepared. 1 and 2 of Definition 7.3 are immediate.

We will verify that 3 of Definition 7.3 holds for f_4. Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap U(\overline{R}_j^1)$ and

$$\overline{u} = u_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = u_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1), \overline{v} = v_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = v_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1),$$
$$\overline{w}_i = w_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1), \overline{w}_j = w_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1).$$

Let $q = \Psi(q_1)$,

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = u_{\overline{R}_j}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q) = v_{\overline{R}_j}(q),$$
$$w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q).$$

Since f is τ-well prepared, there exist unit series $\phi_{ij}(u,v)$ such that

$$w_j = w_i + u^{a_{ij}} v^{b_{ij}} \phi_{ij}$$

(or $\phi_{ij} = 0$ and $a_{ij} = b_{ij} = \infty$). After possibly interchanging u and v, we may assume that

$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{uv}, w_i = \overline{uw}_i, w_j = \overline{uw}_j.$$

Let

$$\overline{\phi}_{ij}(\overline{u}, \overline{v}) = \phi_{ij}(\overline{u}, \overline{uv}).$$

Then we have

$$\overline{w}_j = \overline{w}_i + \overline{u}^{\overline{a}_{ij}} \overline{v}^{\overline{b}_{ij}} \overline{\phi}_{ij} \tag{145}$$

where

$$\overline{a}_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij} - 1, \overline{b}_{ij} = b_{ij}. \tag{146}$$

Thus 3 of Definition 7.3 holds for f_4.

Since the set (107) associated to q and R is totally ordered, the set (107) associated to q_1 and R^1 is also totally ordered. Thus 4 of Definition 7.3 holds for f_4, and we see that f_4 is τ-well prepared.

We now verify 2 of Lemma 7.13. Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap E$ for some $\overline{R}_i^1$ associated to R^1. Continuing with the notation we used in the verification that f_4 is τ-well prepared, let $\gamma_i = \overline{S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) \cdot E}$. γ_i is covered by two affine charts, with uniformizing parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}$ defined by

$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{uv}, w_i = \overline{uw}_i \tag{147}$$

and

$$u = \tilde{u}\tilde{v}, v = \tilde{v}, w_i = \tilde{v}\tilde{w}_i. \tag{148}$$

In the chart (147), $\overline{u} = 0$ is a local equation for E, $\overline{w}_i = w_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1) = 0$ is a local equation for $S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1)$ and $\overline{v} = 0$ is a local equation for the strict transform of the component E_2 of D_Y with local equation $v = 0$ at q. In (148), $\tilde{v} = 0$ is a local equation of E and $\tilde{u} = 0$ is a local equation of the strict transform of the component E_1 of D_Y with local equation $u = 0$ at q. In the chart defined by (147) $\overline{u} = \overline{w}_i = 0$ are local equations of γ_i and in the chart defined by (148) $\tilde{v} = \tilde{w}_i = 0$ are local equations of γ_i. Thus γ_i makes SNCs with D_{Y_1}, and γ_i is a line on $E \cong \mathbf{P}^2$.

If $q \in U(\overline{R}_j)$ for some $j \neq i$, then we see from (145) and (146) that $\gamma_j = \overline{S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q') \cdot E}$ (where $q' \in \Psi^{-1}(q) \cap U(\overline{R}_j^1)$) has local equations $\overline{u} = \overline{w}_j = 0$ in the chart (147) where

$$\overline{w}_j = \overline{w}_i + \overline{u}^{a_{ij}+b_{ij}-1} \overline{v}^{b_{ij}} \overline{\phi}_{ij}.$$

In the chart (148), γ_j has local equations $\tilde{v} = \tilde{w}_j = 0$ where $\tilde{w}_j = \tilde{w}_i + \tilde{u}^{a_{ij}} \tilde{v}^{a_{ij}+b_{ij}-1} \tilde{\phi}_{ij}$. If $a_{ij} + b_{ij} > 1$, then $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$ so that 2 (a) (i) holds in the statement of Lemma 7.13. If $a_{ij} + b_{ij} = 1$ then 2 (a) (ii) of the statement of Lemma 7.13 holds.

We will now verify that γ_i is a prepared curve for R^1 of type 4. $1-4$ of Definition 7.5 are immediate from the above calculation.

We now verify that 5 of Definition 7.5 holds for γ_i. Let

$$I_{\gamma_i} = \{j \mid q \in U(\overline{R}_j) \text{ and } \gamma_j = \gamma_i\}.$$

We have permissible parameters $u, v, w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$ at q for $j \in I_{\gamma_i}$. By construction, $\gamma_i \cap U(\overline{R}_j^1)$ is the set of 2-points in γ_i for all $j \in I_{\gamma_i}$. Suppose that $q_1 \in \gamma_i$ is a 1-point. Then we have (after possibly interchanging u and v) permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}_j$ at q_1 for $j \in I_{\gamma_i}$ defined by

$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{u}(\overline{v} + \alpha), w_j = \overline{u}\overline{w}_j \tag{149}$$

for some $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$, where $\overline{w}_j = 0$ is a local equation of $\Omega(\overline{R}_j^1)$ at q_1. Thus 5 (a) of Definition 7.5 holds. From the relation

$$w_j - w_i = u^{a_{ij}} v^{b_{ij}} \phi_{ij}(u, v),$$

we have

$$\overline{w}_j - \overline{w}_i = \overline{u}^{a_{ij}+b_{ij}-1}(\overline{v} + \alpha)^{b_{ij}} \phi_{ij}(\overline{u}, \overline{u}(\overline{v} + \alpha)).$$

Thus 5 (d) of Definition 7.5 holds.

1 or 3 of Definition 5.5 hold at all

$$p \in f_4^{-1}(q_1) \subset \overline{f}_4^{-1}(q)$$

for u, v, w_j, and after substitution of (149) into this form, we see that 5 (b) of Definition 7.5 holds. Thus γ_i is prepared for R^1 of type 4. We have completed the verification of 2 (a) of Lemma 7.13.

We now verify 2 (b) of Lemma 7.13. Suppose that γ is prepared for R, $q \in \gamma$, and γ is prepared for R of type 4. Then $\gamma = \overline{E_2 \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)}$ for some $\overline{R}_i$ and component E_2 of D_Y containing q and $\gamma \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$. Let $u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$. We may assume that $v = 0$ is a local equation of E_2. Then $v = w_i = 0$ are local equations at q of γ. Let γ' be the strict transform of γ on Y_1. $q_1 = \gamma' \cdot E$ has permissible parameters

$$\overline{u} = u_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1), \overline{v} = v_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1), \overline{w}_i = w_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1),$$

where

$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{u}\overline{v}, w_i = \overline{u}\overline{w}_i,$$

and $\overline{v} = \overline{w}_i = 0$ are local equations of γ'. Let $\tilde{E}_2$ be the strict transform of E_2. Since $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1)$, we have $\gamma' = \overline{\tilde{E}_2 \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q_1)}$ and $\gamma' \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_i^1)$. Thus the conditions of Definition 7.5 hold for γ', and γ' is prepared for R^1. If γ is a 2-curve, then the strict transform γ' of γ on Y_1 is a 2-curve so γ' is prepared for R^1.

Finally, suppose that f is τ-very-well prepared. We have shown that 1 and 2 of Definition 7.6 hold for f_4. Since whenever $\overline{R}_i$ is a pre-relation associated to R containing q, $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^1) \rightarrow \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ is the blow up of a point on a nonsingular surface, and $V_i(Y)$ satisfies 3 of Definition 7.6, 3 of Definition 7.6 holds for $V_i(Y_1)$. Thus f_4 is τ-very-well prepared. ☐

Lemma 7.14. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) with 2-point relation R. Suppose that $q \in Y$ is a 2-point such that $q \notin U(R)$ and q is prepared (of type 2 of Definition 7.4) for R. Then q is a permissible center for R and there exists a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared or τ-very-well prepared) diagram (110)of R and the blow up $\Psi : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ of q such that:*

1. *Suppose that D_X is cuspidal for f. Then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.*

2. *Suppose that f is τ-well prepared. If γ is a prepared curve on Y then the strict transform of γ is a prepared curve on Y_1.*
3. *Φ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$*

Proof. The proof is a simplification of the proof of Lemma 7.13. $\square$

Lemma 7.15. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is τ-very-well prepared and $C \subset Y$ is a prepared curve of type 4 (of Definition 7.5). Further suppose that $q_\delta \in C \cap U(\overline{R}_j)$ for some $\overline{R}_j$ associated to R implies $C = \overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j}(q_\delta)}$ for some component E of D_X. Then C is a *-permissible center for R, and there exists a τ-very-well prepared diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

of R of the form of (111). If D_X is cuspidal for f then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1. Φ_1 is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-(\Sigma(Y)\cup C))$. If C is contained in the fundamental locus of f then Φ_1 is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$.

Proof. If C is contained in the fundamental locus of f, then $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is invertible if $p \in f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$ by Lemma 5.1.

Let $C = \overline{E_\alpha \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q_\beta)}$. For $\overline{q} \in C$, we have permissible parameters

$$u, v, \tilde{w}_i \tag{150}$$

such that $u = \tilde{w}_i = 0$ are local equations at $\overline{q}$ for C, with the notation of 5 of Definition 7.5, if $\overline{q} \notin U(\overline{R}_i)$, and $u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(\overline{q})$, $v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(\overline{q})$, $\tilde{w}_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(\overline{q})$ if $\overline{q} \in U(\overline{R}_i)$. As in the proof of Lemma 7.13, after blowing up 2-curves and 3-points above X, by a morphism $\Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$, with associated morphism $f_1 = f \circ \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y$, we have that the following holds: Suppose that $\overline{q} \in C$ is a 2-point, and $\overline{p} \in f_1^{-1}(\overline{q})$ and $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{O}_{X_1,\overline{p}}$ is not invertible, then one of the forms (123) or (124) hold at $\overline{p} \in f_1^{-1}(\overline{q})$ (with $d < a$ in (123), $(g,h) < (a,b)$ and $\overline{\gamma} = 0$ in (124)). We have that $\Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1$ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-(\Sigma(Y)\cup C))$ and if C is contained in the fundamental locus of f, then $\Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1$ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$.

If $\overline{q} \in C$ is a 1 point then a form (151) below holds at $\overline{p} \in f_1^{-1}(\overline{q})$ if $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{O}_{X_1,\overline{p}}$ is not invertible.

$$\begin{array}{ll} u & = x^a \\ v & = y \\ \tilde{w}_i & = x^d z \end{array} \tag{151}$$

where $d < a$ and $\overline{p}$ is a 1-point.

As in the proof of Lemma 7.13, the locus of points in X_1 where $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is not invertible is a (possibly reducible) curve $\overline{E}$ which makes SNCs with the toroidal structure of X_1. As in the proof of Lemma 7.13, we can construct a sequence of blow ups of sections over components of $\overline{E}$, $X_4 \rightarrow X_1$, such that the resulting map $\overline{f}_4 : X_4 \rightarrow Y$ factors through the blow up $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ of C. Let $\overline{\Phi} : X_4 \rightarrow X$ be the composite map. By construction, if $u, v, \tilde{w}_i$ are our permissible parameters at $\overline{q} \in C$ of (150), and $\overline{p} \in \overline{f}_4^{-1}(\overline{q})$, we have permissible parameters at $\overline{p}$ such that a form 5 (b) of Definition 7.5 holds for $u, v, \tilde{w}_i$ at $\overline{p}$ if $\overline{q}$ is a 1-point and $u, v, \tilde{w}_i$ are super parameters for $\overline{f}_4$ if $\overline{q}$ is a 2-point.

Let $f_4 : X_4 \rightarrow Y_1$ be the resulting morphism. As in the proof of Lemma 7.13, we see that f_4 is prepared.

Suppose that $\overline{q} \in C \cap U(\overline{R}_j)$ for some j. Then $\overline{q} \in U(\overline{R}_i)$, since C is prepared of type 4. By the hypothesis of this lemma, the germ of C at $\overline{q}$ is contained in $S_{\overline{R}_j}(\overline{q})$.

Since $C \subset E_\alpha$, the germ of C at $\overline{q}$ is $E_\alpha \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j}(\overline{q})$. Thus in the forms of (106) of Definition 7.3 for R, we have $a_{jk} > 0$ for all $j, k \in I_{\overline{q}}$.

$\Psi_1^{-1}(\overline{q})$ is covered by 2 affine charts. The first chart has uniformizing parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}_i$ defined by

$$u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{v}, \tilde{w}_i = \overline{u}\overline{w}_i. \tag{152}$$

The second chart has uniformizing parameters u', v', w_i' defined by

$$u = u'w_i', v = v', \tilde{w}_i = w_i'. \tag{153}$$

For $j \in I_{\overline{q}}$ we have a relation

$$\tilde{w}_j = \tilde{w}_i + u^{a_{ij}} v^{b_{ij}} \phi_{ij}(u, v)$$

with $a_{ij} > 0$ (where $\tilde{w}_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(\overline{q})$). As in the proof of Lemma 7.13, it follows that the transform R^1 of R for f_4 is defined, f_4 is τ-quasi-well prepared, and D_{X_4} is cuspidal for f_4 if D_X is cuspidal for f.

We now verify that f_4 is τ-well prepared. 1 of Definition 7.3 is immediate.

Suppose that $C \cap U(\overline{R}_j) \neq \emptyset$ for some j. Then $C = \overline{E_\alpha \cdot \overline{R}_j(q_\beta)} \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_j)$. Since $\Omega(\overline{R}_j)$ is nonsingular and makes SNCs with D_Y, $\Omega(\overline{R}_j^1)$ is nonsingular, makes SNCs with D_{Y_1}, contains $U(\overline{R}_j^1)$, and $\Omega(\overline{R}_j^1) \cap U(R^1) = U(\overline{R}_j^1)$. If $C \cap U(\overline{R}_j) = \emptyset$, then after possibly replacing $\Omega(\overline{R}_j)$ with a neighborhood of F_j in $\Omega(\overline{R}_j)$ (with the notation of Definition 7.6, and following our convention on $\Omega(\overline{R}_j)$ stated after Definition 7.6), we have that $\Omega(\overline{R}_j) \cap C = \emptyset$. Thus 2 of Definition 7.3 holds.

If $\overline{q} \in C \cap U(\overline{R}_j)$, then $q_j = U(\overline{R}_j^1) \cap f_4^{-1}(\overline{q})$ is a 2-point in the chart (152), and

$$w_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_j) = \overline{w}_j = \frac{\tilde{w}_j}{u} = \overline{w}_i + \overline{u}^{a_{ij}-1}\overline{v}^{b_{ij}}\phi_{ij}(\overline{u}, \overline{v}). \tag{154}$$

Thus $q_j \in U(\overline{R}_i^1)$ if and only if $a_{ij} - 1 + b_{ij} > 0$. Let $q_i = U(\overline{R}_i^1) \cap f_4^{-1}(\overline{q})$,

$$I_{q_i} = \{j \mid q_i \in U(\overline{R}_j^1)\}.$$

$j \in I_{q_i}$ if and only if $j \in I_{\overline{q}}$ and $a_{ij} + b_{ij} > 1$. Suppose that $\tau > 0$, and $j \in I_{q_i}$. If $\overline{R}_j(\overline{q})$ is defined by an expression

$$\tilde{w}_j^{e_j} = \lambda_j u^{a_j} v^{b_j},$$

then $\overline{R}_j^1(q_i)$ is defined by the expression

$$\overline{w}_j^{e_j} = \lambda_j \overline{u}^{a_j - e_j} \overline{v}^{b_j}. \tag{155}$$

From equation (154) we see that the set (107) of Definition 7.3 corresponding to q_1 and R^1 is totally ordered, since the set (107) of Definition 7.3 corresponding to $\overline{q}$ and R is totally ordered. In particular, we see that 3 and 4 of Definition 7.3 hold for f_4. We have completed the verification that f_4 is τ-well prepared.

Let $E = \Psi_1^{-1}(C)$ and if $C \cap U(\overline{R}_j) \neq \emptyset$, let $\gamma_j = \Omega(\overline{R}_j^1) \cdot E$. γ_j is also nonsingular and makes SNCs with D_{Y_1}. We have that $\gamma_j = \overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_1)}$ for all $q_1 \in E \cap U(\overline{R}_j^1)$, and γ_j is a section of E over C. In particular, 1 and 2 of Definition 7.5 hold for γ_j.

Suppose that for some $\overline{R}_k$ associated to R, there exists $\gamma' \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_k^1)$, with $\gamma' = \overline{E_\beta \cdot S_{\overline{R}_k^1}(q_\delta)}$, and $\gamma' \cap \gamma_j \neq \emptyset$.

First suppose that $\gamma' \subset E$. Then $U(\overline{R}_k^1) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $\gamma' = \gamma_k = \overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_k^1}(q_2)}$ for all $q_2 \in U(\overline{R}_k^1) \cap E$. Let $F = E_\alpha$ be the component of D_Y containing C. Since C

is prepared and $\Psi_1(\gamma_j)=\Psi_1(\gamma_k)=C$, we have that $C\cap U(\overline{R}_j)=C\cap U(\overline{R}_k)$ and $C=\overline{F\cdot S_{\overline{R}_j}(\overline{q})}=\overline{F\cdot S_{\overline{R}_k}(\overline{q})}$ for $\overline{q}\in C\cap U(\overline{R}_j)$.

Suppose that $q_2\in\gamma_j\cap\gamma_k$. Let $\overline{q}=\Psi_1(q_2)\in C$. Let $u,v,\tilde w_i$ and $u,v,\tilde w_k$ be the permissible parameters at $\overline{q}$ of (150).

Suppose that $\overline{q}$ is a 1-point. We have

$$\tilde w_j=\tilde w_k+u^{c_{jk}}\phi_{jk}(u,v),$$

where ϕ_{jk} is a unit series (or $\phi_{jk}=0$) by 5 (d) of Definition 7.5, since C is prepared for R of type 4. We have that q_2 has permissible parameters $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_j$ where

$$u=\overline{u},v=\overline{v},\tilde w_j=\overline{w}_j\overline{u}. \tag{156}$$

Define $\overline{w}_k$ by $\tilde w_k=\overline{w}_k\overline{u}$. We have that

$$\overline{w}_j=\overline{w}_k+\overline{u}^{c_{jk}-1}\phi_{jk}(\overline{u},\overline{v}).$$

$\overline{u}=\overline{w}_j=0$ are local equations of γ_j at q_2 and $\overline{u}=\overline{w}_k=0$ are local equations of γ_k at q_2. Thus q_2 is a 1-point with $c_{jk}-1>0$ and $\gamma_j=\gamma_k$.

Now suppose that $q_2\in\gamma_j\cap\gamma_k$ and $\overline{q}=\Psi_1(q_2)\in C$ is a 2-point. First suppose that $\overline{q}\not\in C\cap U(\overline{R}_j)=C\cap U(\overline{R}_k)$. We have a relation

$$\tilde w_j=\tilde w_k+u^{c_{jk}}v^{d_{jk}}\phi_{jk}(u,v)$$

where ϕ_{ij} is a unit series (or $\phi_{jk}=0$) by 5 (e) of Definition 7.5 for C. We have $c_{jk}\ge 1$. q_2 has permissible parameters $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_j$ where

$$u=\overline{u},v=\overline{v},\tilde w_j=\overline{w}_j\overline{u}. \tag{157}$$

Define $\overline{w}_k$ by $\tilde w_k=\overline{w}_k\overline{u}$. We then have

$$\overline{w}_j=\overline{w}_k+\overline{u}^{c_{jk}-1}\overline{v}^{d_{jk}}\phi_{jk}(\overline{u},\overline{v}). \tag{158}$$

Thus q_2 is a 2-point (and $q_2\not\in U(R^1)$).

Finally, suppose that $q_2\in\gamma_j\cap\gamma_k$ and $\overline{q}=\Psi_1(q_2)\in C\cap U(\overline{R}_j)=C\cap U(\overline{R}_k)$. Then $q_2\in U(\overline{R}_j^1)\cap U(\overline{R}_k^1)$, and we have equations (157) and (158).

Now suppose that $\gamma'=\overline{E_\beta\cdot S_{\overline{R}_k^1}(q_\delta)}\not\subset E$, and $\gamma'\cap\gamma_j\ne\emptyset$. Then there exists a component G of D_Y such that $\overline{\gamma}=\Psi_1(\gamma')\subset G$, and E_β is the strict transform of G. Suppose that $q_2\in\gamma'\cap\gamma_j$. $\overline{q}=\Psi_1(q_2)\in\overline{\gamma}\cap C$ implies $\overline{q}\in U(\overline{R}_j)\cap U(\overline{R}_k)$ and $\overline{\gamma}=\overline{G\cdot S_{\overline{R}_k}(\overline{q})}$ by 3 of Definition 7.5 for R. Thus $q_2\in U(\overline{R}_j^1)\cap U(\overline{R}_k^1)$.

Suppose that $q_2\in\gamma_j$, $\overline{q}=\Psi_1(q_2)\in C$ and $p\in f_4^{-1}(q_2)$. Let $u,v,\tilde w_j$ be the permissible parameters at $\overline{q}$ of (150). Further suppose that q_2 is a 1-point. Let $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_j$ be the permissible parameters at q_2 of (156). $u,v,\tilde w_j$ satisfy a form 5 (b) of Definition 7.5 at p. Substituting (156) into these forms, we see that $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_j$ satisfy a form of 5 (b) of Definition 7.5 at p. Now suppose that $q_2\in\gamma_j$ is a 2-point, but $q_2\not\in U(\overline{R}_j^1)$. Let $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_j$ be the permissible parameters at q_2 of (157). $u,v,\tilde w_j$ satisfy a form 5 (c) of Definition 7.5 at p. Substituting (157) into these forms, we see that $\overline{u},\overline{v},\overline{w}_j$ satisfy a form 5 (c) of Definition 7.5 at p. Thus 5 of Definition 7.5 holds for γ_j.

We have seen that the curves γ_j only fail to be prepared of type 4 for f_4 at a finite set of 2-points $T_1\subset E$, where condition 3 of Definition 7.5 fails. If $q\in T_1$, then $q\not\in U(R^1)$ and there exist γ_j and γ_k such that $\gamma_j\ne\gamma_k$ and $q\in\gamma_j\cap\gamma_k$. For $q\in T_1$, let

$$J_q=\{j\mid q\in\gamma_j=\overline{E\cdot S_{\overline{R}_j^1}(q_\epsilon)}\text{ for some }q_\epsilon\in U(\overline{R}_j^1)\}.$$

Observe that:

1. For $q \in T_1$, $j \in J_q$ and $p \in f_4^{-1}(q)$, the permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}_j$ at q defined by (152) have a form 5 (c) of Definition 7.5.
2. For $i, j \in J_q$ there exists a relation of the form 5 (e) of Definition 7.5 for $\overline{w}_i$ and $\overline{w}_j$, and the set $\{(a_{ij}, b_{ij})\}$ is totally ordered.

In particular, the points in T_1 are prepared for R^1 of type 2 of Definition 7.4. Let $\Psi_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ be the blow up of T_1, and let

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_5 \arrow[r, "f_5"] \arrow[d] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"] \\ X_4 \arrow[r, "f_4"] & Y_1 \end{tikzcd}$$

be the τ-well prepared diagram of Lemma 7.14. Let

$$T_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q \in \Psi_2^{-1}(T_1) \text{ such that } q \in \gamma_i^2 \cap \gamma_k^2 \\ \text{where } \gamma_i^2, \gamma_j^2 \text{ are the strict transforms of some} \\ \gamma_i, \gamma_k \text{ such that } \gamma_i \neq \gamma_k \text{ and } \gamma_i \cap \gamma_k \neq \emptyset \end{array} \right\}.$$

The points of T_2 must again be prepared for the transform R^2 of R on X_5 of type 2 of Definition 7.4, and the points of T_2 satisfy the corresponding statements 1 and 2 above that the points of T_1 and J_q satisfy.

We can iterate this process a finite number of times to produce a τ-well prepared diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \overline{X}_m \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_m"] \arrow[d] & \overline{Y}_m \arrow[d] \\ \overline{X}_1 = X_4 \arrow[r, "f_4"] \arrow[d] & \overline{Y}_1 = Y_1 \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

of the form of (112) such that the strict transform of the γ_i are disjoint on $\overline{Y}_m$ above T_1. It follows that the strict transforms of the γ_i are prepared of type 4 for the transform R^m of R on $\overline{X}_m$.

To show that $\overline{f}_m$ is τ-very-well prepared, it only remains to verify that the strict transform γ' of a curve $\gamma = \overline{E_\beta \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)}$ on Y (with $\gamma \neq C$ and $\gamma \cap C \neq \emptyset$) is prepared on $\overline{Y}_m$. Since γ is prepared of type 4, we have that $\gamma \cap C \subset U(R_i)$. By our previous analysis, we then know that $\gamma' \cap E \subset U(R^1)$, so that $\overline{Y}_m \rightarrow Y_1$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of γ'. It thus suffices to check that γ' is prepared of type 4 on Y_1. This follows by a local analysis.

□

Remark 7.16. 1. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared and $C \subset D_Y$ is a nonsingular (integral) curve which makes SNCs with D_Y and contains a 1-point such that*
   (a) *$q \in C \cap U(\overline{R}_i)$ for some 2-point pre-relation $\overline{R}_i$ associated to R implies the (formal) germ of C at q is contained in $S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$, and*
   (b) *Suppose that $q \in C - U(R)$. Then there exist permissible parameters u, v, w at q such that $u = w = 0$ are local equations of C at q, and*
      (i) *If q is a 1-point, C is not a component of the fundamental locus of f, and $p \in f^{-1}(q)$, then there exists a relation of one of the following forms for u, v, w at p.*

(A) *p a 1-point*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a\\ v &= y\\ w &= x^c\gamma(x,y)+x^dz \end{aligned} \tag{159}$$

where γ is a unit series (or zero),

(B) *p a 2-point*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (x^ay^b)^k\\ v &= z\\ w &= (x^ay^b)^l\gamma(x^ay^b,z)+x^cy^d \end{aligned} \tag{160}$$

where γ is a unit (or zero) and $ad-bc\neq 0$.

(ii) *If q is a 2-point, u,v,w are super parameters for f at q.*

Then there exists a τ-quasi-well prepared diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \overline{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_1"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_1"'] & \overline{Y}_1 \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overline{\Psi}_1$ is the blow up of C. $\overline{\Phi}_1$ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-(\Sigma(Y)\cup C))$. If C is contained in the fundamental locus of f, then $\overline{\Phi}_1$ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$.

2. *Further suppose that $f:X\rightarrow Y$ is τ-well prepared, and if $\gamma=\overline{E\cdot R_k(q_\alpha)}$ is prepared for R of type 4, then either $C=\gamma$ or $q\in C\cap\gamma$ implies $q\in U(\overline{R}_k)$ and the germ of C at q is contained in $S_{R_k}(q)$. Then there exists a τ-well prepared diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where Ψ is the blow up $\overline{\Psi}_1$ of C, possibly followed by blow ups of 2-points which are prepared for the transform of R (of type 2 of Definition 7.4) if C is prepared of type 4 for R, such that

(a) *If $\gamma\subset Y$ is prepared for f, (and $\gamma\neq C$) then the strict transform of γ is prepared for f_1.*

(b) *If $C\subset Y$ is prepared for f (of type 4) and $q\in U(\overline{R}_i)\cap C$ for some i, then $\overline{E\cdot S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q')}$ is prepared for f_1 (of type 4) for all $q'\in E\cap U(\overline{R}_i^1)$, where E is the component of D_{Y_1} dominating C.*

(c) *Suppose that F is a component of D_{Y_1} such that $\Psi(F)$ is a point. If $q\in F\cap U(\overline{R}_i^1)$ for some i, then $\overline{F\cdot S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q)}$ is a prepared curve of type 4 for R^1.*

(d) *If D_X is cuspidal for f, then D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.*

(e) *$\overline{\Phi}_1$ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-(\Sigma(Y)\cup C))$. If C is contained in the fundamental locus of f, then $\overline{\Phi}_1$ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$.*

The proof of Remark 7.16 is a variation of the proof of Lemma 7.15, using Lemma 5.1 if C is a component of the fundamental locus of f.

8. Existence of a τ-very-well prepared morphism

Suppose that $f:X\rightarrow Y$ is a birational morphism of nonsingular projective 3-folds, with toroidal structures D_Y and $D_X=f^{-1}(D_Y)$.

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is prepared. Let $\tau = \tau_f(X)$. Suppose that $\tau \geq 0$ and if $p \in X$ is a 3-point and $\tau_f(p) = \tau$ then $f(p)$ is a 2-point on Y. Further suppose that D_X is cuspidal for f. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ, Ψ are products of blow ups of 2-curves, and there exists a 2-point relation R^1 for f_1 such that f_1 is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R^1. Further, D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d] & Y_1 \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

be a diagram satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 5.7.

Let T be the 3-points $p \in X_1$ with $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau$. Let I be an index set of T, and let $U = \{f_1(p) \mid p \in T\}$. We necessarily have that U consists of 2-points. Suppose that $q \in U$ and $p_i \in T \cap f_1^{-1}(q)$. We will define a 2-point pre-relation $\overline{R}^1_{p_i}$ on Y_1 which has the property that $U(\overline{R}^1_{p_i}) = \{q\}$. Let u, v, w_i (with $w_i \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1,q}$) be the permissible parameters at q of 4 of Theorem 5.7, which have the property that 4 (a) or (b) of Theorem 5.7 holds for permissible parameters x, y, z at p_i. If $\tau > 0$, define $\overline{R}^1_{p_i}(p_i)$ from the expression

$$w_i^{e_i} = \overline{\lambda}_i u^{a_i} v^{b_i}$$

of 4 (a) of Theorem 5.7 where $\overline{\lambda}_i = \gamma(0,0,0)^{e_i}$. We have $\gcd(a_i, b_i, e_i) = 1$ and $e_i > 1$. If $\tau = 0$, then an expression as a monomial form 4 (b) of Theorem 5.7 holds at p_i, and we define $\overline{R}^1_{p_i}(p_i)$ by $a_i = b_i = -\infty$ and the expression $w_i = 0$. We now define a primitive 2-point relation $R^1_{p_i}$ on X_1 by $T(R^1_{p_i}) = \{p_i\}$ and $R^1_{p_i}(p_i) = \overline{R}_{p_i}(p_i)$. We can thus define a 2-point relation R^1 on X_1 with $T(R^1) = T$, $U(R^1) = U$, and where the $R^1_{p_i}$ defined above are the primitive 2-point relations associated to R^1. It follows from Theorem 5.7 that f_1 is τ-quasi-well prepared for R^1, and D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1. ☐

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose that Y is a nonsingular projective 3-fold with toroidal structure D_Y and 2-point pre-relations $R_1, \ldots, R_n$ and $\gamma \subset D_Y$ is a reduced (but possibly not irreducible) curve such that γ has no components which are 2-curves and γ is nonsingular at 1-points. If the R_i are algebraic, let $\Omega(R_i)$ be the locally closed subset of Definition 6.2, and assume that $\overline{\gamma} \cap \Omega(R_i)$ is a union of 2-points, if $\overline{\gamma}$ is an irreducible component of γ such that $\overline{\gamma} \cap \Omega(R_i)$ is a finite set. Consider the following algorithm.*

1. *Perform a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\overline{Y}_1 \to Y$ so that the strict transform $\overline{\gamma}_1$ of γ on $\overline{Y}_1$ contains no 3-points.*
2. *Perform an arbitrary sequence of blow ups $\overline{Y}_2 \to \overline{Y}_1$ of 2-curves. Let $\overline{\gamma}_2$ be the strict transform of γ on $\overline{Y}_2$. Let $\overline{R}^2_1, \ldots, \overline{R}^2_n$ be the transforms of $R_1, \ldots, R_n$ on $\overline{Y}_2$.*
3. *Blow up all 2-points $q \in \overline{\gamma}_2$ such that $\overline{\gamma}_2$ does not make SNCs with D_Y at q or $q \in U(\overline{R}^2_i)$ for some i and the germ of $\overline{\gamma}_2$ at q is not contained in $S_{\overline{R}^2_i}(q)$, or $q \in \Omega(\overline{R}^2_i)$ for some i, and the germ of $\overline{\gamma}_2$ at q is not contained in the germ of $\Omega(\overline{R}^2_i)$ at q.*

4. *Iterate steps 1. - 3.*

Then, after finitely many iterations, we produce a sequence of admissible blow ups $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ *such that the strict transform* γ_1 *of* γ *on* Y_1 *is nonsingular and makes SNCs with* D_{Y_1}*. Further, if* R_i^1 *is the transform of* R_i *on* Y_1 *for* $1 \leq i \leq n$ *and* $q \in U(R_i^1) \cap \gamma_1$ *for some* i*, then the germ of* γ_1 *at* q *is contained in* $S_{R_i^1}(q)$*. If* $q \in \Omega(R_i^1) \cap \gamma_1$ *for some* i*, then the germ of* γ_1 *at* q *is contained in the germ of* $\Omega(R_i^1)$ *at* q*.*

Proof. This follows from embedded resolution of plane curve singularities (cf. Section 3.4 and Exercise 3.13 [C3]). ☐

Lemma 8.3. *Suppose that* $f : X \rightarrow Y$ *is prepared, and* C *is a reduced (but possibly not irreducible) curve, consisting of components of the fundamental locus of* f *which contain a 1-point. Then*

1. C *is nonsingular at 1-points of* Y*.*
2. *If* f *is* τ*-well prepared, and* $\gamma \subset Y$ *is prepared for* R *of type 4 then either* γ *is a component of* C *or* $C \cap \gamma$ *contains no 1-points of* Y*.*

Proof. 1 follows from Lemma 3.5. 2 follows from Lemma 3.5 and 5 (b) of Definition 7.5. ☐

Theorem 8.4. *Suppose that* $\tau \geq 0$*,* $f : X \rightarrow Y$ *is* τ*-quasi-well prepared (or* τ*-well prepared) with 2-point relation* R*, and* $C \subset Y$ *is a reduced (but possibly not irreducible) curve consisting of components of the fundamental locus of* f *which contain a 1-point of* Y*. Assume that* D_X *is cuspidal for* f*. Then there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves and 2-points* $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ *and a* τ*-quasi-well prepared (or* τ*-well prepared) diagram of* R *and* Ψ_1

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"'] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{161}$$

such that

1. *The strict transform* $\overline{C}$ *of* C *on* Y_1 *is nonsingular and makes SNCs with* D_{Y_1}*.*
2. *If* C_j *is an irreducible component of* $\overline{C}$ *and* $q \in U(\overline{R}_i^1)$ *for some* $\overline{R}_i^1$ *associated to* R^1 *is such that* $q \in C_j$ *then the germ of* C_j *at* q *is contained in* $S_{\overline{R}_i^1}(q)$*.*
3. *If* f *is* τ*-well prepared,* C_j *is an irreducible component of* $\overline{C}$ *and* $\gamma = \overline{E \cdot R_k^1(q_\alpha)}$ *is prepared for* R^1 *of type 4, then either* $C_j = \gamma$ *or* $q \in C_j \cap \gamma$ *implies* $q \in U(\overline{R}_k^1)$ *(and thus the germ of* C_j *at* q *is contained in* $S_{R_k}(q)$*).*
4. D_{X_1} *is cuspidal for* f_1*.*
5. Φ_1 *is an isomorphism over* $f^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(Y))$*.*

Proof. $C \subset D_Y$ and C is nonsingular at 1-points by Lemma 8.3. We now follow the algorithm of Lemma 8.2. If f is τ-well prepared, then by our convention on the $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ (following Definition 7.5), we may replace $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ with an open subset, so that if C_j is a component of C, then either C_j is disjoint from $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$, or intersects $\Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ in a finite set of 2-points which are in $U(\overline{R}_i)$, or on a curve $\gamma \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ which is prepared for R of type 4. By Lemma 8.3, if C_j is a component of C and $\gamma \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$ is prepared of type 4 for R, such that $C_j \neq \gamma$, then $\gamma \cap C_j$ is a set of 2-points.

We first construct a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves, $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ so that the strict transform C_1 of C on Y_1 contains no 3-points. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

be a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared) diagram of R and Ψ_1 such that Φ_1 is an isomorphism above $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$ (this exists by Lemma 7.11).

If f (and thus also f_1) is τ-well prepared, and q is a 2-point on a curve $\gamma \subset Y_1$ which is prepared for R^1 of type 4, then q is prepared for f_1 (of type 1 or 2 of Definition 7.4).

Consider the 2-points

$$\Omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{2-points } q \in C_1 \text{ which are not in } U(R^1) \text{ and are not on a curve} \\ \text{which is prepared of type 4 for } f_1 \text{ (if } f \text{ is } \tau\text{-well prepared)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 5.6 and Remark 7.12, there exists a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared) diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"] \\ X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1 \end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ_2 is a product of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points and Ψ_2 is a product of blow ups of 2-curves such that Φ_2 is an isomorphism above $f^{-1}(Y_1-\Sigma(Y_1))$ and at all 2-points $\overline{q}_1 \in \Psi_2^{-1}(\Omega)$, there exist permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$, at $\overline{q}_1$, such that $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ are super parameters for f_2 at $\overline{q}_1$, and thus $\overline{q}_1$ is prepared for R^2 with respect to $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$. In particular, all 2-points on the strict transform C_2 of C on Y_2 are prepared for R^2 (of type 1 or 2 of Definition 7.4). The map $Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is Step 2 of the algorithm of Lemma 8.2.

Now perform Step 3 of the algorithm, blowing up all 2-points q on the strict transform C_2 of C on Y_2 where C_2 is singular, or C_2 does not make SNCs with D_{Y_2} at q, or $q \in \Omega(\overline{R}_i^2)$ for some $\overline{R}_i^2$ associated to R^2 and an irreducible component C' of C_2 contains q but the germ of C' at q is not contained in the germ of $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^2)$ at q. Let $\Psi_3 : Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2$ be the resulting map. By Lemmas 7.13 and 7.14, there exists a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared) diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_3"'] & Y_3 \arrow[d, "\Psi_3"] \\ X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] & Y_2 \end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ_3 is an isomorphism above $f_2^{-1}(Y_2-\Sigma(Y_2))$.

Now by Step 4 of the algorithm of Lemma 8.2, we can iterate this process to construct a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared) diagram (161) such that the conclusions of Theorem 8.4 hold. By our construction, and Lemmas 7.11, 7.13 and 7.14 and Remark 7.12, D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1. □

Theorem 8.5. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$, C and $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ are as in the assumptions and conclusions of Theorem 8.4. Then there exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\Psi_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$, and a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared) diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"'] \\ X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1 \end{tikzcd}$$

such that

1. *The conclusions of 1, 2 and 3 of Theorem 8.4 hold for the strict transform* C_2 *of* C *on* Y_2.
2. D_{X_2} *is cuspidal for* f_2.
3. *The components* γ *of* C_2 *are permissible centers (or *-permissible centers if* γ *is prepared of type 4) for* R^2.
4. Φ_2 *is an isomorphism over* $f_1^{-1}(Y_1 - \Sigma(Y_1))$.

In the resulting τ*-quasi-well prepared (or* τ*-well prepared) diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_3"'] & Y_3 \arrow[d, "\Psi_3"] \\ X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] & Y_2, \end{tikzcd}$$

Ψ_3 *is the blow up of* C_2*, possibly followed by blow ups of 2-points which are prepared for the transform of* R *if* f *is* τ*-well prepared, and* C *contains a component which is prepared of type 4 for* R*. Further,* D_{X_3} *is cuspidal for* f_3 *and* Φ_3 *is an isomorphism above* $f_2^{-1}(Y_2 - \Sigma(Y_2))$.

Proof. For all $q \in \overline{C}$ a 2-point such that $q \notin U(R^1)$, let u_q, v_q, w_q be permissible parameters at q such that $u_q = w_q = 0$ are local equations for $\overline{C}$ at q. By Lemma 5.6 and Remark 7.12, there exists a τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared) diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"'] \\ X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1 \end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ_2 is a product of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points, Ψ_2 is a product of blow ups of 2-curves, such that Φ_2 is an isomorphism over $f_1^{-1}(Y_1 - \Sigma(Y_1))$ and for all 2-points $q \in \overline{C}$, which are not in $U(R^1)$, at 2-points $q_1 \in \Psi_2^{-1}(q)$ we have permissible parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ at q_1 such that q_1 is prepared (of type 2 of Definition 7.4) for R^2 with respect to the parameters $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$. In particular, this is true for the point $q_1 \in \Psi_2^{-1}(q)$ on the strict transform C_2 of C on Y_2. At this q_1, $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ satisfy

$$u_q = \overline{u}\overline{v}^n, v_q = \overline{v}, w_q = w$$

for some n, $\overline{u} = w = 0$ are local equations of C_2 at q_1, and $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, w$ are super parameters at q_1.

Thus, the hypothesis of Remark 7.16 are satisfied, and the conclusions of Theorem 8.5 hold. □

Lemma 8.6. *Suppose that* $\tau \geq 0$, $f : X \to Y$ *is* τ*-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation* R *and* D_X *is cuspidal for* f*. Further suppose there exists a* τ*-quasi-well prepared diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}"'] & \tilde{Y} \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Psi}"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y, \end{tikzcd} \tag{162}$$

where $\tilde{R}$ *is the transform of* R *on* $\tilde{X}$*, such that if* $q_1 \in U(\tilde{R})$ *is on a component* E *of* $D_{\tilde{Y}}$ *such that* $\tilde{\Psi}(E)$ *is not a point, then* $T(\tilde{R}) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(q_1) = \emptyset$*. Further suppose that*

$D_{\tilde{X}}$ is cuspidal for $\tilde{f}$. Then there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
\tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}"] & \tilde{Y}
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ, Ψ are products of blow ups of possible centers, and f_1 is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R^1 and pre-algebraic structure. (R^1 will in general not be the transform of $\tilde{R}$.) Further, D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.

Proof. Given a diagram (162), we will define a new 2-point relation $\tilde{R}'$ on $\tilde{X}$ for $\tilde{f}$. This is accomplished as follows. Suppose that $q_1 \in U(\tilde{R})$ is such that $\tilde{f}^{-1}(q_1) \cap T(\tilde{R}) \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$J_{q_1} = \{i \mid T(\tilde{R}_i) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(q_1) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For $j \in J_{q_1}$, let $q = \tilde{\Psi}(q_1)$,

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_j}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_j}(q), w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q).$$

Let

$$u_1 = u_{\overline{\tilde{R}_j}}(q_1), v_1 = v_{\overline{\tilde{R}_j}}(q_1), w_{j,1} = w_{\overline{\tilde{R}_j}}(q_1).$$

Since $\tilde{\Psi}$ is a composition of admissible blow ups for the transforms of the pre-relations $\overline{R}_i$ on Y, we have relations

$$u = u_1^{\tilde{a}} v_1^{\tilde{b}}, v = u_1^{\tilde{c}} v_1^{\tilde{d}}, w_j = u_1^{\tilde{e}} v_1^{\tilde{f}} w_{j,1} \tag{163}$$

with $\tilde{a}\tilde{d} - \tilde{b}\tilde{c} = \pm 1$. Since if q_1 is on a component E of $D_{\tilde{Y}}$ we must have $\tilde{\Psi}(E)$ is a point, we have $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d}$ all nonzero.

For $p_1 \in T(\tilde{R}_j) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(q_1)$, there exist permissible parameters x_1, y_1, z_1 for $u_1, v_1, w_{j,1}$ (and u, v, w_j) at p_1 and an expression

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_1^a y_1^b z_1^c \\ v &= x_1^d y_1^e z_1^f \\ w_j &= x_1^g y_1^h z_1^i \gamma_j \end{aligned} \tag{164}$$

where γ_j is a unit series in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{X},p_1}$. Since $\tilde{a}\tilde{d} - \tilde{b}\tilde{c} = \pm 1$, there exist (after possibly interchanging u and v) $m > 0$ and a factorization of the expression of u and v in (163) by the three successive substitutions:

$$\begin{aligned} &u = \overline{u}, v = \overline{u}^m \overline{v} \\ &\overline{u} = \tilde{u}\tilde{v}, \overline{v} = \tilde{v} \\ &\tilde{u} = u_1^{\overline{a}} v_1^{\overline{b}}, \tilde{v} = u_1^{\overline{c}} v_1^{\overline{d}} \end{aligned} \tag{165}$$

for some $\overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c}, \overline{d} \in \mathbf{N}$, with $\overline{a}\overline{d} - \overline{b}\overline{c} = \pm 1$. substituting (165) into (164), we see that

$$(a, b, c) > (d, e, f) - m(a, b, c) > 0.$$

Thus

$$m(a, b, c) < (d, e, f) < (m + 1)(a, b, c). \tag{166}$$

If there does not exist a natural number r such that $w_j | u^r$ and $w_j | v^r$ in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{X},p_1}$, then there exists an expression by (166) (after possibly interchanging u and v, and x_1, y_1, z_1)

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x_1^a y_1^b \\ v &= x_1^d y_1^e \\ w_j &= x_1^g y_1^h z_1^i \gamma_j \end{aligned} \tag{167}$$

with $i \neq 0$. But we now obtain a contradiction, since $uv = 0$ is a local equation of $D_{\tilde{X}}$ at p_1.

In conclusion, there exists a natural number r such that w_j divides u^r and v^r in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{X},p_1}$.

Set $\eta(p_1) = \max\{2r, \tilde{e}, \tilde{f}\}$, and $\eta = \max\{\eta(p_1) \mid p_1 \in T(\tilde{R}) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(q_1)\}$. Fix $j \in J_{q_1}$ and $p_1 \in T(\tilde{R}_j) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(q_1)$. There exists $\sigma(u, v, w_j) \in \mathbf{k}[[u, v, w_j]] = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q}$ such that the order of the series σ is greater than η and $w_j + \sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$. Let

$$w_{p_1}^* = w_j + \sigma(u, v, w_j).$$

In $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{X},p_1}$, we have

$$w_{p_1}^* = w_j \gamma''_{p_1} = x_1^g y_1^h z_1^i \gamma'_{p_1}$$

where $\gamma'_{p_1}, \gamma''_{p_1}$ are unit series. Set

$$w_{p_1} = \frac{w_{p_1}^*}{u_1^{\tilde{e}} v_1^{\tilde{f}}} = w_{j,1} + \frac{\sigma(u_1^{\tilde{a}} v_1^{\tilde{b}}, u_1^{\tilde{c}} v_1^{\tilde{d}}, u_1^{\tilde{e}} v_1^{\tilde{f}} w_{j,1})}{u_1^{\tilde{e}} v_1^{\tilde{f}}} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{Y},q_1} \cap \mathbf{k}(Y) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y},q_1}.$$

We further have

$$w_{p_1} = w_{j,1} \gamma''_{p_1}.$$

For $k \in J_{q_1}$, there exists $\lambda_{jk}(u, v) \in \mathbf{k}[[u, v]]$ such that $w_k = w_j + \lambda_{jk}(u, v)$. Suppose that $p_2 \in T(\tilde{R}_k) \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(q_1)$. Write

$$\lambda_{jk}(u, v) = \alpha_{p_2}(u, v) + h_{p_2}(u, v)$$

where $\alpha_{p_2}(u, v)$ is a polynomial, and $h_{p_2}(u, v)$ is a series of order greater than η. Set

$$w_{p_2}^* = w_j + \sigma(u, v, w_j) + \lambda_{jk}(u, v) - h_{p_2}(u, v) \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_{p_2}^* &= w_k + \sigma(u, v, w_k - \lambda_{jk}(u, v)) - h_{p_2}(u, v) \\ &= w_k + \overline{\sigma}_{p_2}(u, v, w_k) \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_{p_2}$ is a series of order greater than η. Set

$$w_{p_2} = \frac{w_{p_2}^*}{u_1^{\tilde{e}} v_1^{\tilde{f}}}.$$

From (163) we see that u_1, v_1, w_{p_2} are permissible parameters at q_1 and $w_{p_2} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y},q_1}$. We further have that $w_{p_2} = w_{k,1} \gamma''_{p_2}$ for some unit series $\gamma''_{p_2} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{X},p_2}$.

We further have

$$w_{p_2} - w_{p_1} = \frac{w_{p_2}^* - w_{p_1}^*}{u_1^{\tilde{e}} v_1^{\tilde{f}}} = \frac{\lambda_{jk}(u, v) - h_{p_2}(u, v)}{u_1^{\tilde{e}} v_1^{\tilde{f}}} \in \mathbf{k}((u_1, v_1)) \cap \mathbf{k}[[u_1, v_1, w_{j,1}]] = \mathbf{k}[[u_1, v_1]].$$

We now define the new 2-point relation $\tilde{R}'$ on $\tilde{X}$ for $\tilde{f}$. Set $T = T(\tilde{R})$, $U = \tilde{f}(T(\tilde{R}))$. For $p_1 \in T$ we define a primitive 2-point relation R_{p_1} by $U(R_{p_1}) = \{q_1 = \tilde{f}(p_1)\}$, $T(R_{p_1}) = \{p_1\}$,

$$u_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = u_{\tilde{R}}(p_1), v_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = v_{\tilde{R}}(p_1), w_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = w_{p_1}.$$

If $\tau > 0$, we define

$$a_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = a_{\tilde{R}}(p_1), b_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = b_{\tilde{R}}(p_1), e_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = e_{\tilde{R}}(p_1)$$

and

$$\lambda_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = \lambda_{\tilde{R}}(p_1) \gamma''_{p_1}(0, 0, 0)^{e_{\tilde{R}}(p_1)}.$$

If $\tau = 0$, we define

$$a_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = b_{R_{p_1}}(p_1) = -\infty.$$

Let $\tilde{R}'$ be the 2-point relation associated to the R_{p_1} for all $p_1 \in T$. We have $T(\tilde{R}') = T(\tilde{R})$ and $U(\tilde{R}') = \tilde{f}(T(\tilde{R}))$. From the above calculations, we see that $f : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$ with the relation $\tilde{R}'$ satisfies 1 - 4 of the conditions of Definition 7.1 of a τ-quasi-well prepared morphism. Finally, by Lemma 5.6 and Remark 7.12, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}_1"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Phi}_1"'] & \tilde{Y}_1 \arrow[d, "\tilde{\Psi}_1"] \\
\tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}"] & \tilde{Y}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ is a product of blow ups of 2-curves and 3-points and $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ is a product of blow ups of 2-curves such that the transform $\tilde{R}^1$ of $\tilde{R}'$ for $\tilde{f}_1$ is defined and $\tilde{R}^1$ satisfies 5 of the conditions of Definition 7.1 (as well as $1-4$). Then $\tilde{f}_1$ is τ-quasi-well prepared with pre-algebraic structure. Thus the conclusions of Lemma 8.6 hold. $\square$

Theorem 8.7. *Suppose that $\tau \geq 0$, $f : X \to Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R and D_X is cuspidal for f. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ and Ψ are products of blow ups of possible centers and f_1 is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R^1 and pre-algebraic structure. (R^1 will in general not be the transform of R.) Further, D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1.

Proof. We will show that there exists a τ-quasi-well prepared diagram (162) as in the hypothesis of Lemma 8.6. Then Lemma 8.6 implies that the conclusions of Theorem 8.7 hold.

Step 1. Let A_0 be the set of 2-points $q \in Y$ such that $q \in U(\overline{R}_i)$ for some $\overline{R}_i$ associated to R and $f^{-1}(q) \cap T(R_i) \neq \emptyset$.

For $q \in A_0 \cap U(\overline{R}_i)$, set

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_i}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_i}(q), w_i = w_{\overline{R}_i}(q). \tag{168}$$

Let $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ be the blowup of all $q \in A_0$, and let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a τ-quasi-well prepared diagram of R and Ψ_1 (such a diagram exits by Lemma 7.13). Let A_1 be the set of all 2-points $q_1 \in Y_1$ such that for some i, $q_1 \in U(\overline{R}_i^1)$, $f_1^{-1}(q_1) \cap T(R_i^1) \neq \emptyset$ and q_1 is on a component E of D_{Y_1} such that $\Psi_1(E)$ is not a 2-point. We have $A_1 \subset \Psi_1^{-1}(A_0)$. Let $\Psi_2 : Y_2 \to Y_1$ be the blowup of all $q_1 \in A_1$, and let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"] \\
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a τ-quasi-well prepared diagram of R^1 and Ψ_2. Continue in this way to construct a sequence of n blow ups of 2-points $\Phi_{k+1} : X_{k+1} \to Y_{k+1}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ with

τ-quasi-well prepared diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_{k+1} \arrow[r, "f_{k+1}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{k+1}"'] & Y_{k+1} \arrow[d, "\Psi_{k+1}"] \\
X_k \arrow[r, "f_k"] & Y_k
\end{tikzcd}$$

of R^k and Ψ_{k+1}. We have a resulting τ-quasi-well prepared diagram of R

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_n \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{169}$$

Suppose that $q_n \in Y_n$ is a 2-point such that q_n is on a component E of D_{Y_n} such that $\Psi(E)$ is not a point, and for some i, $q_n \in U(\overline{R}_i^n)$ and $f_n^{-1}(q_n) \cap T(R_i^n) \neq \emptyset$. We have permissible parameters

$$u_1 = u_{\overline{R}_i^n}(q_n), v_1 = v_{\overline{R}_i^n}(q_n), w_{i,1} = w_{\overline{R}_i^n}(q_n) \tag{170}$$

at q_n such that for $\Psi(q_n) = q$ and with notation of (168),

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_1 \\ v &= u_1^n v_1 \\ w_i &= u_1^n w_{i,1} \end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_1 v_1^n \\ v &= v_1 \\ w_i &= v_1^n w_{i,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $p \in X$ is a 3-point such that $p \in T(R_i) \cap f^{-1}(q)$. Then there are permissible parameters x, y, z for u, v, w_i at p such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b z^c \\ v &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w_i &= x^g y^h z^i \gamma \end{aligned} \tag{172}$$

where γ is a unit series.

We will show that we can choose n sufficiently large in the diagram (169), so that if $p_n \in X_n$ is a 3-point such that $p_n \in \Phi^{-1}(p) \cap T(R^n)$ and $q_n = f_n(p_n)$ is on a component E of D_{Y_n} such that $\Psi(E)$ is not a point, then (172) must have one of the following forms (after possibly interchanging u, v and x, y, z):

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b \\ v &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w_i &= x^g y^h z^i \gamma \end{aligned} \tag{173}$$

where $b \neq 0$, $f \neq 0$ and $i \neq 0$ or

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a \\ v &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w_i &= x^g y^h z^i \gamma \end{aligned} \tag{174}$$

with e and $f \neq 0$, and h or $i \neq 0$.

We will now prove this statement. Since p is a 3-point, there exist regular parameters $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ and unit series $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that $\overline{x} = x\lambda_1$, $\overline{y} = y\lambda_2$, $\overline{z} = z\lambda_3$. Let ν be any valuation of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ which has center p_n on X_n. q_n has permissible parameters (170).

After possibly interchanging u and v, we have a relation (171), so that $\nu(v) > n\nu(u)$. We can reindex x, y, z so that $0 < \nu(\overline{x}) \le \nu(\overline{y}) \le \nu(\overline{z})$. Then

$$(f+e+d-nc)\nu(\overline{z}) \ge (f-nc)\nu(\overline{z}) + (e-nb)\nu(\overline{y}) + (d-na)\nu(\overline{x}) > 0.$$

Thus if $c \ne 0$, and $n > f+e+d$, we have a contradiction. Thus taking $n > f+e+d$ for all d, e, f in local forms (172) for 3-points $p \in T(R)$, we achieve that $c = 0$ in all local forms (172) which are the images of 3-points $p_n \in T(R^n)$ which map to a point q_n of Y_n which is on a component E of D_{Y_n} such that $\Psi(E)$ is not a point.

If $i = 0$ (and $c = 0$) in (172) we have

$$(h+g-nb)\nu(\overline{y}) \ge (h-nb)\nu(\overline{y}) + (g-na)\nu(\overline{x}) > 0$$

so that if $b \ne 0$ and $n > h+g$ we have a contradiction. Thus, by taking $n \gg 0$ in (169), we see that if $b \ne 0$, then a form (173) must hold at p (since $uv = 0$ is a local equation of D_X at p). If $b = c = 0$ in (172), then a similar calculation shows that a form (174) must hold at p (for $n \gg 0$).

We observe that in (173) we have

$$(z) \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q} = (v, w_i). \tag{175}$$

Suppose that (174) holds. If $i \ne 0$ then

$$(z) \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q} = (v, w_i). \tag{176}$$

If $h \ne 0$, then

$$(y) \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q} = (v, w_i). \tag{177}$$

We will show that in (173), $v = w_i = 0$ is a formal branch of an algebraic curve C in the fundamental locus of $f : X \to Y$. Let $R = \mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$, $S = \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$. $\overline{z} = 0$ is a local equation for a component of D_X. We have that $v \in (\overline{z}) \cap R$ and $u \notin (\overline{z}) \cap R$ so that $(\overline{z}) \cap R = (v)$ or $(\overline{z}) \cap R = a$ where a is a height two prime containing v. We have $(z\hat{S}) \cap \hat{R} = (v, w_i)$. Suppose that $(\overline{z}) \cap R = (v)$. We then have an induced morphism

$$\hat{R}/(v) \to \hat{S}/(z)$$

which is an inclusion by the Zariski Subspace Theorem (Theorem 10.14 [Ab]). This is impossible, so that a is a height 2 prime in R, and defines a curve C, which is necessarily in the fundamental locus of f since $\overline{z} = 0$ is a local equation at p of a component of D_X which dominates C. A similar argument shows that in (174), $v = w_i = 0$ is a formal branch of an algebraic curve C in the fundamental locus of f.

Step 2. Let C be the reduced curve in Y whose components are the curves in the fundamental locus of f which are not 2-curves. Let $\overline{C}$ be the reduced curve in Y_n which is the strict transform of C. The components of $\overline{C}$ are then in the fundamental locus of f_n. By Theorems 8.4 and 8.5, we can perform a sequence of blow ups of 2-points and 2-curves $\Psi' : Y' \to Y_n$ so that we can construct a τ-quasi-well prepared diagram of Ψ' and R^n

$$\begin{tikzcd} X' \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "\Phi'"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "\Psi'"] \\ X_n \arrow[r] & Y_n \end{tikzcd} \tag{178}$$

where R' is the transform of R^n on X', such that the strict transform $\tilde{C}$ of $\overline{C}$ on Y' is nonsingular, if $q' \in U(R'_i) \cap \tilde{C}$ for some i then the germ at q' of $\tilde{C}$ is contained in $S_{R'_i}(q')$, and the (disjoint) components of $\tilde{C}$ are permissible centers for R'.

Let $\Psi(1):Y(1)\rightarrow Y'$ be the blow up of $\tilde C$. By Theorem 8.5, we have a τ-quasi-well prepared diagram of $\Psi(1)$ and R'

$$\begin{tikzcd} X(1) \arrow[r, "f(1)"] \arrow[d, "\Phi(1)"'] & Y(1) \arrow[d, "\Psi(1)"] \\ X' \arrow[r, "f'"] & Y'. \end{tikzcd} \tag{179}$$

Let $R(1)$ be the transform of R' on $X(1)$. Suppose that $\overline{q}\in U(R_i(1))\subset Y(1)$ is a 2-point on the exceptional divisor of $\Psi(1)$, $\overline{q}$ is on a component E of $D_{Y(1)}$ such that $(\Psi\circ\Psi'\circ\Psi(1))(E)$ is not a point of Y, and there exists a 3-point $\overline{p}_i\in(f(1))^{-1}(\overline{q})\cap T(R_i(1))\subset X(1)$. Let $q=\Psi\circ\Psi'\circ\Psi(1)(\overline{q})$, $\tilde q=\Psi(1)(\overline{q})$. Let

$$\tilde u=u_{\overline{R}_i'}(\tilde q),\tilde v=v_{\overline{R}_i'}(\tilde q),\tilde w_i=w_{\overline{R}_i'}(\tilde q),$$

$$u=u_{\overline{R}}(q),v=v_{\overline{R}}(q),w_i=w_{\overline{R}_i}(q).$$

We have an expression, after possibly interchanging u and v,

$$u=\tilde u,v=\tilde u^e\tilde v,w_i=\tilde u^f\tilde w_i \tag{180}$$

for some $e,f>0$. $\tilde v=0$ is a local equation of the strict transform of D_Y at $\tilde q$, and $\tilde v=\tilde w_i=0$ are local equations of $\tilde C$ at $\tilde q$ (by (175) (176) or (177)). $\Psi(1)$ is the blow up of $(\tilde v,\tilde w_i)$ above $\tilde q$. Since $\overline{q}\in U(R_i(1))$, we must have

$$\tilde u=u_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q}),\tilde v=v_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q}),\tilde w_i=v_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q})w_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q}).$$

Substituting into (180), we have

$$u=u_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q}),v=u_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q})^ev_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q}),w_i=u_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q})^fv_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q})w_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(\overline{q}) \tag{181}$$

with $e,f>0$.

We now apply steps 1 and 2 of the proof to $f(1):X(1)\rightarrow Y(1)$ and $R(1)$. We construct a τ-quasi-well prepared diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X(2) \arrow[r, "f(2)"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}(2)"'] & Y(2) \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}(2)"] \\ X(1) \arrow[r] & Y(1), \end{tikzcd}$$

where $R(2)$ is the transform of $R(1)$ on $X(2)$ such that if $q_2\in U(R_i(2))\subset Y(2)$ is a 2-point such that q_2 is on a component E of $D_{Y(2)}$ such that $\overline{\Psi}(2))(E)$ is not a point of $Y(1)$ and there exists a 3-point $p_2\in f(2)^{-1}(q_2)\cap T(R_i(2))\subset X(2)$ and $q_1=\overline{\Psi}(2)(q_2)$ then we have an expression:

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(q_1) & =u_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)\\ v_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(q_1) & =u_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)^ev_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)\\ w_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(q_1) & =u_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)^fv_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)w_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2) \end{array} \tag{182}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(q_1) & =u_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)v_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)^e\\ v_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(q_1) & =v_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)\\ w_{\overline{R}_i(1)}(q_1) & =u_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)v_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)^fw_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2) \end{array} \tag{183}$$

with $e,f>0$.

Let $q=(\Psi\circ\Psi'\circ\Psi(1)\circ\overline{\Psi}(2))(q_2)$. Substituting (182) or (183) into (181), we see that if q_2 is on a component E of $D_{Y(2)}$ which does not contract to q, then we have an expression

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = u_{R_i}(q) & = u_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2) \\ v = v_{R_i}(q) & = u_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)^{e_2} v_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2) \\ w = w_{R_i}(q) & = u_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)^{f_2} v_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2)^2 w_{\overline{R}_i(2)}(q_2) \end{array} \tag{184}$$

with $e_2, f_2 \geq 2$.

Iterating steps 1 and 2, we construct a sequence of τ-quasi-well prepared diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] \\
X(n) \arrow[r, "f(n)"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}(n)"'] & Y(n) \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}(n)"] \\
X(n-1) \arrow[r, "f(n-1)"] \arrow[d] & Y(n-1) \arrow[d] \\
\vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] \\
X(1) \arrow[r, "f(1)"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}(1)"'] & Y(1) \arrow[d, "\overline{\Psi}(1)"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{185}$$

This algorithm continues as long as there exists $q_n \in U(R_i(n))$ for some i such that q_n is on a component E of $D_{Y(n)}$ which does not contract to a point of Y, and $f(n)^{-1}(q_n) \cap T(R_i(n)) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose that the algorithm never terminates.

Let ν be a 0-dimensional valuation of $\mathbf{k}(X)$. We will say that ν is resolved on $X(n)$ if the center of ν on $X(n)$ is at a point p_n of $X(n)$ such that either $p_n \notin T(R(n))$ or $p_n \in T(R(n))$ and all components E of $D_{Y(n)}$ containing $q_n = f(n)(p_n)$ contract to a point of Y.

By our construction, if ν is resolved on $X(n)$, then ν is resolved on $X(m)$ for all $m \geq n$. Further, the set of ν in the Zariski-Riemann manifold $\Omega(X)$ of X which are resolved on X is an open set.

Suppose that ν is a 0-dimensional valuation of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ such that ν is not resolved on $X(n)$ for all n. Let p_n be the center of ν on $X(n)$, q_n be the center of ν on $Y(n)$.

There exists an i such that for all n, $q_n \in U(R_i(n))$ and $p_n \in f(n)^{-1}(q_n) \cap T(R_i(n))$. We have expressions

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = u_{R_i}(q) & = u_{\overline{R}_i(n)}(q_n) \\ v = v_{R_i}(q) & = u_{\overline{R}_i(n)}(q_n)^{e_n} v_{\overline{R}_i(n)}(q_n) \\ w = w_{R_i}(q) & = u_{\overline{R}_i(n)}(q_n)^{f_n} v_{\overline{R}_i(n)}(q_n)^n w_{\overline{R}_i(n)}(q_n) \end{array} \tag{186}$$

with $e_n, f_n \geq n$ for all n.

From (186), we see that $\nu(v) > n\nu(u)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus ν is a composite valuation, and there exists a prime ideal P of the valuation ring V of ν such that $v \in P$, $u \notin P$. Let ν_1 be a valuation whose valuation ring is V_P. We have $\nu_1(u) = 0$, $\nu_1(v) > 0$. From (186) we see that

$$\nu_1(w) - n\nu_1(v) > 0 \tag{187}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

At $p = p_0 \in X$, we have a form (173) or (174). In (173) we have $\nu_1(y) = 0$. $uv = 0$ is a local equation of D_X at p. Thus either $a > 0$ or $d > 0$. If $a > 0$ then

$\nu_1(x)=0$ and $\nu_1(z)>0$, a contradiction to (187) since $f\ne 0$. If $d>0$, we again have a contradiction to (187). In (174) we have $\nu_1(x)=0$ and $\nu_1(y),\nu_1(z)\ge 0$, a contradiction to (187), since $e,f>0$.

We have shown that for all 0-dimensional valuations ν of $\mathbf{k}(X)$, there exists n such that ν is resolved on $X(n)$.

By compactness of the Zariski-Riemann manifold [Z1] there exists N such that all $\nu\in\Omega(X)$ are resolved on $X(N)$, a contradiction to our assumption that (185) is of infinite length. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X(N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y(N) \arrow[d] \\ X \arrow[r] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

thus satisfies the hypothesis of (162) of Lemma 8.6, so that the conclusions of Theorem 8.7 hold.

□

Lemma 8.8. *Suppose that $\tau\ge 0$, $f:X\rightarrow Y$ is τ-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation R and pre-algebraic structure (or τ-well prepared with 2-point relation R), $q\in U(\overline{R}_i)\subset U(R)$ and $p\in f^{-1}(q)\cap T(R_i)$ is a 3-point. Suppose that E is a component of D_Y containing q. Let $C=\overline{E\cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)}$.*

Let $\Psi:Y_n\rightarrow Y$ be obtained by blowing up q, then blowing up the point q_1 which is the intersection of the exceptional divisor over q and the strict transform of C on Y_1, and iterating this procedure n times, blowing up the intersection point of the last exceptional divisor with the strict transform of C. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_n \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

be the τ-quasi-well prepared (or τ-well prepared) diagram of R and Ψ obtained from Lemma 7.13 (so that Φ is an isomorphism above $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$).

Suppose that for all $n>>0$ there exists a 3-point $p_n\in\Phi^{-1}(p)\cap T(R_i^n)$ such that $f_n(p_n)=q_n\in\Psi^{-1}(q)\cap C_n$, where C_n is the strict transform of C on Y_n. Then C is a component of the fundamental locus of f.

Proof. At p there is an expression

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^ay^bz^c\\ v &= x^dy^ez^f\\ w_i &= x^gy^hz^i\gamma \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u=u_{\overline{R}_i}(p), v=v_{\overline{R}_i}(p), w_i=w_{\overline{R}_i}(p),$$

x,y,z are permissible parameters at p for u,v,w_i, γ is a unit series, and $v=0$ is a local equation of E at q. Then $v=w_i=0$ are local equations of C at q.

By our construction of Ψ, we have that

$$u=u_{\overline{R}_i^n}(p_n), v_1=v_{\overline{R}_i^n}(p_n), w_{i,1}=w_{\overline{R}_i^n}(p_n)$$

are defined by

$$u_1=u, v_1=u^nv_1, w_{i1}=u^nw_{i,1}.$$

Now the conclusions of the lemma follow from the argument from (172) to the end of Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 8.7. □

Theorem 8.9. *Suppose that* $\tau \ge 0$, $f : X \to Y$ *is* τ*-quasi-well prepared with 2-point relation* R *and pre-algebraic structure, and* D_X *is cuspidal for* f*. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ,Ψ *are products of blow ups of possible centers and* f_1 *is* τ*-very-well prepared with 2-point relation* R^1*. (In general,* R^1 *is not the transform of* R*). Further,* D_{X_1} *is cuspidal for* f_1*.*

Proof. After modifying R by replacing the primitive 2-point relations $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ associated to R with pre-relations such that each $U(\overline{R}_i)$ is a single point, we may assume that each $\overline{R}_i$ is algebraic (Definition 6.2), and R is algebraic (Definition 6.6).

There exists a sequence of blow ups of 2-curves $\Psi_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ such that 3 and 4 of Definition 7.3 hold for the transforms $\{\overline{R}_i^1\}$ of the $\{\overline{R}_i\}$ on Y_1, by embedded resolution of plane curve singularities (cf. Section 3.4, Exercise 3.13 [C3]) and by Lemma 5.14 [C3]). By Lemma 7.11, there exists a τ-quasi-well prepared diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

of R and Ψ_1 where Φ_1, Ψ_1 are products of blow ups of 2-curves. Thus f_1 is τ-well prepared (with respect to the transform R^1 of R). We may thus assume that f is τ-well prepared. Let

$$\overline{V}_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_i = \overline{E \cdot S_R(p_i)} \text{ such that } E \text{ is a component of } D_Y,\ p_i \in T(R), \\ \text{and } \gamma_i \text{ is a component of the fundamental locus of } f. \end{array} \right\}$$

Suppose that $\gamma_i \in \overline{V}_0$. Let η_i be a general point of γ_i. In a neighborhood of η_i, $f : X \to Y$ can be factored by blowing up finitely many curves which dominate γ_i ([Ab1] or [D]). Let $r(0)$ be the total number of components of D_X which dominate the curves $\gamma_i \in \overline{V}_0$.

Step 1. By Lemma 8.8, there exists a τ-well prepared diagram of R and Ψ_1

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where Ψ_1 is a product of blow ups of prepared 2-points (of type 1 of Definition 7.4) such that if

$$\gamma_i(1) \in V_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_i(1) = \overline{E \cdot S_{R^1}(p_i)} \text{ such that } E \text{ is a component of } D_{Y_1}, \\ p_i \in T(R^1) \text{ and } \Psi_1(\gamma_i(1)) \text{ is not a point} \end{array} \right\}$$

then $\gamma_i(1)$ dominates a component γ_i of $\overline{V}_0$, and Φ_1 is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y - \Sigma(Y))$ and thus is an isomorphism over the preimage by f of a general point η_j of γ_j for all $\gamma_j \in \overline{V}_0$. Let $r(1)$ be the number of components of D_{X_1} which dominate some $\gamma_i \in \overline{V}_0$ and are exceptional for f_1. We have $r(1) = r(0)$.

Step 2. By Theorems 8.4 and 8.5 there exists a τ-well prepared diagram of R^1

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"] \\ X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1 \end{tikzcd}$$

where Ψ_2 is a product of blow ups of permissible 2-curves and 2-points, followed by the blow ups of the strict transforms of the γ_i in $\overline{V}_0$, and possibly by blow ups of more 2-points above the γ_i. $\Phi_1\circ\Phi_2$ is an isomorphism over $f^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y))$, and thus is an isomorphism over the preimage by f of a general point η_j of all $\gamma_j\in\overline{V}_0$. Ψ_2 is the blow up of the strict transform $\gamma_j(1)$ of γ_j over a general point η_j of γ_j for all $\gamma_j\in\overline{V}_0$. Let $r(2)$ be the number of components of D_{X_2} which dominate some $\gamma_i\in\overline{V}_0$, and are exceptional for f_2. We have $r(2)<r(0)$.

Let

$$V_2=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\gamma_i(2)=\overline{E\cdot S_{R^2}(p_i)}\text{ such that }E\text{ is a component of }D_{Y_2},\\ p_i\in T(R^2)\text{ and }(\Psi_1\circ\Psi_2)(\gamma_i(1))\text{ is not a point}\end{array}\right\}$$

By construction, if $\gamma_i(2)\in V_2$, then $\gamma_i(2)$ dominates a component of $\overline{V}_0$.

Step 3. We now repeat Step 1. As in the construction of f_1, there exists a τ-well-prepared diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_3"'] & Y_3 \arrow[d, "\Psi_3"] \\ X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] & Y_2 \end{tikzcd}$$

where Ψ_3 is a product of blow ups of 2-points such that if

$$\gamma_i(3)\in V_3=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\gamma_i(3)=\overline{E\cdot S_{R^3}(p_i)}\mid E\text{ is a component of }D_{Y_3},\\ p_i\in T(R^3)\text{ and }\Psi_1\circ\Psi_2\circ\Psi_3(\gamma_i(3))\text{ is not a point}\end{array}\right\}$$

then $\gamma_i(3)$ is in the fundamental locus of f_3, $\gamma_i(3)$ dominates a component γ_i of $\overline{V}_0$ and Φ_3 is an isomorphism over $f_2^{-1}(Y-\Sigma(Y_2))$.

The total number $r(3)$ of components of D_{X_3} which dominate some $\gamma_i\in\overline{V}_0$ is equal to $r(2)$. We now repeat Step 2 to get a reduction $r(4)<r(3)$, where $r(4)$ is the number of components of D_{X_4} which dominate some $\gamma_i\in\overline{V}_0$ and are exceptional for f_4. We see that by induction on $r(2n)$, iterating Step 3, we can construct a τ-well-prepared diagram for R

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_4 \arrow[r, "f_4"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_4 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

such that if E is a component of D_{Y_4} and $S=S_{R^4}(p)$ for some $p\in T(R^4)$ intersects E, then $\gamma=\overline{E\cdot S}$ is either contracted to a point by Ψ or f_4 is an isomorphism over the generic point of γ.

By Lemma 8.8, there exists a τ-well prepared diagram for R^4

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_5 \arrow[r, "f_5"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_5"'] & Y_5 \arrow[d, "\Psi_5"] \\ X_4 \arrow[r, "f_4"] & Y_4 \end{tikzcd}$$

such that if E is a component of D_{Y_5} and $S=S_{R^5}(p)$ for some $p\in T(R^5)$ intersects E, then $\gamma=\overline{E\cdot S}$ is exceptional for $\Psi\circ\Psi_5$.

Let

$$W_5 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma = \overline{S_{\overline{R}_i^5}(q) \cdot E} \mid E \text{ is a component of } D_{Y_5}, \\ R_i^5 \text{ is associated to } R^5 \text{ and } q \in f_5(T(R_i^5)) \end{array} \right\}$$

and let

$$Z_5 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} q \in U(R^5) - f_5(T(R^5)) \text{ such that there exist } \gamma_i, \gamma_j \in W_5 \\ \text{such that } \gamma_i \neq \gamma_j \text{ and } q \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_j. \end{array} \right\}$$

The points of Z_5 are prepared 2-points for R^5 (of type 1 of Definition 7.4). Let $\Psi_6 : Y_6 \to Y_5$ be the blow up of Z_5. By Lemma 7.13, there exists a τ-well prepared diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_6 \arrow[r, "f_6"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_6"'] & Y_6 \arrow[d, "\Psi_6"] \\ X_5 \arrow[r, "f_5"] & Y_5 \end{tikzcd}$$

of R^5 and Ψ_6.

Define

$$W_6 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma = \overline{S_{\overline{R}_i^6}(q) \cdot E} \mid E \text{ is a component of } D_{Y_6}, \\ R_i^5 \text{ is associated to } R^5 \text{ and } q \in \Psi_6^{-1}(f_5(T(R_i^5))) \cap U(\overline{R}_i^6) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$Z_6 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} q \in U(R^6) - \Psi_6^{-1}(f_5(T(R^5))) \cap U(R^6) \text{ such that there exist } \gamma_i, \gamma_j \in W_6 \\ \text{such that } \gamma_i \neq \gamma_j \text{ and } q \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_j. \end{array} \right\}$$

We necessarily have that the curves in W_6 are strict transforms of curves in W_5. We can iterate, blowing up Z_6, and constructing a τ-well prepared diagram, and repeating until we eventually construct a τ-well prepared diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_7 \arrow[r, "f_7"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_7"'] & Y_7 \arrow[d, "\Psi_7"] \\ X_6 \arrow[r, "f_6"] & Y_6 \end{tikzcd}$$

such that Ψ_7 is a sequence of blow ups of prepared 2-points (of type 1 of Definition 7.4) and if $\gamma_1 = \overline{S_{R^7}(p_1) \cdot E_1}$, $\gamma_2 = \overline{S_{R^7}(p_2) \cdot E_2}$ for $p_1, p_2 \in T(R^7)$ and E_1, E_2 components of D_{Y_7}, are such that $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$, then $\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2 \subset U(R^7) \cap (\Psi_6 \circ \Psi_7)^{-1}(f_5(T(R^5))$.

Let $\beta = \Psi \circ \Psi_5 \circ \Psi_6 \circ \Psi_7$. We now construct pre-relations $\overline{R}_i^{\prime}$ on Y_7 with associated primitive relations R_i' for f_7.

If $\overline{R}_i$ is a 2-point pre-relation associated to R, let $T(R_i') = T(R_i^7)$ and let

$$U(\overline{R}_i^{\prime}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} q \in U(R^7) \cap (\Psi_6 \circ \Psi_7)^{-1}(f_5(T(R^5)) \text{ such that } q \in \overline{E \cdot S_{R^7}(p)} \\ \text{for some component } E \text{ of } D_{Y_7}, p \in T(R_i^7) \end{array} \right\}. \tag{188}$$

For $q' \in U(\overline{R}_i^{\prime})$, define $\overline{R}_i^{\prime}(q') = \overline{R}_i^7(q')$. For $p \in T(R_i')$ define $R_i'(p) = \overline{R}_i^7(f_7(p))$. Let R' be the 2-point relation for f_7 defined by the $\{R_i'\}$. Let $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^{\prime})$ be an open subset of $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^7)$ which contains all $\overline{E \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i^{\prime}}(q)}$ for $q \in U(\overline{R}_i^{\prime})$. Recall that these curves are all exceptional for β and we can take $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^{\prime})$ so that $\Omega(\overline{R}_i^{\prime}) \cap U(\overline{R}^{\prime}) = U(\overline{R}_i^{\prime})$.

f_7 is τ-well prepared with 2-point relation R'. For all $\overline{R}_i^{\prime}$, let

$$V_i(Y_7) = \left\{ \gamma = \overline{E_\alpha \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i^{\prime}}(q)} \text{ such that } q \in U(\overline{R}_i^{\prime}),\ E_\alpha \text{ is a component of } D_{Y_7} \right\}.$$

By our construction, Lemmas 7.11, 7.13 and 7.14, and 2 of Remark 7.16, every curve $\gamma \in V_i(Y_7)$ is prepared for R^7 of type 4. By (188), we now conclude that every curve $\gamma \in V_i(Y_7)$ is prepared for R' of type 4. 1 and 2 of Definition 7.6 thus hold for f_7 and R'. 3 of Definition 7.6 holds for f_7 and R' since for all $\overline{R}_i^{\prime}$, $V_i(Y_7)$ consists of exceptional curves of $\Omega(\overline{R}_i')$ contracting to a nonsingular point $q_i \in \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$. Thus f_7 is τ-very-well prepared with 2-point relation R'.

□

Theorem 8.10. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is prepared, $\tau_f(X) = \tau \geq 0$ and D_X is cuspidal for f. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

such that f_1 is prepared, Φ, Ψ are products of blowups of 2-curves, $\tau_{f_1}(X_1) = \tau$, D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1 and all 3-points $p \in X_1$ such that $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau$ map to 2-points of Y_1.

Proof. We first define a 3-point relation R on X. Let

$$T = \{p \in X \mid p \text{ is a 3-point, } q = f(p) \text{ is a 3-point and } \tau_f(p) = \tau\}.$$

For $p \in T$, since $\tau \geq 0$, there exist permissible parameters u, v, w at $q = f(p)$ and permissible parameters x, y, z at p such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^{\overline{a}}y^{\overline{b}}z^{\overline{c}} \\ v &= x^{\overline{d}}y^{\overline{e}}z^{\overline{f}} \\ w &= x^{\overline{g}}y^{\overline{h}}z^{\overline{i}}\gamma \end{aligned}$$

where γ is a unit series, $\text{rank}(u, v) = 2$ and $\text{rank}(u, v, w) = 2$. Thus there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$(x^{\overline{a}}y^{\overline{b}}z^{\overline{c}})^a(x^{\overline{d}}y^{\overline{e}}z^{\overline{f}})^b(x^{\overline{g}}y^{\overline{h}}z^{\overline{i}})^c = 1, \tag{189}$$

with $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ and

$$\min\{a, b, c\} < 0 < \max\{a, b, c\}.$$

For $p \in T$, define 3-point pre-relations $\overline{R}_p$ on Y by $U(\overline{R}_p) = \{q = f(p)\}$, and $\overline{R}(q)$ is defined (with the notation of (91)) so that $u = 0$ is a local equation of E_1, $v = 0$ is a local equation of E_2, $w = 0$ is a local equation of E_3, a, b, c are defined by (189) and $\lambda = \lambda_p = \gamma(0, 0, 0)^c$.

For $p \in T$, we now define primitive 3-point relations R_p for f by $T(R_p) = \{p\}$, with associated 3-point pre-relation $\overline{R}_p$. We define R to be the associated 3-point relation for f with $T(R) = \cup_{p \in T} T(R_p) = T$.

By Theorem 6.10, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ, Ψ are products of blow ups of 2-curves such that f_1 is prepared, $\tau_{f_1}(X_1) = \tau$, the transform R^1 of R for f_1 is resolved, and D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1. Thus all 3-points $p \in X_1$ with $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau$ map to 2-points of Y_1.

□

Theorem 8.11. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is prepared, $\tau_f(X) = \tau \geq 0$ and D_X is cuspidal for f. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ_1 *and* Ψ_1 *are products of blow ups of possible centers,* f_1 *is* τ*-very-well prepared with 2-point relation* R^1*, and* D_{X_1} *is cuspidal for* f_1*.*

Proof. By Theorem 8.10, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ and Ψ are products of 2-curves, f_1 is prepared, $\tau_{f_1}(X_1) \le \tau_f(X)$, D_{X_1} is cuspidal for f_1 and all 3-points p of X_1 such that $\tau_{f_1}(p) = \tau$ map to 2-points of Y_1. Now by Theorems 8.1, 8.7 and 8.9 there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d] & Y_2 \arrow[d] \\
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are products of blow ups of possible centers such that f_2 is τ-very-well prepared and D_{X_2} is cuspidal for f_2.

□

9. Toroidalization

Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a birational morphism of nonsingular projective 3-folds with toroidal structures D_Y and $D_X = f^{-1}(D_Y)$, such that D_X contains the singular locus of f.

Theorem 9.1. *Suppose that* $\tau \ge 0$ *and* $f : X \to Y$ *is* τ*-very-well prepared with 2-point relation* R*. Further suppose that* D_X *is cuspidal for* f*. Then there exists a* τ*-very-well prepared diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d] & Y_1 \arrow[d] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that the transform R^1 *of* R *is resolved and* D_{X_1} *is cuspidal for* f_1*. In particular,* f_1 *is prepared,* $\tau_{f_1}(X_1) < \tau$*, and* D_{X_1} *is cuspidal for* f_1*.*

Proof. Fix a pre-relation $\overline{R}_t$ associated to R on Y, with associated primitive relation R_t. By induction on the number of pre-relations associated to R, it suffices to resolve R_t by a τ-very-well prepared diagram (for R).

Recall (Definition 7.6)

$$V_t(Y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{E \cdot S} \text{ such that } E \text{ is a component of } D_Y, \\ S = S_{\overline{R}_t}(q) \text{ for some } q \in U(\overline{R}_t) \end{array} \right\}.$$

$F_t = \sum_{\gamma \in V_t(Y)} \gamma$ is a SNC divisor on $\Omega(\overline{R}_t)$ whose intersection graph is a tree.

If $\gamma_1 = \overline{E_1 \cdot S_{\overline{R}_t}(q_1)} \in V_0$ and $q \in \gamma_1$, say that γ_1 is good at q if whenever $q \in U(\overline{R}_i)$ for some i, then $S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)$ contains the germ of γ_1 at q (so that $\gamma_1 = \overline{E_1 \cdot S_{\overline{R}_i}(q)} \subset \Omega(\overline{R}_i)$). Otherwise, say that γ_1 is bad at q. Say that γ_1 is good if γ_1 is good at q for all $q \in \gamma_1$.

Let $Y_0 = Y$, $X_0 = X$, $f_0 = f$. We will show that there exists a sequence of τ-very-well prepared diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_{i+1} \arrow[r, "f_{i+1}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & Y_{i+1} \arrow[d, "\Psi_{i+1}"'] \\
X_i \arrow[r, "f_i"] & Y_i
\end{tikzcd} \tag{190}$$

for $0 \le i \le m-1$ such that the transform R_t^m of R_t on X_m is resolved.

Suppose that $\gamma_1 \in V_0$ and $q \in \gamma_1$ is a bad point. By Remark 7.7, we have that $q \in U(\overline{R}_t)$. Suppose that E_1, E_2 are the two components of D_Y containing q, and $\gamma_1 = \overline{E_1 \cdot S_{\overline{R}_t}(q)}$. Let $\gamma_2 = \overline{E_2 \cdot S_{\overline{R}_t}(q)}$.

We will show that q is a good point of γ_2.

γ_1 not good at q implies there exists $j \ne t$ such that $q \in U(\overline{R}_j)$ and the germ of γ_1 at q is not contained in $S_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$. Let

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_t}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_t}(q), w_t = w_{\overline{R}_t}(q).$$

After possibly interchanging u and v we have that $u = w_t = 0$ are local equations of γ_1, $v = w_t = 0$ are local equations of γ_2 at q. Let $w_j = w_{\overline{R}_j}(q)$. In the equation

$$w_j = w_t + u^{a_{tj}} v^{b_{tj}} \phi_{tj}$$

of 3 of Definition 7.3 we have $a_{tj} = 0$.

If q is not a good point for γ_2 then there exists $k \ne t$ such that $q \in U(\overline{R}_k)$ and the germ of γ_2 at q is not contained in $S_{\overline{R}_k}(q)$. Let $w_k = w_{\overline{R}_k}(q)$. In the equation

$$w_k = w_t + u^{a_{tk}} v^{b_{tk}} \phi_{tk}$$

we thus have $b_{tk} = 0$. But we must have

$$(0, b_{tj}) \le (a_{tk}, 0) \text{ or } (a_{tk}, 0) \le (0, b_{tk})$$

by 4 of Definition 7.3, which is impossible. Thus q is a good point for γ_2.

Suppose that all $\gamma \in V_t(Y)$ are bad. Pick $\gamma_1 \in V_t(Y)$. Since γ_1 is bad there exists $\gamma_2 \in V_t(Y) - \{\gamma_1\}$ such that γ_2 is good at $q_1 = \gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2$ (as shown above). $\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2$ is a single point since $V_t(Y)$ is a tree. Since γ_2 is bad and $V_t(Y)$ is a tree, there exists $\gamma_3 \in V_t(Y)$ which intersects γ_2 at a single point q_2 and is disjoint from γ_1 such that γ_3 is good at q_2. Since $V_t(Y)$ is a finite set, we must eventually find a curve which is good, a contradiction.

Let $\gamma \in V_t(Y)$ be a good curve, so that it is prepared for R of type 4, and is a *-permissible center (Lemma 7.15) and let $\Psi_1' : Y_1' \to Y$ be the blow up of γ.

By Lemma 7.15 we can construct a τ-very-well prepared diagram of the form of (111) of Definition 7.9

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[dd] & Y_1 \arrow[d] \\ & Y_1' \arrow[d, "\Psi_1'"] \\ X \arrow[r] & Y. \end{tikzcd} \tag{191}$$

where $Y_1 \to Y_1'$ is a sequence of blow ups of 2-points which are prepared for the transform of R of type 2 of Definition 7.4. Observe that if $\gamma_1 \in V_t(Y)$ is a good curve, with $\gamma_1 \ne \gamma$, then the strict transform of γ_1 is a good curve in $V_t(Y_1)$.

We now iterate this process. We order the curves in $V_t(Y)$, and choose $\gamma = \overline{E \cdot S_{R_t}(q)} \in V_t(Y)$ in the construction of the diagram (191) so that it is the minimum good curve in $V_t(Y)$.

We inductively define a sequence of τ-very well prepared diagrams (190) by blowing up the good curve in $V_t(Y_i)$ with smallest order, and then constructing a very well prepared diagram (190) of the form of (191). Then we define the total ordering on $V_t(Y_{i+1})$ so that the ordering of strict transforms of elements of $V_t(Y_i)$ is preserved, and these strict transforms have smaller order than the element of $V_t(Y_{i+1})$ which is not a strict transform of an element of $V_t(Y_i)$. We repeat, as long as R_t^i is not resolved ($T(R_t^i) \ne \emptyset$).

Suppose that the algorithm does not converge in the construction of $f_m : X_m \rightarrow Y_m$ such that the transform R_t^m of R_t is resolved. Then there exists a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] \\
X_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_n"'] & Y_n \arrow[d, "\Psi_n"] \\
X_{n-1} \arrow[r, "f_{n-1}"] \arrow[d] & Y_{n-1} \arrow[d] \\
\vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] \\
X_0 = X \arrow[r, "f_0=f"] & Y_0 = Y
\end{tikzcd} \tag{192}$$

constructed by infinitely many iterations of the algorithm such that $T(R_t^n) \neq \emptyset$ for all n.

Suppose that $q_n \in U(\overline{R}_t^n)$ is an infinite sequence of points such that $\Psi_n(q_n) = q_{n-1}$ for all n and Ψ_n is not an isomorphism for infinitely many n.

By construction, the restriction of Ψ_n to $S_{\overline{R}_t^n}(q_n)$ is an isomorphism onto $S_{\overline{R}_t^{n-1}}(q_{n-1})$ for all n. Thus the restriction

$$\overline{\Psi}_n = \Psi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_n : S_{\overline{R}_t^n}(q_n) \rightarrow S_{\overline{R}_t}(q)$$

is an isomorphism, where $q = q_0 = \Psi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_n(q_n)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that no Ψ_n is an isomorphism (on Y_n) at q_n. We have permissible parameters $u_i = u_{\overline{R}_t^i}(q_i), v_i = v_{\overline{R}_t^i}(q_i), w_{t,i} = w_{\overline{R}_t^i}(q_i)$ at q_i for all i such that either

$$u_i = u_{i+1}, v_i = v_{i+1}, w_{t,i} = u_{i+1}w_{t,i+1} \tag{193}$$

or

$$u_i = u_{i+1}, v_i = v_{i+1}, w_{t,i} = v_{i+1}w_{t,i+1}. \tag{194}$$

Suppose there exists $k \neq t$ such that $q_n \in U(\overline{R}_k^n)$ for all n.

Let $w_{k,i} = w_{\overline{R}_k^i}(q_i)$ for $i \geq 0$.

The relations

$$w_{k,i} - w_{t,i} = u_i^{a_{tk}} v_i^{b_{tk}} \phi_{t,k}$$

of 3 of Definition 7.3 transform to

$$w_{k,i+1} - w_{t,i+1} = u_{i+1}^{a_{tk}-1} v_{i+1}^{b_{tk}} \phi_{t,k}$$

under (193), and transform to

$$w_{k,i+1} - w_{t,i+1} = u_{i+1}^{a_{tk}} v_{i+1}^{b_{tk}-1} \phi_{t,k}$$

under (194). But we see that after a finite number of iterations $q_n \notin U(\overline{R}_k^n)$, unless $a_{tk} = b_{tk} = \infty$. Thus there exists n_0, such that whenever $n \geq n_0$, $q_n \notin U(\overline{R}_k^n)$ if $k \neq t$ and $a_{kt}, b_{kt} \neq \infty$.

By our ordering, we have that there exists an n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$, $\gamma \in V_t(Y_n)$ is good and if k is such that $\gamma \cap U(\overline{R}_k^n) \neq \emptyset$ then $a_{kt}, b_{kt} = \infty$, so that the Zariski closures of $\Omega(\overline{R}_k^n)$ and $\Omega(\overline{R}_t^n)$ are the same. Thus all elements of $V_t(Y_n)$ are good for $n \geq n_0$, since otherwise, there would be a bad curve $\gamma_1 \in V(Y_n)$ which intersects a good curve γ_2 at a point q' at which γ_1 is not good. But then we must have that there exists $k \neq t$ such that the Zariski closure of $\Omega(\overline{R}_k^n)$ is not equal to the Zariski closure of $\Omega(\overline{R}_t^n)$, and $q' \in U(\overline{R}_k^n)$, so that $\gamma_2 \cap U(\overline{R}_k^n) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Our birational morphism of $\Omega(\overline{R}_t^n)$ to $\Omega(\overline{R}_t)$ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of $U(\overline{R}_t^n)$. Thus we have a natural identification of $V_t(Y_n)$ and $V_t(Y)$, and we see that for $n \ge n_0$, the Ψ_n cyclically blow up the different curves of $V_t(Y)$.

Since R_t^n is (by assumption) not resolved for all n, there are 3-points $p_n \in T(R_t^n) \subset X_n$ such that $\Phi_n(p_n) = p_{n-1}$, and $f_n(p_n) = q_n \in U(\overline{R}_t^n)$ for all n. Without loss of generality, we may assume that no Ψ_n is an isomorphism at q_n.

With the above notation at $q_n = f_n(p_n)$, we have that (193) and (194) must alternate in the diagram (192) for $n \ge n_0$, by our ordering of $V_t(Y_n)$. Let $p = p_0 \in X = X_0$, $q = q_0 = f(p)$.

We have

$$u = u_n, v = v_n, w_t = u_n^{a_n} v_n^{b_n} w_{t,n} \tag{195}$$

where

$$u = u_{\overline{R}_t}(q), v = v_{\overline{R}_t}(q), w_t = w_{\overline{R}_t}(q)$$

and a_n, b_n are positive integers which both go to infinity as n goes to infinity.

There exists (by Theorem 4 of Section 4, Chapter VI [ZS]) a valuation ν of $\mathbf{k}(X)$ which dominates the (non-Noetherian) local ring $\cup_{n\ge 0}\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_n}$, and thus dominates the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{X_n,p_n}$ for all n. Let x, y, z be permissible parameters for u, v, w_t at p. Write (in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$)

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a y^b z^c \\ v &= x^d y^e z^f \\ w_t &= x^g y^h z^i \gamma \end{aligned} \tag{196}$$

where $xyz = 0$ is a local equation of D_X at p and γ is a unit series.

There exist regular parameters $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ and unit series $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ such that $\overline{x} = x\lambda_1$, $\overline{y} = y\lambda_2$, $\overline{z} = z\lambda_3$. We may permute x, y, z so that $0 < \nu(\overline{x}) \le \nu(\overline{y}) \le \nu(\overline{z})$. We have (from (195))

$$\nu(w_t) - n\nu(u) - n\nu(v) > 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus

$$0 < (g-na-nd)\nu(\overline{x}) + (h-ne-nb)\nu(\overline{y}) + (i-nf-nc)\nu(\overline{z}) \le ((g+h+i)-nf-nc)\nu(\overline{z})$$

for all n. Thus $f = c = 0$, but this is impossible, since $uv = 0$ is a local equation of D_X at p.

Thus the algorithm converges in a morphism $f_m : X_m \to Y_m$ such that $T(R_t^m) = \emptyset$, and after iterating for each primitive relation associated to R, we obtain the construction of $f_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$, as in the conclusions of the theorem, such that f_1 is prepared, cuspidal for D_{X_1} and $\tau_{f_1}(X_1) < \tau$.

□

Theorem 9.2. *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is prepared, $\tau_f(X) = -\infty$ and D_X is cuspidal for f. Then f is toroidal.*

Proof. Suppose that E is a component of D_X and E contains a 3-point $\overline{p}$. Let $f(\overline{p}) = \overline{q}$. $\overline{q}$ is a 3-point, and if $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}$ are permissible parameters at $\overline{q}$, then there exists an expression

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{u} &= \overline{x}^{a_{11}} \overline{y}^{a_{12}} \overline{z}^{a_{13}} \\ \overline{v} &= \overline{x}^{a_{21}} \overline{y}^{a_{22}} \overline{z}^{a_{23}} \\ \overline{w} &= \overline{x}^{a_{31}} \overline{y}^{a_{32}} \overline{w}^{a_{33}} \end{aligned} \tag{197}$$

at $\overline{p}$, where $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ are permissible parameters at $\overline{p}$ for $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}$ and $\overline{x} = 0$ is a local equation of E. In particular, f has a toroidal form of type 1 following Definition 3.7 at $\overline{p}$. Thus $f(E) = D$ is a component of D_Y, $f(E) = C$ is a 2-curve or $f(E) = \overline{q}$.

Since D_X is cuspidal for f, all components E of D_X map to a 3-point, a 2-curve or a component of D_Y.

Suppose that E is a component of D_X, $p \in E$, $q = f(p)$, u, v, w are permissible parameters at q, and x, y, z are permissible parameters for u, v, w at p, such that $x = 0$ is a local equation of E. For $g \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$, let $\mathrm{ord}_E g$ be the largest power of x which divides g in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ Let

$$\mathrm{Jac}(f) = \mathrm{Det}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we define

$$\lambda(E) = \mathrm{ord}_E uvw - \mathrm{ord}_E \mathrm{Jac}(f).$$

$\lambda(E)$ is an invariant of E, since $f(E)$ is a component of D_Y, a 2-curve or a 3-point. Notice that

$$\lambda(E) = \mathrm{ord}_E uv - \mathrm{ord}_E \mathrm{Jac}(f)$$

if $q \in f(E)$ is a 2-point, and

$$\lambda(E) = \mathrm{ord}_E u - \mathrm{ord}_E \mathrm{Jac}(f)$$

if $q \in f(E)$ is a 1-point. We compute $\lambda(E)$ in (197) or in a toroidal form following Definition 3.7 if E does not contain a 3-point (recall that D_X is cuspidal for f), to see that

$$\lambda(E) = 1$$

for all components E of D_X.

We will now verify that if $p \in D_X$, then f is toroidal at p, from which the conclusions of the theorem follow.

Case 1. Suppose that $f(p) = q$ is a 3-point. Let u, v, w be permissible parameters at q, and x, y, z be permissible parameters for u, v, w at p.

First suppose that p is a 1-point. Then (since f is prepared), we have an expression (after possibly interchanging u, v, w)

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a \\ v &= x^b(\alpha + y) \\ w &= x^c(\gamma(x,y) + x^d z) \end{aligned} \tag{198}$$

with $0 \neq \alpha$, $a, b, c > 0$, γ a unit series, $d \geq 0$.

Let E be the component of D_X containing p. Computing $\lambda(E)$ at p from (198), we get

$$1 = (a + b + c) - (a + b + c + d - 1) = 1 - d.$$

Thus $d = 0$ and u, v, w have the toroidal form 3 following Definition 3.7 at p.

Suppose that p is a 2-point. Let E and E' be the components of D_X containing p. Then we have (after possibly interchanging u, v, w and x, y, z), a form

$$u = x^a y^b, v = x^c y^d, w = x^m y^n (g(x,y) + x^e y^f z) \tag{199}$$

where $g(x, y)$ is a unit series, $x = 0$ is a local equation of E, and $y = 0$ is a local equation of E', or

$$u = (x^a y^b)^k, v = (x^a y^b)^t(\alpha + z), w = (x^a y^b)^m (g(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d) \tag{200}$$

where g is a unit series, $\alpha \neq 0$, $ad - bc \neq 0$, $x = 0$ is a local equation of E, and $y = 0$ is a local equation of E'.

In (199), we compute,

$$1 = \lambda(E) = a + c + m - [a + c + e + m - 1] = 1 - e$$

implies $e = 0$. We also compute

$$1 = \lambda(E') = b + d + n - [b + d + n + f - 1] = 1 - f$$

which implies $f = 0$. Thus we have a toroidal form 2 following Definition 3.7 at p.

Suppose that p satisfies (200). Then

$$1 = \lambda(E) = a(k + t + m) - [a(k + t + m) + c - 1] = 1 - c$$

implies $c = 0$.

$$1 = \lambda(E') = b(k + t + m) - [b(k + t + m) + d - 1] = 1 - d$$

implies $d = 0$. This is impossible, so (200) cannot occur.

Case 2. Suppose that $f(p) = q$ is a 2-point. Let u, v, w be permissible parameters at q, and x, y, z be permissible parameters for u, v, w at p.

Suppose that p is a 1-point, and let E be the component of D_X containing p. We have an expression

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a, \\ v &= x^b(\alpha + y), \\ w &= g(x, y) + x^c z \end{aligned} \tag{201}$$

where $x = 0$ is a local equation of E, and $0 \neq \alpha$, or

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^a, \\ v &= x^c(\gamma(x, y) + x^d z), \\ w &= y \end{aligned} \tag{202}$$

where γ is a unit series.

Computing $\lambda(E)$ in (201), we have

$$1 = \lambda(E) = a + b - (a + b + c - 1) = 1 - c$$

implies $c = 0$. Thus after making an appropriate change of variables, we have a toroidal form 5 following Definition 3.7 at p.

Computing $\lambda(E)$ in (202), we have

$$1 = \lambda(E) = (a + c) - [a + c + d - 1] = 1 - d$$

implies $d = 0$. Thus f has a toroidal form 5 following Definition 3.7 at p.

Suppose that p is a 2-point. Let E and E' be the components of D_X containing p. Then we have a form

$$u = x^a y^b, v = x^c y^d, w = g(x, y) + x^e y^f z \tag{203}$$

where $x = 0$ is a local equation of E, $y = 0$ is a local equation of E', and $ad - bc \neq 0$, or

$$u = (x^a y^b)^k, v = (x^a y^b)^t(\alpha + z), w = g(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d \tag{204}$$

where $x = 0$ is a local equation of E, $y = 0$ is a local equation of E', $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{k}$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$, or

$$u = (x^a y^b)^k, v = (x^a y^b)^l(\gamma(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d), w = z \tag{205}$$

where γ is a unit series, $x = 0$ is a local equation of E, $y = 0$ is a local equation of E', $a, b > 0$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$.

Computing $\lambda(E), \lambda(E')$ in (203), we get

$$1 = \lambda(E) = a + c - (a + c + e - 1) = 1 - e$$

which implies $e = 0$.

$$1 = \lambda(E') = b + d - (b + d + f - 1) = 1 - f$$

implies $f = 0$. Thus after an appropriate change of variables, we have a toroidal form 4 following Definition 3.7 at p.

Computing $\lambda(E), \lambda(E')$ in (204), we get

$$1 = \lambda(E) = a(k + t) - [a(k + t) + c - 1] = 1 - c$$

which implies $c = 0$, and

$$1 = \lambda(E') = b(k + t) - [b(k + t) + d - 1] = 1 - d$$

which implies $d = 0$. Since $c = d = 0$ is impossible in (204), we see that this form cannot occur.

Computing $\lambda(E), \lambda(E')$ in (205), we get

$$1 = \lambda(E) = a(k + l) - [a(k + l) + c - 1] = 1 - c$$

implies $c = 0$,

$$1 = \lambda(E') = b(k + l) - [b(k + l) + d - 1] = 1 - d$$

implies $d = 0$. Thus (205) cannot hold.

Case 3. Suppose that $f(p) = q$ is a 1-point. Let u, v, w be permissible parameters at q satisfying 3 of Definition 3.4. Suppose that p is a 1-point, and let E be the component of D_X containing p. We have an expression

$$u = x^a, v = y, w = g(x, y) + x^c z \tag{206}$$

at p.

$$1 = \lambda(E) = a - [a + c - 1] = 1 - c$$

implies $c = 0$ and thus f has a toroidal form 6 following Definition 3.7 at p.

Suppose that p is a 2-point, and let E, E' be the components of D_X containing p. We have an expression

$$u = (x^a y^b)^k, v = z, w = g(x^a y^b, z) + x^c y^d \tag{207}$$

at p, where $x = 0$ is a local equation of E, $y = 0$ is a local equation of E', $a, b > 0$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$.

$$1 = \lambda(E) = ak - [ak + c - 1] = 1 - c$$

implies $c = 0$.

$$1 = \lambda(E') = bk - [bk + d - 1] = 1 - d$$

implies $d = 0$. Thus (207) cannot occur. □

Proof of Theorem 0.1 By resolution of singularities and resolution of indeterminacy [H] (cf. Section 6.8 [C3]), and by [M], there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\Psi_1"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Φ_1, Ψ_1 are products of blow ups of points and nonsingular curves, such that X_1 and Y_1 are nonsingular and projective. By Lemma 4.3, Remark 4.4 and Theorem 4.5, we can construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "\Psi_2"] \\
X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] & Y_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ_2 and Ψ_2 are products of blow ups of points and nonsingular curves, such that f_2 is prepared and D_{X_2} is cuspidal for f_2.

Now by descending induction on $\tau(X_2)$ and Theorems 8.11 and 9.1, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_3"'] & Y_3 \arrow[d, "\Psi_3"] \\
X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] & Y_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that Φ_2 and Ψ_3 are products of blow ups of possible centers, f_3 is prepared, D_{X_3} is cuspidal for f_3 and $\tau_{f_3}(X_3) = -\infty$.

By Theorem 9.2, f_3 is toroidal, and the conclusions of the theorem follow.

References

[Ab] Abhyankar, S., *Resolution of singularities of embedded algebraic surfaces*, Academic Press, New York,1966.

[Ab1] Abhyankar, S., *On the valuations centered in a local domain*, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 321 – 348.

[Ab2] Abhyankar, S., *Algebraic Geometry for Scientist and Engineers*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990.

[AK] Abramovich D., Karu K., *Weak semistable reduction in characteristic 0*, Invent. Math. 139 (2000), 241 – 273.

[AKMW] Abramovich, D., Karu, K., Matsuki, K. and Wlodarczyk, J., *Torification and factorization of birational maps*, JAMS 15 (2002), 531 – 572.

[AMR] Abramovich, D., Matsuki, K., Rashid, S., *A note on the factorization theorem of toric birational maps after Morelli and its toroidal extension*, Tohoku Math J. 51 (1999), 489 – 537.

[AkK] Akbulut, S. and King, H., *Topology of algebraic sets*, MSRI publications 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[BrM] Bierstone, E. and Millman, P., *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximal strata of a local invariant*, Inv. Math 128 (1997), 207 – 302.

[BEV] Bravo, A., Encinas, S., Villamayor, O.,*A simplified proof of desingularization and applications*, to appear in Revista Matematica Iberamericana.

[Ch] Christensen, C., *Strong domination/weak factorization of three dimensional regular local rings*, Journal of the Indian Math. Soc., 45 (1981), 21 – 47.

[Cr] Crauder, B., *Two reduction theorems for threefold birational morphisms*, Math Ann. 260 (1984), 13 – 26. paper.

[C] Cutkosky, S.D., *Local factorization of birational maps*, Advances in Mathematics 132 (1997), 167 – 315.

[C1] Cutkosky, S.D., *Local monomialization and factorization of morphisms*, Astérisque 260, 1999.

[C2] Cutkosky, S.D., *Monomialization of Morphisms from 3-folds to surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1786, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2002.

[C3] Cutkosky, S.D., *Resolution of Singularities*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 63, American Math Soc., Providence, 2004.

[C4] Cutkosky, S.D., *Local monomialization of Trancendental Extensions*, to appear in the Journal of the Fourier Institute.

[CK] Cutkosky, S.D. and Kascheyeva, O., *Monomialization of strongly prepared morphisms from nonsingular n-folds to surfaces*, J. Algebra 275 (2004), 275 – 320.

[CP] Cutkosky, S.D. and Piltant, O., *Ramification of valuations*, Advances in Math. 183 (2004), 1 – 79.

[D] V. Danilov. *Decomposition of some birational morphisms*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 44 (1980), 465-477.

[D1] Danilov, V., *Birational geometry of toric 3-folds*, Math USSR Izv. 21 (83), 269 – 280.

[EH] Encinas, S., Hauser, H., *Strong resolution of singularities in characteristic zero*, Comment Math. Helv. 77 (2002), 821 – 845.

[E] Ewald, E., *Blow ups of smooth toric 3-varieties*, Abh. math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 57 (1987).

[F] Fulton, W. *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Annals of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.

[G] R. Goward. *Principalization of locally monomial ideals*, 2001 University of Missouri thesis.

[H] Hironaka, H., Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, Annals of Math, 79 (1964), 109 – 326.

[I] Iitaka, S. *Algebraic Geometry*, *An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Springer Verlag, 1982,

[K] Karu, K., *Local strong factorization of toric birational maps*, J. Alg. Geom 14 (2005), 165 – 175.

[KKMS] Kempf, G., Knudsen, F., Mumford, D. and Saint-Donat, B., *Toroidal embeddings I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 339, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.

[Ku] Kulikov V., *Decomposition of birational mappings of three dimensional varieties outside of codimension 2*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 46 (1982) 881 – 895.

[M] Moishezon, B.G. *On n-dimensional compact varieties with n-algebraic independent meromorphic functions*, Amer. Math. Soc Translations 63 (1967), 51-177.

[Mat] Matsuki, K., *Log resolution of surfaces*, to appear in Contemporary Mathematics.

[Mo] Morelli, R., *The birational geometry of toric varieties*, J. Algebraic Geometry 5 (1996), 751 – 782.

[O] Oda, T., *Torus embeddings and applications*, TIFR, Bombay, 1978.

[S] Sally, J., *Regular overrings of regular local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972) 291 – 300.

[Sh] Shannon, D.L., *Monoidal transforms*, Amer. J. Math 45 (1973), 284 – 320.

[W] Wlodarcyzk, J., *Decomposition of birational toric maps in blowups and blowdowns*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 373-411.

[W1] Wlodarcyzk, J., *Toroidal varieties and the weak factorization theorem*, Inventiones Math. 154 (2003), 223 – 331.

[Z1] Zariski, O., *The compactness of the Riemann manifold of an abstract field of algebraic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1944), 683-691.

[Z2] Zariski, O., *Local uniformization of algebraic varieties*, Annals of Math. 41, (1940), 852 – 896.

[Z3] Zariski, O., *Introduction to the problem of minimal models in the theory of algebraic surfaces*, Publications of the Math. Soc. of Japan, 1958.

[ZS] Zariski, O. and Samuel P., *Commutative Algebra Volume II*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.